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## PREFACE

The author of these papers began his service in librarianship in April, 1895. He celebrates his silver jubilee by gathering them into a single volume. Before becoming a librarian he had worked for many years as teacher, editor and journalist, and the use of the pen having become second nature, he took it up in behalf of libraries and librarians, somewhat sooner, perhaps, than experience would warrant. However, the papers reflect to a certain extent the progress of library work during the past quarter century.
A. E. B.
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## PAINS AND PENALHIES IN LIBRARY WORK*

In somewhat the same way as Irving makes Diedrich Knickerbocker begin his history of New York with the creation of the world, so we may open a discussion of this subject with a word on the theory of punishment. We all know that neither moral philosophers nor penologists are agreed in this matter. Do we inflict punishment to satisfy our eternal sense of justice, to prevent further wrong-doing on the part of the person punished, as an example to others, or to reform the delinquent? So far as the justicial theory goes, it is unnecessary here to discuss whether it is founded merely on the old savage feeling of revenge, which having done its part in ensuring punishment to the wrong-doer in the uncivilized past, shonld now be put aside. As a matter of fact the rule, "Let no guilty man escape," is a very good one for practical purposes, whatever its theoretical implications. Why should it be necessary to proceed according to any one theory in administering punishment? Practically in the home, at school, and in the courtroom the simple administration of justice does rery well for us, and when we go a little farther into the matter we see that each of the other elements enters into consideration. Certainly it is so in the library.

Penalties for the infraction of our rules should be so inflicted that future wrong-doing both on the part of the culprit and on that of the remainder of the

[^0]public becomes less likely than before. Whether we always do this in the most satisfactory was may be queried.

I'unishable acts committed in a library may be divided, aceording to the old ecelesiastical classification, into mala prohibita and mala in se: in other words, into acts that are simply contrary to library regulations and those that are absolutely wrong. To steal a hook is wrong anywhere and does mot become so merely because the act is committed in a library; but the retention of a borrowed book for fifteen instead of fomrteen days is not absolutely wrong, but simply contrary to library regulations.

The keeping of books overtime is a purcly library offence, committed asainst the library and to be punished by the library; and with it may be classed such infractions of the rules as failure to charge or discharge a book, loud talking or misbehavior below the rank of really disorderly conduct, such injury to books as does not constitute wilful mutilation, the giving of a fictitions name at the application desk, ete.

For all these strictly library offences the farorite penalties seem to be two in number-the exaction of a fine and exclusion from library privileges-temporary or permanent. The former is more used than the latter, and I renture to think unjustly so. From the sole standpoint of punishment the great arlvantage of a fine is that it tonches people in their most sensitive point-the pocket. IBut this is a ganglion whose sensitiveness is in inverse proportion to its size ; in one case the exaction of a cent means the confiscation of the possessor"s entire fortune ; in another the delinguent could part with a hundred dollars withont depriving himself of a necessity or a pleasure. Of course this lack of adaptability to the conditions of
the person to be pmished is not confined to this one method. Imprisonment, for instance, may be the ruin of a life to the hitherto respectable person, while to the tramp, it may simply mean a month's shelter and food. Lut in the case of a money penalty the lack of adaptability is particularly noticeable, and hence wherever it is exacted a large portion of the publice comes to forget that it is a penalty at all. Instead of a punishment exacted in return for the commission of a misdememor and intended to discomage the repetition thereof, it is looked upor as payment for the privilege of committing the misdemeanor, and it in fact becomes this very thing. Thus, in states where there is a prohibitory law, and periodical raids are made on saloons with the resulting fines, these fines often become in effect license fees, and are so regarded by both delinquents and authorities. Where a municipality provides that automobiles shall not be speeded in its streets under penalty of a heary fine, the wealthy owners of motor-carriages too often regard this as permission to speed on payment of a stated amount, and act accordingly. So in the library, the fine for keeping books overtime is widely regarded as a charge for the privilege of keeping the books longer than the formal rules allow. Reing so regarded, the fine loses a great part of its punitive effect, and largely becomes in fact what it is popularly thought to be. Thus we have a free public library granting extra privileges to those who can afford to pay for them and withholding the same from those who cannot afford to pay-an extremely objectional state of things.

In making this characterization I am aware that the sale of additional facilities and privileges by a free library is regarded as proper by a large number of librarians, and that the extension of systems of
which it is a feature is widely urged. It is found in the St. Louis plan for fiction, which has been so successful, and still more in Mr. Dewey's proposed library bookstore. That all these plans are admirable in many ways may be freely acknowledged. In so far as they may be adopted by endowed libraries they are certainly unobjectionable. But in spite of their advantages, it seems to me that their use in an institution supported from the public funds is a mistake. The direct payment of money to any institution so supported, even if such payment is logically justifiable, is open to so much misconstruction and is so commonly misunderstood or misinterpreted, that I would hold up as an ideal the total abolition of all money transactions between the individual members of a public and institutions supported by that public as a whole.

The present subject evidently does not justify further discussion of this point, but its mention here is proper because if library fines have become in many cases payments for a privilege, that very fact should lead those who agree with what has been said above to strive for their abolition.

Another objection to the fine, which is, curiously enough, also the chief reason why it is almost hopeless to look for its abolition, is the fact that wherever fines have been applied they have become a source of revenue that cannot well be neglected. In a village not far from New York the receipts from bicycle fines at one time nearly paid the running expenses of the place. Agitation in favor of substituting other methods of punishing the cyclists who ride on the sidewalks and fail to light their lamps at sundown would evideutly be hopeless here. In the same way receipts from fines have become a very considerable source of income in large libraries, and are not to be neglected
even in small ones. This is apparent in the following table*:

|  | Income | Fines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston | \$309,417.52 | \$4,621.45 |
| Chicago | 285,951.22 | 7,13r.19 |
| Philadelphia | 141,954.45 | 2,385.52 |
| Brooklyn | 105,081.19 | 4,013.26 |
| N. Y. C. F. L | 91,613.12 | 4,648.98 |
| Buffalo | 87,946.85 | 2,951.21 |
| Milwaukee | 71,328.80 | 1,295.99 |
| San Francisco | 64,966.31 | 2,250.85 |
| Newark | 43,706.36 | 1,905.17 |

Evidently the abolition of fines in these cases would mean a reduction of income that would make itself felt at once.

Now, of course, the knowledge that the detection of wrongdoing is financially profitable to the detector results in increased vigilance. So far, that is a grood thing. But it goes farther than this: it makes the authorities strict regarding technicalities; it may even lead to the encouragement of infraction of the law in order that the penalties may reach a larger amount. In the town that is supported by bicycle fines we may fairly conclude that no resident calls the attention of the unwary cyclist to the warning sign, past which he wheels toward the sidewalk. To do so would decrease the village revenue and raise taxes. So too, what librarian would wish to adopt any course that will certainly reduce the money at his disposal for salaries and books?

Supposing, however, that this loss can be made up in some way, is there anything that can be substituted for the fine? It has already been stated that suspension from library privileges is in use as a penalty to a considerable exterst, and there seems to be no reason why this should not be extended to the case of overdue books. There might, for instance, be a rule that for every day of illegal retention of a book the holder should be suspended from library
privileges for one week. The date of expiration of the suspension would be noted on the holder's card, and the card would not be returned to him before that date.

This plan would probably have interesting results which there is not time to anticipate here. But as long as books cost money and librarians refuse to work altogether for love, financial eonsiderations must play a large part in library changes. The only way in which fines can be abolished withont decreasing income is to make the abolition a condition of an increased appropriation, which, of course, could be done by the appropriating body. The making of such a condition is extremely unlikely. Hence, if we agree that fines are undesirable we must regard their abolition as an mattainable ideal. We may, however, treat them so as to minimize their bad effect, and this, I believe, may be done in either or both of the following two ways:
(1) We may emphasize the punitive value of the fine and at the same time increase its value as a source of revenue by making it larger. This would doubtless decrease the number of overdue books, and the exact point where the increase should stop would be the point where this decrease should so balance the increase of fines as to make the total receipts a maximum; or, if this maximum should greatly exceed the revenme received from fines under the old arrangement, then the rate could be still farther increased until the total receipts fell to the old amount. The practical nethod would be to increase the fines by a fraction of a cent per day at intervals of several months, comparing the total receipts for each interval with that of the corresponding period under the old arrangement; and stopping when this sum showed signs of decrease.
(2) We may give the librarian the option of substituting suspension for the fine whenever, in his judgment, this is adrisable. This is the course pursued by the law when it gives to the trial judge the option of fining or imprisoning an offender. In cases where a fine is no punishment at all, and where books are kept overtime deliberately, suspension from library privileges would probahly prowe salutary. A variant of the second plan would be to allow the culprit himself to sulstitnte suspension for his fine. This in effect is what the offender in the police court does when he avows that he has not the money to pay his fine and is sent to jail to work it off. At present when a library offender is manifestly mable to pay his fine there is mally no altermative lout to remit it or to deny the culprit access to the library until it is paid-in many cases an umreasonably heary punislıment.

Of course there is no reason why all these modifications of existing rules should not be made together. According to this plan fines would be raised and suspension would be substituted in any case at the librarian's option and in all cases where the person fined avows that he is mable to pay his fine. The rates can be so adjusted that muder this plan there is no decrease of revenne, but rather a net increase.

Of course the adoption of such rules would be regarded by a large portion of the puhlic as a curtailment of privileges, but such an outery as it would probably raise ought not to be objectionable as it is a necessary step in the instruction of the users of a library regarding the proper function of penalties for infraction of its rules. These rules are for the benefit of the majority and the good sense of that majority ought to, and doubtless would, come to the rescue of the library authorities on short notice.

As long as the library fine is a recognized penalty, numerous petty questions will continue to arise regarding its collection, registration, and use. Any exhaustive treatment of these is impossible in the limits of a single paper and I have chosen to neglect most of them in order to dwell on the question in its larger aspects. It is the exaction of the fine, after all, that is the library penalty-the money is part of the library income and its collection and disposition are properly questions of finance. One point, however, regarding the disposition of the fines bears directly on what has been said. In municipal public libraries like that of Boston, where the city requires that the fines shall be turned directly into the public treasury and not retained for library use, the substitution of a different penalty would presumably involve no diminution of income. From ordinary considerations of equity, however, it seems to me that this disposition of the fines is objectionable. If the fines are to be turned into the city treasury they should be placed to the credit of the library appropriation as they are in Brooklyn.

Regarding the collection of fines there are one or two points that bear directly on their efficiency as a punitive measure. First, shall fines be charged? It seems a hardship to refuse a well-known member a book because he does not happen to have with him the change to pay a 15 cent fine. This point of view, however, loses sight again of the element of punishment. When the delinquent who is fined a dollar in the police court does not have the money with him, does he request the magistrate to charge it and send in a bill for the month's penalties all at once? The true method, I am convinced, is to insist on cash payment of fines, and if this is done promptly their character as penalties will be more generally recognized.

Another point in regard to the collection of fines is their effect on the assistants themselves. In every library a stream of money passes in at the desk in very small amounts. This must all be accounted for, and we have the alternative of requiring vouchers for every cent or of simply keeping a memorandum account and seeing that the cash corresponds with it at the close of the day.

This latter plan, in some form, is uswally adopted. To misappropriate funds under these circumstances is not difficult, and I submit that it is not right to phace a large number of young girls in a situation where such misappropriation is easy and safe. In spite of Mark Twain, who prays that he may be led into temptation early and often, that he may get accustomed to it, I do not believe that this is a good general policy to pursue. We all know of cases where assistants have fallen into temptation, and we should not hold the library altogether blameless in the matter. But on general principles such a plan is not good business. Every one who is responsible for money collected must show vouchers that he turns over evers cent that has been given to him. Why should the library assistant be an exception? I look to see some form of cash register on every charging desk in the ideal library of the future, nor can I see that its use would be a reflection on the honesty of the assistants any more than the refusal of a bank to cash an improperly endorsed check is a reflection on the honesty of the holder.

This is on the supposition that we are to retain the fine as a penalty. Such considerations, of course, weigh down the balance still more strongly in favor of its abolition.

I have devoted so much space to the penalty for keeping books overtime because the rule on this subject is the one that is chiefly broken in a free
public library. Other offences are usually dealt with by suspension, and very properly so. For the loss or arcidental injury of a book, however, a fine is again the penalty, and here, as the offence is the causing of a definite money loss to the library, there is more reason for it. The money in this case, indeed, is to be regarded as damages, and its payment is rather restitution than punishment. Even here, however, the argment against money transactions with a free institution seems to hold good. There is no reason in the majority of cases why he who loses or destroys a book should not give to the library a new copy instead of the price thereof, and for minor injury suspension is surely an adequate penalty.

Here we may pause for a moment to ask: What right has a library to inflict any penalties at all? I must leave the full discussion of this question to the lawyers, but I am quite sure that libraries, like some other corporations, often enact and enforce rules that they have no legal right to make. To cite an instance that came under my own observation, the Brooklyn Public Library's rules were for more than a year, according to good authority, absolutely invalid because they had not been enacted by the Municipal Assembly, and that library had no right to collect a single fine. Yet during this time it did collect fines amoming to several thousand dollars, and not a word of protest was heard from the public. In this and similar cases we are getting down to first principles-the consent of the governed; which, whether based on ignorance or knowledge, is what we must rely on in the end for the enforcement of law in self-governing communities. I am afraid that it is this general consent, in a good many instances, that is enabling us to enforce our regulations, rather than any right derived from positive law. To take a related instance, it is by no means certain that libra-
ries are not hreaking the law of libel every time they send out an overdue postal notice. The courts have held that a dum on a postal is libelloms, and our orerdue cards specifically inform the person to whom they are addressed that he owes money to the library, and threaten him with punishment if the deht is not paid. Yet although occasional delimpuents remark that the law is violated by these postals, pmblic libraries in all parts of the United States continue to send them out by thousands daily with few protests. This seems clearly a case where the public consents to a punitive measure of doubtful legality, and approves it for the public grood.

The second of the two (lasses into which we have divided infractions of library rules consists of those that are also contrary to statute law or mmicipal regulation. How far shall these he dealt with purely from the library standpoint, and when shall they be turned over to the public anthorities? If a small hoy yells at the desk-assistant through door or window he is a disturber of the peace; if he throws at her some handy missile, such as a vegetable or a tin can, as occasionally happens in certain sections of muregenerate New York, he is technically committing an assault; shall he he handed over to the police?

Of course one must not treat trifles too seriously. Yet prohably libraries have been somewhat ton timid about dealing with petty offences. There is an unwillinguess to drag the libraries into the police reports that seems to be a relic of the days when all libraries were haunts of scholarly seclusion.

The modern public library camot afford to be considered an "easy mark" by those who wish to indulge in horse play or commit petty misdemeanors, and in some cases it is in danger of getting this repntation.

When we come to more serions affences, the l -
brary's duty is clearer. Theft, wilful mutilation of books, or grave disorder must of course be punished. In many cases, however, the detection of the first two offences is very difficult. Theft from open shelves is easy. For the thousands of books lost yearly in this way hardly a culprit meets punishment. I have known a professional detective to confess that the open shelf baffled him. "If you will only shut the books up," he said, "I can find out who takes 'em; but here everybody is taking out books and walking around with them." When the professional acknowledges himself beaten, what shall the librarian do? Mutilation is even harder to detect. In both these cases the offender has simply to wait his opportunity. Sooner or later there will be a second or two when no assistant is looking, even if the man is under longstanding suspicion, and in that brief time the book is slipped into the pocket or the leaf is torn out. Even when the offender is caught in the act, the magistrate may not hold, or the jury may fail to convict. A persistent mutilator of books in one of our branch libraries escaped punishment last winter because the custodian of the reading-room where he was caught did not wait until the leaf on which he was working was actually severed. The man asserted that the sharp lead pencil that he was using to separate the leaf was merely being employed to mark a place, and thus by confessing to a minor defacement he escaped the penalty of the more serious offence.

For a library that is thus forced to appeal continually to the law to protect its assistants, its users, and its collections, a manual of library law would be useful, and I am not sure that the appointment of a committee of this Association to take the matter in charge would not be eminently justified.

It is the misfortune of this paper that it has been
obliged to dwell on the darker side of library work. It is lardly necessary to remind an andience of libratrians that this is not, the prominent side. All users of a library are not delinquents or law-breakers, and the assistants have other and better work than to act as fine-collectors and detectives. The sombre effect of what you have just heard should have been dispelled by a paper on "Rewards and delights of libr"it ry work," but this the Program Committee has seen fit to omit, probably because it is not necessary to emphasize the obvious.

## HOW LIPRARIANS CHOONE BoOKS

The form in which this subject is stated removes it from the rearion of ethics and brings it down to the hard realms of fact. I am not to tell you how librarians onght to select books, hut how they do select them. I shall assume, however, that you do not care to hate this paper filled with instances of ahmormal and umprofitable selection, but that you wish to hear of the normal and the mobjectionable. Booksellers tell us that many buyers of hooks are governed in their choice by the color of the covers, and I have suspected that some librarians are influenced in the same way. Some librarians appear to ohject to works that are less than one century old ; others are on receord as diseouredging the purchase of fiction less than one year of age. Some lihrarians have a prejudice against certain classes of hooks amd an inordinate love for others.

The only things that shombd he considered hy the librarian in buying books for his library are the needs of the commmoty that he serves, the rapability of the various books under consideration to satisfy those needs, and the fimameial ability of the library to secure what is needed.

I shall take up these points in order. First, the needs of the community. These are not neeressarily to he measured by its demands, otherwise the lihrarians labor would be considerably lightened. Unfortunately, when a commmaty needs a given flast of books very desperately it is oftern serenely moneon-
scious of the fact. To the librarian falls the task not only of determining what the need is and of filling it, but also of arousing a wholesome consciousness of it. In this educational work he may be, and often is, aided by the teacher, the clergyman, or even by the users of the library themselves. Hence the importance of getting in touch with all the agencies that may do work along this line. There is nothing that calls for more tact. With the children it is comparatively easy to point out a deficiency, but a direct attempt with a self-respecting adult may end in disaster, and a season or two of well-meant effort may result in weakening the librarian's influence or even in losing him his position. But one can rarely teach tact to the tactless, and tact is something that erery librarian must have, so that this lopping-off process, after all, mar simply be regarded as a phase of nature's elimination of the unfit. One way of ascertaining the proportional demand for various classes of literature in a community, is by examining the classpercentage of circulation. By comparing these with the corresponding volume percentages we may see whether the demands of the community are being met, and by comparison with the percentages of an ideal library we may see whether such demand ought to be met or not. Of course, the ideal is somewhat indefinite. One may accept the suggested proportions in the A.L.A. catalog, or average those of several libraries of high class; or one may construct an ideal of ones own. In any case, the ideal proportions will evidently vary with conditions of place and time. To show how this test may be applied, consider the percentage of science circulated last year in the New York Public library. This varied from 3 to 28 per cent in the various branches, and was 9 per cent for the whole lihrary. The percentage of science on
the shelves smiminly varied from if to is per eent, and was also ? for the whole library. In our library sociology and philology are included in the serence report, and the percentage of these three chasese combined in the old A.L.A. catalog is 17 . If this is to be taken as the standard, therefore, the library ans a whole falls below it, thongh individual branches approach on even exeen it. As a whole, however, the demand and the supply halance pretty well. There is no donht, however, that in this and most other libraries the demand in this class is tom small and meds stimulation. Of comse, this is hrought up merely as an instance of how fertile this comparison of percentages is in information, and how valuable in ascertaining whether the demands of a community are smpplied, and whether they onght to be smpplied, along any given line.

We will assume that either in the ways indicated, or in some other, the librarian has satisfied himself that he understands what his commmity needs. How shall he find the books that will satisfy that need, and when they are found (or. still more, when they obtronde themselves on his notice) how shatl he know that they are what they clam to he?

In order to find what he wants, the lihmaian maturally turns at first to such classed hibliographies as he las at hand, including publishers' trade lists. Unfortunately, books ver? rapidly become out of print, and if his biblograplyy or list is aren two or three years old he camnot be sure that his work of selection is not in vain. The valne of the A.L.A. eatalog has been much impaired hy its inclusion of out-of-print books, and as, now that it is sereral years old, the momber of these is increasing daly, its mes has become more and more vexations, both to librarians and publishers. It is to be hoped that in the new
edition now preparing the out-of-print books will be omitted. Fortunately we now bave at our disposal yeally alphabetical lists of in-print books. Such are the index to the Trade list annual and the United States catalog for American editions, and the Index to the reference catalog of current literature for British books.

If the needs of your library require that some one class should be largely replenished, you may call in expert knowledge. Some teacher or student who is a specialist in that subject is generally not hard to find, and his advice will be of the greatest value. Special bibliographies are valuable in inverse ratio to their length-a complete list of works on Egyptology, for instance, is hardly more valuable to the ordinary small library than a full, unclassified list of books in-print on all subjects.

The majority of the small library's purchases are books as currently issued. For these the Publishers' weckly is indispensable. Some librarians prefer to look at every book before purchasing, and arrange with publishers or booksellers to send large numbers of books weekly or even daily on approval. This, if there is sufficient time, is a good plan, but it is certainly wasteful. There are many books which we can surely reject or accept from the anthor and title entry in the Publishers' weekly as well as if the actual book were in hand. If a mistake is made it will be, or should be, discovered as soon as the book is received, and the volume can then be exchanged. Only the doubtful books need be asked for on approval, and these will generally be found to constitute a relatively small percentage of the whole.

The data on which the librarian may rely to accept or reject from a mere list of books are: 1) the anthor's name; ©) the title, with such brief amotation
as may follow it ; 3) notices in the book matazines; 4) the publisher's name. 'The anthor stands for much -the style, methed of treatment, the fitness to print of what he has to say, the readableness of his book, and so one We all know that there are anthors whom we can absolutely rely on in these respects, either for acceptance or rejeertion. It is thas mecessary that the librarian may know the miformly good anthor and the miformly had ones; lont experience most be his guide, as this lies somewhat without the seope of the present paper. The title should tell us something about the contents of the book, hut, menfomatele, the aim of the title-maker is too oftem not to give information but to stimulate comiosity. In some cases this is carried so far that the title of a book leaves us in absohte ignorance as to whether it is sociology, travel, or fiction. One is, therefore, generally ohliged to refer to some kind of descriptive note to get the desired information. Such notes are often alpended to lists and the lihrarian does well to remember that they are generally not intended to be critical. For rriticism we must go to the reviews, and here I have always felt, and still feel, that the librarian has a real grievance. The book periodicals are many, and every daily paper has its critical page. This mass of matter is made aressible through the rerently issum Index to books reviewed. Yet with it all there is not one place where the liharian may look for brief notes on current hooks, telling him just what he wants to know and no more, and with the confidence that the information is quite free from hias. ln saying this I am duite ready to give credit to our best book reviews for their many good qualities. What I mean is, that the reviews are written for the reader or the bookseller, never for the libmatian. In making use of those at his disposal the limarian must learn to dis-
criminate, to weigh authorities, and to pick out the occasional sharp needle of valuable criticism from the haystack of discursive talk.

Lastly, the selector may rely on the name of the publisher. This may tell him much or little, but it may at any rate guarantee good paper and type, and it may also assure him that the book contains no improprieties. Unfortunately, it cannot insure against dullness-publisher's readers are but mortal, and the best will occasionally reject a pearl and take in a pebble.

When all is said and done, of course the intelligent man who has read a book carefully knows more about it than he could have found out by reading all the amnotations and reviews in the world. The librarian of a small library can read every book under ronsideration. The head of a large library cannot do this; the larger his daily or weekly order, the more he must rely on the recommendations and opinions of others, and even the books that he orders on approval he camot read himself.

Here, perhaps, is the place to note that not every librarian is his own selector. The responsible decision in these matters rests, of course, in most libraries, with a committee of some sort; lout if the librarian is one in whose judgment this committee has confidence (and no other should hold the position at all) he will have a practically free hand. For decision in regard to doubtful books, especially current fiction, some libraries have special reading committees, often composed of ladies, but it can hardly be said that the results arrived at in this way are satisfactory. It is vastly better for the librarian to select a few persons, either on his staff or outside of it, on whom he can rely to give him information, after reading a book, on specific points regarding which he may
require it. Especially in considering current fiction should the reader be able to distinguish between mere outspokenness, such as we find in the Bible or Shakespeare, and immoral or degrading tendency. The ordinary woman reader, especially the young woman, will often condemn a book for framkness when its tendency is decidedly good, and pass a clever, pleasant tale whose influence on many persons is bad, though conveyed entirely be indirection. Of course the librarian or the committee may make a general rule to exclude frankness, which, personally, I think is a mistake, though I am free to acknowledge that there are boundaries beyond which even a well-meaning writer should not be allowed to go.
of course, I can say but a word here on the trash question in fiction. But be not, I pray, too stern a censor. When selecting for a free public library judge books largely by their fruits. If a story sends a boy out with a pistol to play robber-somewhat too much in emrnest-it is surely had; if it makes him love justice and incline to pity, it camot be altogether out of place in a library though it may be unreal and inane. Its characters may be wooden puppets to you, while to the young reader they are heroes, full of the divine qualities of courage, sympathy, and tenderness. As the reader thinketh so is the book-not as you, wise critic, in your plentitude of knowledge, would have it to he.

The third consideration that must govern us in our choice, though I have put it last, is really the controlling one. Unless there is something in the treasury we may choose books all day, and our selection is as unarailing as the street child's choice of jewels in a shop window; and the more money one has at one's disposal, the easier it is to spend it. I must speak of the library's finances here, however, only as
they affect the librarian's choice of books. Given a specified book appropriation, the librarian must often have to decide upon the best way to spend it, and upon the proper distribution of expenditure over the year.

All these things influence his choice more or less. From one point of view it seems well to expend the greater part of the amount as soon as it becomes available, especially if a large number of pressing needs have been waiting for satisfaction. The trouble is that one cannot foresee what needs will also press for satisfaction during the coming year. Another plan is to distribute the expenditure pretty evenly without making any too strict rule in the matter.

With the first arrangement the librarian will be apt to buy a good many of the larger and more expensive works-and, perhaps, be sorry for it afterward. With the latter he will purchase more current literature and satisfy his readers better, though the general quality of his purchases may not be so high.

Perhaps a compromise may bring the best results. He who decides at the outset what reference works he can afford to buy during the year, and how much he must spend at once on replacements and duplicates, and after deducting these fixed charges from his appropriation divides the remainder into weekly or monthly portions for current purchases, will not go far wrong.

To the financial section of this discussion belongs also the question of editions. Shall the librarian choose the best or the cheapest? Which is the best and which is the cheapest for his purpose? In the first place, we may exclude the extremes. Editions de luxe have no place in the ordinary free library, and, on the other hand, we should not think of offering
to a self-respecting reader books printed on hand par per with worse type, simply becanse they can be purchased at a phenomenally low figure. But betwern these two there are many giades of heauty and durability. Here, as elsewhere, there is saffety in the guld en mean. As far as hindings of exceptional dmability go, the question of paying extral for them depends on the use that is to be made of the book. If it will circulate so little that the ordinary limding will last twenty years, why spend money for anything stronser? Sgain, if it get such hard treatment that it must be replaced in a rear's time, why put on it a himdiner that would ontlive ten years of such vicissitudes? Still again, with current books of popular interest, the library cannot wait to have them put into special bindings, but for standard, popular works, which will have stead! but not hard use, and which can be ordered three months before they are to be used, money spent on special hindings may be economy in the end. Here, howerer, we are drifting a little way from our subject.

The three points that we must take into consideration in selecting books, mamely, the commmitys need. the determination of what hooks witl satisfy it, and the consideration of how far the librarys financial condition will allow it to go in that direction, have been treated separately, but it must be exident that they are in reality so closely commecterl that they act and react on each other. No one of them can in practice be considered apart from the wthers. Thus the first necessity of the library may be books on music, and a secondary need may be books on wathr supply. It may so happen, howeres, that a complete and up-to-date work on the latter suloject. we will say, has just been issued at a moderate price, whila the works on music most needed are expensive. Then
result would he quite different from that reached by a consideration of the first point alone. Again, we will take the case of a large library with a book appropriation large enough to buy practically all that it wants in current literature. This fact drops point third out of consideration entirely and modifies both the others considerably. If the library wants both music and hydraulics, and has money enough for only one, we must consider carefully which can best be spared; but if the funds are at hand for both, all this thought is not needed. In like manner, even if there are funds for both, but only for one or two books on each subject, we must select the books we need most, which we need to do if we have money to buy all we want on both subjects. In sloort, the work of selecting is more difficult, as has been said, with a few hooks than with many, but the consolation must be that the result is better. The temptation, when one has plenty of money, is to let selection go by the board altogether and to garner in wheat and tares alike, trusting to the public to do the sorting.

We may be almost alarmed to learn from the plysiologist of the complicated vital processes that go on within us, of which the cessation means death, and yet of which we remain in daily ignorance. These things often regulate themselves. The selection of books, like the inflation of the lungs, may be performed almost antomatically, yet with substantial success. It is instructive to see how nearly the class percentages in the ordinary library approximate to the average without any conscious regulation by the librarian. The community is apt to get about what it needs in fairly good quality and without runing its lihrary into debt. Yet there can surely be no harm in analyzing a little the work of selection, nor can there be any objection to supplementing by con-
scious action work that has gone on, however well, chiefly in the combined subconsciousness of a librarian and the community.

Especially is this desirable in making the distinction, already emphasized at the opening of this paper, between what the community wants and what it needs. The fever patient who needs acid sometimes cries for a pickle, and thus eures limself in spite of his nurse ; but it is more commonly the case that the patient's need is masked by some abmormal desire, and that he cries for pork-chops or lohster, or something else that would kill him. We call hardly give up the nurse, therefore, provided she knows her business, and part of that business is to realize the difference between a mere want and a vital need.

So with the librarian, the murse of the reading public. Left altogether to themselves her patients may kill themselves with pork or lobster; it is her business to see that such an mitoward event does not occur.

Those of us to whom this duty has been intrusted, whether we are librarians, trustees, or the members of book-committees, deserve both the good-will and the sympathy of the public; and, like the western organist, I pray that we may not be shot. We are doing our best.

## THE WORK OF THE SMALL PURLIC LIHR.DR

We cannot too often remind ourselves of the fillt that a circulating library is a distributing agence, and as such has points in common with other such agencies. The whole progress of rivilization is dependent on distribution-the hringing to the imdividual of the thing he wants or nerds. The librarys. activities are, therefore, in the same class with commerce, and the tendency of modern changes in the li brary is to make the analogy closer and closer: To recognize this fact is by no means to degrade libnary work. All workers fall into the two great classes of producers and distributors. Civilization (an tret along without neither; we must have the farmer to grow the wheat and the railway to market it: we must have the anthor to write the book and the puhlisher and the bookseller and the librarian to phaer it in the hands of those who can mese it. The librarian is not a producer; he takes the product of other peosple's brains and distributes it; and his problem is how to do this most effectively.

Do not misunderstand me. There have herm some recent protests against treating the library as a commercial instead of an educational institution. The free library is not a commercial institution, but it is an agency for distributing something, and there arm also hundreds of other agencies for distributing other things. The objects and the methorls of distribution are various, but certain laws apply to all kinds of distribution. Hence we may learn a good deal abont
library work by examining to see what it has in common with other kinds of distribution and in what respect it differs from them.

Now, the prime factors in any kind of distribution are: 1, the products to be distributed; 2, the persons to whom they are to be distributed; 3, the distributors and methods of distribution. I know no better way of laying the basis of an efficient and successful distribution than the brief study, in order, of these three factors.

First let us consider the things that we are to distribute, namely, books. And at the outset let us remember that althongh these things are apparently material, as much so as butter or hats, they are much more than this. They are the vehicles for conveying ideas, so that a library is a concern for the dissemination of ideas. This hrings it in line with another great intellectual and moral distributing agencythe school. In the school the distributor is more often a producer than in the library, especially in the miversities, where the discoverer of new facts or laws himself imparts them to his students. Yet the school is essentially a distributing rather than a producing agency. In the school, however the means of distribution are not limited, while in the library they are pretty strictly confined to the printed book. I know that there are some people who believe that the library is growing out of such restrictions, and that its mission is to be the distribution of ideas through any and all mediums-the spoken word, in lectures; the pictures, in exhibitions of art; the museum specimen; and so on. We should welcome all these as adjuncts to our own business, and when we have mastered that business thoroughly perhaps we may take them up each on its own account. Those who love books, however, will want to see the distrib-
ution of books always at the head of the library's activities.

And it may be kept there, provided we make everything else in the library serve as guide-posts to the printed records on the slielves. A picture lulletin, for instance, may be both beautiful and useful, but it should never be ane end in itself. It is the bate if we may so speak, for the list of books that acompanies it. The pictures exrite the interest of a chilal who sees them and he wants to know more about them. The list tells him where he can find out, and the result is increased nse of the library. In like manner if you have a lecture course, or a loan exhilition in your library, see that it is made a mealls of stimulating interest in your books.

I have said that in distribution we bring to the indiridual what he wants or what he needs. That sounds a little tantological, but it is not. A man often wants whiskey when he doesn't need it at all. and conversely a boy sometimes needs a whippingbut he doesn't want it. So with the reading public. They often want fiction of a class that they do not need, and have no longing for books that would reatly benefit them. Here we may note a difference hetween the free library and all merely commerwial systems of distrilution. As the purpose of the latter is to make money, wants are regarded rather than needs. But even with a store there are limitations. If any one wants an injurions artiele-for instance. a poison or an explosive-the law steps in to prohibit or regulate. And cren outside the limits of such regulation, the personal sense of responsibility to the community that governs the actions of an homest merchant will prevent his attempting to satisfy eertain wants that he believes wonld better remain unsatisfied. So, too, eertain books are without the pale
of the law-they would be confiscated and the librarian would be punished if they were circulated. Beyond these there are many books that we do not circulate simply from our sense of general responsibility to the community.

The difference between our work and that of the merchant in this regard lies chiefly in the more extended scope left for our own judgment. No librarian thinks of circulating illegal literature; his only care is to exclude such of the allowable books as he helieves should not, for any reason, be placed on his shelves. Here, sometimes, popularity and usefulness part company. The librarian may yield entirely too much to the wants-the demands-of the community and neglect its needs. His aim should be to bring the wants and the needs into harmony so far as possible, to make his people want what will do them good. This might be dubbed "the whole duty of a librarian." Few, I am afraid, attain to the full measure of it, and too many fail even to realize its desirability. Of course if you can bring the full force of a reader's conscience to bear on his reading-if you can make him feel that it is his duty to read some good book that strikes him as stupid, you may make him stick to it to the bitter end, but such perfunctory reading does little good. The pleasure one gets in reading is a sign of benefits received. Even the smile of the boy who reads George Ade is a sign that the book is furnishing him with needed recreation. The pleasure experienced, we will say, in reading Shakespeare is of course of a far higher type; yet I venture to say that if that pleasure is absent, the benefit is absent too. Nine-tenths of the distaste felt for good standard books by the average reader is the result of the mistaken efforts of some one to force him to read one of these books by something in the nature
of an appeal to duty. There is no moral obligation to read Shakespeare if you do not like it, and if a friend persuades you of such an obligation you are apt to end by rightly conchuding that he is wrong. But with this conclusion comes an unfortunate distaste for good literature; a conviction that standard works are all dull, and that the only kind of pleasure to be had from reading is the most superficial kind. The moral for librarians is: cultivate in your readers a taste for good literature; get them into the frame of mind and the grade of culture where they like Shakespeare and then turn them loose. No injunctions will be necessare; they will not cease to read until they have devoured the utmost sentence.

But how shall this taste be cultivated? I wish I knew. I wish I could give you a formula for cansing the flower of literary appreciation to unfold. The rule is different in every case. First and foremost there must be something to cultivate. Som camot go out into the desert with watering-pot and raise strawberries or asparagus. But you can take a poor little spindling plant and dig about it and fertilize it until it waxes into a robnst tree whose branches are laden with big, juicy ideas. If you are skilful enough to find out what intellectual germs there are in your readers mind you can cultivate them little by little, but if you throw Shakespeare and Milton at the heads of all alike they will be likely to fall on barren ground. The golden rule for making your library both attractive and useful (the two things go hand in hand) is to adapt your books to those aptitudes of your readers that need and will bear cultivation.

This means that in selecting books for your library you must not disregard the demands and reenests of your readers. It also means that you must have
the acuteness to detect what they ought to request. It may be, for instance, that near your library is the home of some great industry employing large numbers of intelligent mechanics who would gain both enjoyment and benefit by reading some of the technical literature bearing on their work. Only it has never occurred to them to think that this literature, much of it perhaps expensive or inaccessible, can be obtained at the public library. It is your business to get it, if you can, and to let them know that you have it and that they are welcome to read it.

Remember, too, that he gives twice who gives quickly. Much of the ephemeral literature of the day, which is purchased for recreative purposes, is rightly and properly read for curiosity. People like to read the latest book and talk to each other about it. We are all embryo critics. This desire to read the last thing out, just because it is the last, has had anathemas piled on it until it ought to be crushed, but it is still lively. I confess I have it myself and I cannot blane my neighbor if he has it too. Unless we are wholly to reject the recreative use of the library or to accept it with a mental reservation that the public shall enjoy itself according to a prescribed formula or not at all-we shall have to buy some of these books. I am afraid that otherwise some future historian of literature may say of us in parody of Macaulay's celebrated epigram on the Puritans and bearbaiting, that the twentieth-century librarian condemned the trentieth-century novel, not because it did harm to the library, but because it gave pleasure to the reader. Now, if we are going to buy this ephemeral literature, we must get it quickly or not at all. The latest novel must go on your shelves hot from the presses, or stay off. And this is true of much other literature that is not ephemeral but that de-
pends for its effect on its timeliness. It will certainly lose readers if it is mot on your sholves promptly, and if it deserves readers, as much of it does, the net result is a loss to the commmity.

So we come next to the guestion of ranlars. How shall we get them? What kind dow want, and how shall we reach that kind? In "ommorial systems of distribution the merelant gets curtomers intwo ways: by giving good quality amd good measure and by adrertising. Some kind of adrertising is iromarally essential. Even if your commmity is a very small one it is right that yom shouhd oceasimally remind it of your existence and of what fon have to wher. Legitimate advertising is simply informing people where they can obtain something that they are likely to want. The address of your libarry should bre in your railway station; in the sehools: in the druer store. Your latest accessions should be amomed in the local papers and bulletined in the same plares. When you have an item about your library that would interest the reader send it yourself to the paper. There is nothing undignified ahout this. Do not forget that you are in charge of certain articles that the public needs and desires and that it is your business to let the public know it. The new-comer to your town camot know intuitively that yon library is at such and such an aldress: the old resident who likes to read Howells cammot ascertain by telepathy that you have just received the last volume by his favorite author. You may even send a special card of information to a reader who you know will be glad to get it.

One would think that if there was anything distinctive about our systems of distrihution, commercial or otherwise, it was the great degree to which we advertise and the money that we spend in so do-
ing. But with it all, this feature in its misdirected energy and lack of method is the weak point of the whole system. Much of the money spent in advertising is devoted to attempts to get people to buy what they do not want. Any one knows that when he desires a very special or definite thing it is often impossible to find it, thougl it may be next door. In our library work, so far as readers are concerned, our weak points are two: first, failure to make known our presence and our work to all who might use the library; second, failure to hold our readers. These things are both serious. We ourselves see so much of libraries that we find it difficult to understand how large a proportion of any community is ignorant of them and their work. In large cities, of course, this is more likely to be the case than in small towns. Yet if you will compare the number of names on your registration list with the population you serve, even making allowance for the fact that each book withdrawn may be read by several persons, and deducting young children who cannot read, you will be surprised at the discrepancy. There are many people who do not know of your library's existence or who do not realize what it means. Your first duty is to find some way of giving them the information and of seeing that they shall not forget it.

Regarding the second failure, you may get some idea of that if you will compare the growth of your registration list with that of your circulation. The circulation never grows as fast as the membership. It may even be stationary or decreasing while new users are coming in daily. The fact is, of course, that former users are all the time dropping off. Why do they drop off? It is your business to find out and to keep them if you can. The librarian in a small community has a great advantage in this respect, for
she can know her constitnency personally and kepp track of them individually.

But the personal relations of the librarian and her assistants with the public belong as much in the third section of our subject as in the second. The importance of them cannot be exaggerated. I am not sure that I should not prefer a sumny-faced, pleasant-voiced, intelligent, wood-tempered assistant in a tumbledown building with a lot of second-hand, badly arranged books, rather than the latest ('arnegie library stocked with literary treasures if these had to be dispensed by a hanghty young lady with monosyllabic answers and a fatigued expression. I know of no more exasperating duty than that of continually meeting a library public-and I know of no pleasanter one. For the public is just you and me and some other people, and like you and me it is various in its moods. The mood of the public in a library is often a reflection of that of the librarian. The golden rule here is direct personal contact; and don't forget the last syllable-tact. Don't force your services or your advice on people that neither wish nor require them, hut don't forget that you may have pleasant, intellectual intercourse without offering either aid or advice. When an aged man who knows more of literature than you dreamed of in your wildest visions wants "The Dolly dialogues," don't try to get him to take "Marius the Epicurean" instead. But if you get into the habit of talking with him it may make the library seem pleasant and homelike to him, and, besides, he may tell you something that you do not know--that is it not remote and certainly fascinating possibility.

I need not say that no library can be useful or attractive unless it is properly arranged and cataloged, and moless it has a simple and effective charer
ing system; and unless the public is admitted directly to the shelves and allowed to handle and select the books. But I do need to say-because some of us are apt to forget it-that these things are not ends in themselves, but means to an end, namely, the bringing together of the man and the book, the distribution of ideas. Do not assume that for some occult reason you must classify and catalog your library precisely like some large public library with which you are familiar. Do not assume, if you are a trained cataloger, that there is any virtue, for instance, in subject cards. One subject heading that brings the book in touch with your public outweighs a dozen that do not affect it. To bring together man and book break all rules and strike out in all kinds of new directions. Your particular locality and your particular public may have special requirements that are present nowhere else. Rules were made for the aid and comfort of the public, not for their confusion and hindrance. Methods are the librarian's tools, not his handcuffs and shackles. To do anything well we must do it with method and system; but these, like a growing boy's clothes, need frequent renewal. If your library has stopped growing and has reached senility, then the same suit will fit it year after year, but premature old age is not a good goal to strive for.

## I.AY CONTROL IN LIBRARIES AN゙I) ELSEWHERE*

The system by which the control of a concern is rested in a person or a body having no expert teclnical knowledge of its workings has become so common that it may be regarded as characteristic of modern civilization. If this seems to any one an extreme statement, a little reflection will comvince him to the contrary. To cite only a few examples, the boards of directors of commercial or financial institutions like our manufacturing corporations, our railways and our banks, of charitable fomblations like our hospitals and our asylums, of educational establishments like our schools and colleges, are now not expected to understand the detail of the institutions under their charge. Their first duty is to put at the head of their work an expert with a staff of competent assistants to see to that part of it. Even in most of our churches the minister or pastor-the expert head-is employed and practically controlled ly a lay body of some kind-a restry, a session or the like. Govermment itself is similarly conducted. Neither the legislative nor the executive branch is expected to be made up of experts who miderstand the technical detail of departmental work; all this is left to subordinates. Even the heads of departments often know nothing at all of the particular work over which they have been set until they have held their position for some time.

It is hardly necessary to say that this system of

[^1]lay control is of interest to us here and now, beeause it obtains in most libraries, where the governing body is a board of trustees or directors who are generally not experts, but who employ a librarian to superintend their work.

To multiply examples would be superflous. Lay control, as above illustrated, is not universal, but I postpone for the present a consideration of its antitheses and its exceptions. It looks illogical, and when the ordinary citizen's attention is brought to the matter in any way he generally so considers it. In certain cases it is even a familiar object of satire. The general public is apt, I think, to regard lay control as improper or absurd.

With the expert and his staff, who are concerned directly with the management of the institution in question, the feeling is a little different. It is more like that of President Cleveland when he "had Congress on his hands"-a sort of anxious tolerance. They bear with the board that employs them because it has the power of the purse, but they are glad when it adjourns without interfering unduly with them.

Are either of these points of view justified? Should lay boards of directors be abolished? Or, if retained, should those without expert knowledge be barred?

Now at first sight it certainly seems as if the ultimate control of every business or operation should be in the hands of those who thoroughly understand it, and this would certainly bar out lay control. I believe that this view is superficial and will not bear close analysis.

The idea that those who control an institution should be familiar with its details appears to originate in an analogy with a man's control of his own private affairs, when his occupation and income
make it necessary that he should attem to all those affairs personally. The citizen who digs and plants his own garden must moderstand some of the details of gardening. The man who does his own "obld jols" about the house must be able to drive a mail and handle a paint brush. This necessity ranishes, bowever, as the man's interests become more raried and his financial ability to care for them becomes greater. At a certain point personal attention to detail hecomes not only unecessary but impossible. To expect the master of a great estate to moderstand the details of his garden, his stable, lis kemels, as well as the experts to whom he entrusts them, is absurd. He may, of course, as a matter of ammsement, busy himself in some one department, but if he tries to superintend everything personally, still more to understand and regulate matters of detail, he is wasting his time.

We must seek our analogy, them, looth for lay control and for the attitude of the ordinary citizen toward it in that citizen's management of his prisate affairs. He knows his own business-or thinks he does-and he finds it hard to realize that the details of that business could ever grow beyond his personal control.
lint, after all, this progress is one towards the normal. Attention to details in the case of the poor man is forced upon hinn. Except in rate cases, he does not really rare to shovel his own snow; he would prefer to hire a man to do it, and as soon as he can he does do so. So long as his sidewalk is properly cleared he is willing to leave the details to the man who clears it. He does not care whether that man begins at the north or the sonth end, or whether his shovelfuls are small or large.

Here, if we examine, we shall find a common
characteristic of those kinds of work where laymen are in control-the persons for whom the work is done care very much about results; they are careless of methods so long as those results are attained. And in a very large number of cases the persons for whom the work is done will be found to be the public, or so large a section of it that it is practically a group of laymen so far as the particular work in question may be concerned.

A lay board of directors or a lay departmental head, then, is simply and properly a representative of a greater lay body that is particularly anxious for results and not particularly anxious about methods. Lay control is thus not illogical, but is the outcome of a regular and very proper development. But, as has been said, it is not the only method of controlling a great institution. An institution may be managed by a graded body of experts. So were the old guilds of craftsmen managed. So are many ecclesiastical bodies, notably the Roman Catholic Church. We may call this method of control hierarchical. It has some advantages over lay control and some disadvantages. We may imagine such a system applied to libraries. All the libraries in a state, we will say, would then be managed by the state librarian, and all these officers would be subject to the orders of the librarian of the national library, who would be supreme and accountable to no one. Without going into detailed discussion of this extremely supposititions case, we may say that the objection to it would be that the persons who are especially interested in the results of the work done are not represented in the controlling hierarchy. Where the persons interested are all experts, as in a guild of craftsmen, there can perhaps be no objection to control by experts; though even in this case we are leaving out of consid-
eration the persons, geneially laynem, for whom the craftsmen do their work.

In fact, any trouble that may arise from the lay control of a hody of expert workers lies just herein the failure either of the controlling anthority or the trained subordinates to recognize and keep within their limitations. It should be the function of the supreme lay anthority to decide what results it waints and then to see that it gets them-to call attention to any deriation from them and to replace those who cannot achieve them by others who can. It should be the part of the expert staff of subordinates to discover by what methods these results can best he reached and then to follow out these methods.

When the lay head attempts to direct the details of method, or when the trained subordinate thinks it his duty to inflnence the polic? of the institution, then there is apt to be trouble.

Such results are apt to follow, on the one hand, the inclusion in a board of trmstees of a man with a passion for detail and a great personal interest in the work under him, but without a keen realization of the necessity for strict orgallization and discipline in his expert staff; or, on the other hand, from the presence in that staff of a masterful man who camot rest until he is in virtual control of whatever he concerns himself about.

I say trouble is upt to follow in such cases. It does not always follow, for the onquization may adapt itself to circumstances. The interested trustee may play with ease his two roles, fitting into his board as a lay member and becoming practically also a part of the expert staff. The masterful subordinate may dominate his board so as to become its dictator, and thus do away for a time with his lay control. We have all seen both these things happen.
not only in libraries, but in hanks, in hospitals, in charitable institutions. In some cases it has been well that they have happened. But although an occasional stick is flexible enongh to be tied into a knot, it would be hazardous to try the experiment with all sticks. Some may bend but more will break.

Is it not better to accept frankly the division of labor that seems to have been pointed out by the development of cur institutions for the guidance of their management?

Boards of trustees in this case would find it necessary to decide first on the desirable results to be reached in their work. This is a phase of library discussion that has been somewhat neglected. What is the public library trying to get at? Not stated in vague terms, but in concrete form, so that the tristees can call the librarian to account if he fails to accomplish it? It is only fair to the librarian that he should be informed at the outset precisely what he is expected to do, and then it is only fair that he should be left to do it in his own way.

This is an unoccupied field, and it would he an eminently proper one for the 'Trustees' Section of the American Library Association. We librarians should be very glad to know just what you expect us to accomplish, for on that depends our manner of setting to work. Do you wish us to aim at decreasing the percentage of illiteracy in the commonity? or the arrests for drunkenness? Are we to strive for an increased circulation? And will in absolute increase be satisfactory, or must it be an increase proportionate to population? Is it definitely demanded of us to decrease our fiction percentage? shall we, in any given case, devote our attention chiefly to the home use or the reference use of the library? Shall we favor the student or the ordinary eitizen? These
questions, of course, cannot receive a qemeral answer ; they must le decided differently in different cases, but at least we may agree on the type of question that it is admissible to answer at all and on the deerere of detail to whielh it is permissible 10 ?ll ill staline are quirement.

For instance, is it admissible for a brard to say to its lilorarian, "lle results that we require fou to show include the following: A well-ordered collec. tion of books rlassified according to the lewey system, bound in half duck and distributed with the aid of the Browne charging system?" I think it will be granted that this would be an attempt to control the details of method in the guise of a statement of desired results. But where shall we draw the line? How specific may be the things that a board may properly require of its expert statf? 'That is the question whose solution by this section would be an inestimable benefit to all lihraries and librarians. It present there is wide difference of opinion and of practice on this point. Many people would not agree at all with the limitations that have just been laid down; even those who do asree wonld differ widely over their interpretation.

There is hardly time to anticipate and meet criticism. I shall be reminded, I suppose, that the funds for carrying on the librarys work are in the hands of the trustees, and that one of the main objects of their existence is to ser that the mone? is honestly spent, not stolen or wasted. How ram they do this without close orersight of methorls? To this I would reply that this important fumetion of the board is distinctly the requirement of a result, that result being the honest administration of the library. The method ly which it may be administered most hon-
estly is best left to the expert head. Naturally, if evidence of peculation or waste comes before the board the librarian will be held to account as having failed to achieve the required result of honest administration. In this and in other respects the necessity that the board should know whether or not the desired results are being attained means that the work of the executive officer should be followed with attention. It must be evident. however, that this does not involve control and dictation of methods.

It must also be remembered that what has been said refers only to the administrative control of the institution. The duties of trustees as custodians of of an endowment fund, if such there be, or in soliciting and receiving contributions as well as other financial considerations, are separate from this and have not been considered.

Again, I shall be told that the head of the executive staff is not only a subordinate but also an expert adviser of his board. This is true; and as a consulting expert it is his duty to give advice outside of his own administrative field if he is asked for it. It may even be his duty to give it unasked occasionally, but this comes very near to the interference that I have deprecated. He who would tread this borderland must tread softly. On the other hand, the expert may and should ask the advice of members of his board as individuals or of the board as a whole when he needs it and when he feels that it would give him confidence or strengthen his hand. In this whole matter there is a clear distinction between the advisory and executive function on one hand and on the other.

In short, the view taken in this paper may be briefly summed up as follows: Lay control in libra-
ries and elsewhere is a logical and proper develop). ment. It would not, on the whole, be well for onf who should wish to endow a library to mak" an expert librarian sole trustee for life with power to select his successor. That wonld be a fine thing for the librarian, lut it would be neither desirable mor prop. er. It is well that the trustees should be respomsibla representatires of the lay publice, for whose bemofit the library is to be conducted. But as the publice is interested chiefly in results, the trustees shomld comfine themselves largely to the indication and requirement of these resnlts, leaving methods in the hand of their expert staff of subordinates. And it is eminently desirable that librarians should hear from a representative body of trustees some expression of opinion regarding the extent of this limitation.

## THE WHOLE DUTY OF A LIBRARY TRU゙ぶTEF： 


#### Abstract

At a former meeting of this section the present writer had the honor of reading a paper in which he made an attempt to show that the trustee of the pub－ lic library is the representative of the public and，as such，interested especially in results as distinguished from methods，which are the business of the tibrarian as an expert administrator．In making this distinc－ tion I urged trustees to give particuar attention to the formulation of such results as they should con－ sider desirable，that lihrarians on their part might confine themselves more to the consideration of ap－ propriate methods for the attaimment of these results． So far as I know，however，this work remains to be accomplished，and it is because I still think it dexir－ able that I weloome this opportunity of restating the situation and making some attempt to illustrate it and to indicate what may and should be done in the premises．According to this view it is not only the duty of a hoard of trustees to consider what shombd be the results amed at by its library，to formulate its conchasions，to communicate them to the librarian and then to hold him responsible for their attain－ ment，hut everything that the board may properly do may he brought under this head；amd to state it broadly is therefore to set forth compehemsibely the ＂whole duty of a trustee，＂which maly seme as the justification of my somewhat ambitions title．

The layman＇s influence，control exercised hy and

^[ ＊An address before the Trustces＇Section of（he Amerlcan LIbrary Association，Narragansett Conference， 1906. ]


through the viewpoint of the general public, is a most excellent thing, however much the expert may chafe under it. This is apparent in every art and craft. The expert, the man who has made a study of teclmigue, of the way to do it, comes more and more t.o think of the method rather than the result-to elaborate detail and manner and to take keen joy in their recognition and comparison. so it is with the worker in art or in literature, and this we have What are called painter's pictures and musician's music and poet's poems-works that interest and delight those whose business it is to prodnce them, but which leave the general reader or hearer cold. It is evident that these, no matter how raluable or inter. esting they may be from one standpoint, are not the highest examples of their class. Better are the crude attempts of native genius which kindle enthusiasm and alonse the best impulses while breaking every canon of art. Best of all, of counse, are the Works where the technique and the result are both admirable amd where the terhmical resomrees of the workers are bronght to bear conscionsly, directly and successfully rpon the attaimment of the result. And to produce such works two forces must generally co-operate-the trained skill and enthusiasm of the artist and the requirement of the general prolic that his work must appeal to them, interest them, take them a message. Now this is of interest to us here and now, becanse, just as we occasionally have "composer's music" and "architect's buildings," so, it is to be feared, we may have librarian's libraries-institutions that are carried on with the highest degree of technical skill and with enthusiasm and interest and yet fail of adequate achievement because the librarian makes the mistake of regarding the technique as an end instead of as a means-of thinking that if his
 sults must needs follow, instead of aiminer diroctly at his results amblaptang his methouls for their attainment.

It is here that the trustee as the wficiall rerpore sentative of the general public, may alplly at rorres tive influence. lat the case of the artist or the writer this influchere is brought to hear gemorally in at than rial waly-by a wealthy patron who will oralex a pisture or statue provided it accords with his own ideas -by lostile criticism, publice or jurivate that drives away purchasers. In a public library, pmblic opinion rarely makes itself felt in this way: indeed, it combl do so only in cases where disregard of the publice amomed to mismanagement and led to the reduction of appropriations or the dischare of the librandian. I'ublic criticisum, as in the press, miont also atfect a librarians course : it undonbtedly witen does. lont it need not: and he maty safely disregard it as a wemeral thing. Whar, however, his board of tomstees calls him to accomnt, le must listen, and when it tells him what he is expected to do, it is then his busimess to devise the lest way to do it.

A rough classitication and amalysis of the results that a librarian may be expected to acoomplish may not be out of plate leres. We may treat them under four heads: finalucial, educational, recerational and social.

Financial rsults.- I library must show a grod material retmen for money expermed. By this is meant that its books and supplies must be purehased at fair lates, its salaries reasomalbly moportioned to quantity and duality of services rembered, its property economically administered. I board of trosteres is derelict in its duty if it does not require all this. and also labld its lihrarian rigiolly to surfl requiremont.

This means that it most, along the broadest lines, know the ratio of expenditure to return in these various departments; it does not mean that the librarian should be hampered by the prescription of details. It means, for example, that the expert administrator should be called to account if his bills for lighting and heating are excessive, and that he should be asked to show cause why they should not be kept within bounds; it does not mean that he should be required to use lights of a certain candle-power or turn off the light in a particnlar room at a given hour. In most libraries, the making of ammal appropriations under designated heads and the requirement that cause shall be shown for a transfer from one of these categories to another, are sufficient measures of finaucial control.

Among the fuancial results that have already attracted the attention of the public and hence engaged the interest of boards of trustees is the attaimment of a proper ratio of expenditure for books to the expense of administration. This ratio is generally regarded by the lay critic as abnormally small, but trustees have generally acquiesced in the librarian's explanation of the causes that seem to him to make it necessarily so. It is undoubtedly the trustee's duty to call his expert administrator's attention to this and all other seeming discrepancies in expenditure, and to make sure that they are not carrying the library too far toward technical perfection at the expense of practical efficiency.

Educational results.-It is only right to require that a library should be able to show that it is increasing the educational content of the community, or raising its educational standard, or at least that it is exerting itself to do so, both directly and by cooperation with other agencies, especially with the
public schools. A board of trustees is certainly justified in ascertaining ly any means in its power whether this is being done, and if not, in asking an explanation of its librarian. Does everyone in the commanity know where the library is". 1s everrone who would be benefited by it making use of it?" Is it a help to the schools, and do the teachers recomize this fact? Does the community in general regard it as a place where material for the acquisition of know edge is stored and discriminatingly given ont? These are questions that can be settled not so much by the examination of statistics as by ascertaining the general feeling of the commmity. It is much easier for a trustee to find this out than it is for a librarian: and trustees, both individually and as a body, should continually bear in mind the vallue to them of infonmation along this line. Librarians are apot to talk a good deal about the educational function of the library as an adjunct and supplement to the school. It is to their credit that they have made it an moneational force not mader pressure hout voluntarily. as a recognition of the necessities of the sitnation. Ihat where such necessities have not yet been recognized or where their fall import has been slow of realizaltion, the educational side of libnary work remains undereloped. Let the board of tristees motity its executive officer that it expects him to look io this feature of his work as thoromglay as to the condition of his huilding or the ecomomical expenditure of his lighting appropriation, and all surh institutions will experionce a change of heant.

Recreational results. - Nothing is more important to the physical and moral health of a community, as of an individual, than the quality of the reareation that it takes. The question of whether recreation is or is not taken need not be considered. Everuone takes
recreation; if means for the healthy normal variety are not provided, the other kind will oceupy its place. And the healthy normal individual-child or adultprefers the first kind if he can get it. With the physical variety the library has nothing to do; but to purvey proper intellectual recreation is one of its most important provinces. Is this adequately done? Is it done at all? Does the librarian exalt other functions of his great machine and neglect this one? The large amount of fiction cireulated in most publie libraries is generally taken as an indication that the quantity of its recreational content is considelable, whatever may be said of the quality ; but this is a very superficial way of looking at the matter. There is edncational material of the highest ralue in fiction and nearly every non-fiction chass contains books of valne for reereation. Moreorer, what may be recreation to one man may be the hardest kind of study to another. The enthusiast in higher mathematics may extract as pure ammsement from a book on the theory of functions as his neighbor wonld from the works of "Tohn Menry." In short, it is very difficult to separate edncation and recreation. Good work presupposes good play. It is simply our duty to view the library as a whole and to decirle whether it contains the means of satisfying so much of the eommmnity's demand for recteation as is wholesome and proper. Whether it does this may be judged from the freedom with which the library is used for recreational purposes compared with other agencies. A proper admixture of physical and intellectual amusement is required by everybody; is the library doing its share toward the purreying of the latter form? I do not know any better way of finding ont than for the library trustees to use their eyes and ears, nor any more effective remedy for inadequate results along this line than
the pressure that they can bring to bear on their li－ brarian．

Social results．－Under this head we may gronp a very large number of results that are apt to be over－ looked or taken for granted．They may perhaps be summarized in the statement that the library shonld take its proper place in the institutional life of the community．What this is will depend largely on the community＇s size and its social content．In many small towns the library maturally assumes great so－ cial importance；in a city it may be relatively of less weight，though perhaps its influence in the aggregate may be even greater．Whether it is doing this part of its work properly may probably be best ascer－ tained by comparison with the work of other institu－ tions that go to build up the social fabric－the ehmerch， the home，the club，the social assembly．Does the dweller in the community turn as maturally to the library for intellectual help as he does to the church for religious consolation？Does he seek intellectnal recreation there as he seeks physial recreation at his athletic club or social entertaimment at a dance？ And so seeking，does he find？Does he come to re－ gard the library as his intellectual home and the li－ brarian and his assistants as friends？What，on the other hand，is the attitude of the library staff toward the public？Is it inviting or repellent，friendly or coldly hostile，helpful or indifferent？Here is a whole body of results that are，in a way，the most important that a library can produce，and yet it is impossible to set them down in figures；they can scareely eren be expressed in words．The social status of a libra－ ry is like a man＇s reputation or his eredit：it is built up by thousands of separate acts and by an attitude maintained consistently for years；yet a breath may blast it．Of this position a hoard of trustees should
be particularly prond and its members should do their best to uphold it. If they realize by those many delicate indications that we all recognize but cannot formulate, that the library is failing to maintain it, the librarian should hear from them. They should let him know that something is wrong and that they expect him to right it. If he does not know how, that is an indication that his personality and ability are parts of the failure.

This, then from the writer's standpoint, is the whole duty of a trustee-or rather of a board of trustees-to see clearly what it wants, to give the librarian his orders, and to require an accounting.

I am frequently struck with the attitude of librarians toward their boards of trustees, not as shown in their public acts, but as revealed in conversation among themselves. A board is apt to be adjudged good or bad, satisfactory or unsatisfactory, as it takes a more or less passive part in the administration of the library. If it acts simply to approve what the librarian does and to see that he gets the necessary funds, it is regarded as ideal. All that most librarians seem to want is to be given plenty of money and then to be let alone. This is a view of the whole duty of a trustee with which I do not sympathize. On the other hand, it is not to be denied that boards of trustees have done much to encourage this attitude becanse when they are really active in their interest their activity looks too closely to detail. They are then apt to interfere in the regulation of methods rather than to require results and afterward ascertain whether and in what degree these results have been reached.

A board of trustees is the supreme authority in a library. I would have this fact realized in its fullest meaning by both trustees and librarian. And I
would have the board exercise its supremity in what may be called the American manner. The people constitute the supreme authority both in (ireat Britain and in the United States. In the former country, however, this authority is symbolized hy the person of a monarch, who reigns but does not govern; and the minutest details of administration are attended to by the people in the persons of their parliamentary representatives and of the cabinet, which is, in effect, a parliamentary committee. In this country, on the other hand, we entrust administrative details very largely to our chief magistrate and his personally appointed advisers. We tell him what to do and leave him to do it as he thinks best; and though Congress is disposed at times to interfere in the details of administration, these usually consist more largely of departmental decisions and rulings than of definite provisions of a legislative act. The President of the United States is the people's general executive officer and administrative expert in precisely the same sense that the lihrarian occupies that office in his own limrary. Congress and the board of trustees bear similar relations to these officers. And although this may be carrying the comparison of small things with great to the point of absurdity, it shows clearly that the American idea of delegated authority is to make the anthority great and the corresponding responsibility strict. That the hest results have been attained in this country by following out this plan in all fields, from the highest government positions to the humblest commercial posts, seems to be undoubted; and I believe that the library has been a conspicuous eximple.

Appoint a good man, then, as your administrative expert; give him a free rein, but not in the sense of following him to dictate the whole policy of your
library. Decide for yourselves the broad lines of that policy, relying on your own common sense together with his expert advice; require him to follow out those lines to a successful issue, and hold him responsible for the outcome. So doing you shall fulfil, so far as the limited vision of one librarian enables him to see. the whole duty of a trustee.

## THE DAY'S WORK: SOME CONIITTONS AND SOME IDEALS*

What is the library for? What are we, who are in charge of it, to do with it? What point are we striving to reach, and how shall we get there?

First of all, the library is a collection of books. Books are to be used by reading them. The whole machinery of the library, its buiddings, its departments, its regulations, its disciplined stalf, are to bring together the reader and the books. Whatevel auxiliary work the library may undertake, this must be its first task.

Now to what end is this done? A book from the material point of riew is so much leather, palere and printer's ink, but on the intellectual and spiritual side it is a storage battery of ideas. To put a book into a reader's hand is to complete a mysterious circuit between the writer's and the reader"s mind. This charging of the mind with ideas is what we call education. To the physiologist it is a mere modification of brain structure; to the economist and the historian it spreads further out; it is a modification of the individuals action toward the whole world: it is the alteration of the world's present status and future history. Education cannot be accomplished ly hooks alone; it can even be accomplished wholly without them; but if they are used properly, there is no one agent that can do more for education than these devices for the storage and tramsmission of ideas. That the library is an educational institution is now gen-

[^3]erally recognized. It is common to call it an adjunct to the school, or to speak of it as continuing the work of the school. That the school and the library should work hand in hand where it is possible, goes without saying. But I think we may properly object to any phraseology that implies the subordination of the library to the school. The library stores books and makes them available. Part of the school's work also is to make arailable the contents of books. The library may continue the work of the school; but so in some cases may the school merely complete the work of the library. Many a student has received his first inspiration and instruction in the library and has been thereby stimulated to enter a regular course of study. It is better to let the library stand on its own merits as an instructional agent. The difference between it and the school, fundamentally, is that the library's educational energy is chiefly potential while that of the school is, or should be, dynamic. Yet though the library is only a potential force-energy in storage-the library plus the librarian may and should be dynamic too. We then have in both school and library the hook and the teacher, with the difference that in the school the book is only the teacher's tool, while in the library the librarian exists to care for the book, to place it in his hands who needs it, and to make it effective.

But when we have emphasized the educational side of the library's activity we have by no means exhausted its field. Its recreative function is hardly less important. A rery large proportion of the library's users go to it for recreation or relaxation. They obtain this, of course. in the same way that they obtain education from books, namely, by the acquisition of new ideas or mental images. The recreation comes in from the fact that these ideas temporarily
distract the attention from other ideas connected with daily work and worry, and that they ease the brain in the same way that a strained muscle may be eased by gentle exercise. Evidently it is impossible to draw a line between these two classes of a library's activity. A zoological or a botanical garden is an educational institution, so is an art musenm. Let the laroe majority of those who go to them do so for ammsement, and the educational benefits obtained are incidental. Those benefits, however, are none the less real, and it would evidently be impossible to give seplarate statistics of those who have made erlueational and recreative use of the institution. Yet we find penple trying to do this rery thing in the case of the public library, which case is quite comparahle with those stated above. It is assumed, in the first place, that the use of fiction is purely recreative, while that of non-fiction is educational; and, in the second place, that the recreative use of the library is to be condemned or at least discouraged, in comparison with the other. That either of these can be sustained is very doubtful. The attempted subordination of the recreative work of the library to the educational is at best invidions. Each has its place in the scheme of things and comparison in this case is worse than odious, it is misleading. Further, it is positively impossible to draw a line between educational and recreative books. So far as motives go, one may read Gibbon for entertainment and Madame de stael's "Corinne" as an Italian guide book. So far as results are concerned, the intelligent reader alwars ancquires new ideas as he reads; and in most conses the very same idea may and does have hoth au educational and a recreative function. But althongh we can draw no line, it is quite possible to piek out books on the one side and on the other, and to assert that these aro
read chiefly for educational purposes and those for recreation. On which side shall the library throw its intluence? There are many good librarians who feel that the popular tendency is too strong towards recreation and that the library should restore the balance by throwing its weight on the other side. Others see in the popular desire for recreative reading only a hopeful reaction flom the mental tension and orerwork with which, as a nation, we are doubtless chargeable. Retween these two points of view I believe that the equilibrim of the public library is safe, and that it is in no danger of developing unduly either on the recreative or on the educational side.

Personally $I$ have never felt that the user of libraries or any other trpe of the average American was in danger from too much recreation. If there is any use of a library that may have a vicious tendency it is its use for pure pastime in the etymological sensethe reading of hooks with absolutely no aim at all save to make the time pass. Now to make time pass pleasantly or profitably may be a most legitimate object. Not that, and not any lawful aim is objectionable. But aimlessness-the lack of an aim-the taking out of books to skim or to glance at, or to look at the pictures, with no desire for amusement, or profit, or anything else - that is certainly wortly of condemnation. There is more of it than we know, and it constitutes a menace to our intellectual future. Newspaper reading fosters it, but not necessarily. Newspaper reading with an aim is far better than aimless skimming and skipping of a literary classic, and I should rather see a boy of mine reading the most sensational dime novel he could lay hands on, with the definite desire and intention of finding out how Bloody Bill got his revenge, than lazily turning over the pages of seott with no idea of what the story was
about. The first would be the case of a good reader and a bad book; the second that of a good book and a bad reader. The library can easily deal with the book; it cannot so easily manage the reader, though it may try to do so. In the case of the bad reader the storage battery of ideas has lost its connection. It would be well for some of us if we should forget for the moment the difference between fiction and nonfiction and shomld try to mend this broken link.

And now a word about ourselves. What are we, who are engaged in this work, laboring for? Why are we working, and what do we expect to accomplish? In answering this question it will be better for us to free ourselves entirely from the hondage of words that mean nothing. Some of us-I hope very many of us-are in the libral? work solely becanse we love it and camot keep ont of it. Others are trying with more or less success to persuade themselves that this is their reason. Still others cammot truthfully say that they have had a "call to library work," and some of these are conscientions enough to fear that they are in the wrong place and that the work is suffering therebr. To these I desire to address a word of consolation and encouragement. The impression is very general that the greatest work of the greatest minds had no motive but the productive impulse. The poet, according to this view, sings becanse he camot help singing; the artist paints solely to satisfy the creative longing within him; the musician composes for the same reason. Now the fact is that a man who is capable of great work, or of ordinarily good work, may produce it under a variety of impulses. Some act more strongly on one man; others on another; or the same man may be more susceptible to a given impulse at one time or place than at another. Without a doubt, many of our immortal works were the result
of simple inability to keep from producing them. But just as certainly, others were the work of men who had to school themselves by long practice and then to hold themselves to the work with iron determination. "Genius" says Carlyle, "is nothing but an infinite capacity for taking pains." To which a modern critic replies, "On the contrary, genius is an infinite capacity for doing things without taking any pains at all." Both are right. There are both these kinds of genius -and many others. The writer who attempts to bind down genius to rules and formalae will have a hard task. And what is true of genius is also true of ordinarily good work-the work that you and I are trying to do in our libiaries. Some of us do it easily because we camot help it; others do it with more or less difficulty under the pressure of one or another need. One, though the work itself comes hard to him, loves the result to be accomplished; another, perhaps, is toiling primarily to support himself and those dependent on him. What of that? We have been placed where we are, to secure certain results. We want the help of ever? one who can contribnte a share of honest, intelligent work toward the attaimment of these results, and we shall not ask for motives or inquire insto the exact amount of effort that was necessary, provided the work has been done and done well.

I have the greatest sympathy for the conscientious library assistant who feels that she ought to love her work in the same way perhaps that she loves music or skating, or a walk through the autumn woods, and who, because she does not sit down to paste labels or stand up to wait on the desk with the feeling of exhilaration that accompanies these other acts, is afraid that library work is not her métier.

Such workers should possess their souls in peace. It is very common for routine work to pall upon him who does it, and we are all apt to think that no work
but ours has any routine. Our weare eyes see only the glorious moments of success in the lives of other toilers; we are blind to the years of drudgery that led to them. The remedy is to look forward. You may not enjoy climbing the mountain step by step, but the view from the summit is glorious. And if to sustain yourself on the climb you think of the bread and cheese that you have in your lunch basket, I cannot see that there is aught to complain of.

All over the world there are workers who feel that they are not worthy of their work. It is dull; it palls on them. But if their lot had only been different! If their work had been that of the musician or the artist: Then toil would become pleasure, and the hours that now drag heavily would flit on wings. Very little of this feeling is justifiable, and these dissatisfied workers will do better work if they are made to realize that it is only the farored few who can bring enthusiasm to the daily routine. The most that we can ask of the average worker is a conviction of the usefulness of his work and a determination to make it as useful as possible. More: such a determination honestly lived up to is sure to beget interestthat concrete interest in ones work that is worth much more, practically, than an ideal love for it. The woman who goes into slum work impelled only by a a vague love for hmmanity is apt to give up after a little when she discerns that humanity in the concrete is offensive in so many ways. But if she forces herself to keep on, and to make herself as useful as possible, there comes the personal interest that will hind her to her task and that will increase its usefulness. So it is with library work; you need not love it ideally to succeed in it; you need only buckle down to it until you feel the personal interest that will carry you through triumphantly.

And what is it all about? In the broadest sense, as I have already said, we librarians are the purreyors of ideas stored up in books. These ideas are more to man than mere education-they are life itself. Life is growth, not stagnation-it involves (hange and actuisition. "Life is change," says Cardinal New'man, "and to be perfect, one must have changed many times." To contribute the opportunity and the stimulus for such change is our business. The child (ries out to his emvironment-"Give me ideas and experixnces; good and pleasurable if you can, bad or painful, if rou must, but give me ideas and experiences." Part of this rraving it is the duty of the pmble library to satisfy. The craving may grow less keen as we grow older, but it never really ceases to exist. To satisfy that craving in legitimate ways and to guide and control it if we can is our business, stated in the broadest possible temms. That is what we are aiming at. The librarian shonld be the broadest minded of mortals. He should be a man in the widest sense-to him nothing hmman should be alien.

This is decidedly broad and comespondingly vague, being so, it may be interpreted by every worker in the way that appeals to him most. To one, the educ:ational work of the library will make the strongest appeal; to another its recreational function. One may prefer to lay stress on the guidance of children's reading; another on reference work with adults. These are all phases of one and the same general class of acts-the imparting of ideas by means of booksand there is no reason why each worker should not gain interest in that work by and throngh the particular phase that appeals to him.
"I wish," says one of James Lane Allen's characters, "that some virtue-say the virtue of truthful-ness-conld be known thronghont the world as the
unfailing mark of the American. suppose the rest of mankind would agree that this virtue constituted the characteristic of the American! That would be fame for ages." We librarians, in like manner, not only wish but strive to make some one virtue characteristic of our work-say the virtur of usefulness. "As useful as a librarian," "As indispensable as the public library"-these are not yet, I am afraid, household phrases. But why should we not make them so?

## LIBRARY NTATIS'IICS

It is a raluable exereise to examine into the origin and uses of the things that we have been aceustomed to take for granted and to regard almost as part of the accepted order of nature. The result will often be startling and it will always be salutary, if the examiner be sane and eonservative. Therefore a very good w゙at゙ to begin a discussion of statisties is to query whether they are of present vahue at all, or whether they are old fashioned rubbish and had better be discarded.

Statistics are the mmerical statements of results or facts. Now thousands of individuals and thousands of bodies-families, clans, associations, that accomplish much in this world, go on very well without keeping any record at all of what they do. This is indisputable. On the other hand we shall see that as work is done well and carefully there is an increasing disposition to make and keep a record of results; and as the work extends in scope and complexity, the record, too, becomes more complex. Take, for instance, the record of so apparently simple a transaction as the payment and receipt of money. The individual who has little of it to receive and disburse may go all his life without keeping so much as a cash account, much less a set of books. He may even spend a considerable income in the same way, including the maintenance of a household and the support of a family, and he may, on the whole, do it wisely and well. Yet of two men of the same means, one of whom should conduct his affairs thus, while the other kept
a rational system of household and personal accounts, the latter would universally be regarded as pursuing the better course. And as we pass from this to the conduct of a business we recognize that the man who engages in commerce withont keeping proper accounts is a fool and courts failure, and that the larger the business and the more widespreal the interests, the more complicated and extensive must be the bookkepping. A large commercial concern may thus employ a special department with a large staff of men simply to keep record of its financial transactions. This is probably the most ancient kind of statistical record and the one whose usefuluess is most generally recognized.

In like manner another common and useful statistical record - the inventory, or list of articles on hand -although not commonly and regularly taken by the individual, becomes absolutely necessary in the smallest kind of business, and without it the merchant can have absolutely no idea of whether he is conducting his business at a profit or a loss. When we go on further and examine the conduct of great commercial or manufacturing concerns we find that the statistical department becomes of increasing importance, the details collected ly it multiply and the staff of persons whose sole duty it is to collect and to discuss them may he very considerable. That a great manufacturing company would waste time and money on a task of no value is inconceivable, and there is thus a very strong presmmption that statistics are worth something. Jiven where bodies of men have so little power or corporate action that they cannot collect statistics for themselves, it is generally deemed a proper expenditure of the public money to do so at the common cost, hence governments maintain great census bureaus, whose duty it is not only to count heads every
few years but to tell the farmer how much he raises, the merchant how much merchandise he exports, and so on.

Is the free public library an institution that will be benefited by the collection, tabulation and discussion of the results of its work, so far as they can be numerically expressed? What are the oljects of such collection in the instances ahove cmmerated" In the first place, they are to satisfy mere curiosity. If such curiosity is trivial, the collection of statistics is evidently useless, and I am afraid that more than a little of it, pullic and private, falls muder this head. Bnt curiosity, even when it goes no further, may be perfectly legitimate. Especially is this so about one's own atfaiss. When a man is attempting anything he is naturally cmons to know whether he has suceeded or not; and to find ont, if possible, precisely low far he has gone in the desired direction. He may have property enough to support him beyond all donbt. but it is quite right that he should want to keep a list of his stocks and honds and to know whether they have risen or fallen in value during the year. Still further, curiosity aloont other people's affairs may be legitimate, ass, for instance, when one is responsible for their proper conduct in greater or less degree. In the same way the trustees of a free public library, representing the public at large, by whom the library is supported and carried on, have a right to know all possible particulars regarding the way in which their librarian has carried on his work and the results he has reached in it, and the mumicipality in turn shonld recguire of the trustees a strict account of the funds that they have administered. All this information, as far as it an he stated mmerically, constitutes a mass of statisties, and this one reason amply justifies its collection and would justify a much
larger number of tables than is usually given in a library report, provided only that the information is to the point and is or should be in public demand.

But we cannot stop here. A free library, it is true, is not a money-making concern, but it certainly should be run on hasiness principles. The public puts into it a large sum of money and has a right to expect certain returns, which are none the less definite that they camot themselves be represented in dollars and cents. The library statistic books are therefore, in a way, the records of the business; they show whether it is being conducted conservatively or wastefully, at a profit or at a loss. And as all these record books are open, they enable us, or should enable us to make instructive comparisons between the methods and results of one institution and those of another.

But even this is not all. It is a maxim of this strenuous age that all things are good or bad according to the results to which they lead, not in the narrow sense that "the end justifies the means," but in the broader sense that we must know things by their fruits. The man who said "I go, sir," and went not, was judged by his acts, not by his words; and no matter how much knowledge we store up and how many tables of data we collect we shall be derelict in our duty if we regard this as an end in itself. The state of mind in which the Mahatma spends his life in impassivity, contemplating inward things and making no outward motion, may have certain advantages, but it is not consonant with the spirit of this age and this land. By which I mean that when we have found out something from onr statistics we must do something with it. More; we must so direct our statistical investigations that they bear directly on a possible course of action. This is done by the great manufacturing concerns that maintain statistical depart-
ments; but we all use statistics in this way. If a boy wants to go to the circus he first looks through his pockets to see whether he has enough cash. Here is the germ of a statistical investigation conducted for the specific purpose of getting information on which future action is to be based. Here sometimes, where the opportunity of collecting statistics is very great, and expense is no object, is a good excuse for grathering a great deall that would seem to be useless, with the expectation that some of it may turn out to be interesting and may suggest some line of work that had not previonsly been thonght of. To go as far as this, the institution must he large and rich.

But how many of us do anything with our statisties? How many collect statistics along special lines to assist in deciding what we shall do along those lines? How many of us, rather, consider that, when our statistics have been collected a disagreeable task has heen done, and put them behind us till the year rolls romblagain?

Perlaps we have had enongh now of the phitosophy of statistics. Let us see what roncrete kinds of statistics are necessary and in what order of importance.

First comes :n itemized accomit of receipts and expenditures. This is so obvious that it is not generally considered as library statistics at all. But it may and should he extended a little. Look at all your other tables of statistics throngh financial spectacles. Compare your receipts with your population. How much does your town give per capita for library work? Compare this figme with the same for other towns. Compare your expenditures with your circulation. How much has your library cost you per book circulated! Compare your expenditure for books with the number purchased and tell us the average cost of
a book and how this compares with the cost in former years. Do this for a half-dozen other phases of your work and put the result in as many brief, crisp sentences. If you haven't room in your report, cut out some of the platitudes; we all insert them in moments of weakness and, once in, it sometimes requires an carnest search to detect and expunge them.

Next in importance comes an account of your books-how many there are in the library, on what subjects, and how many have been added during the year in each suloject; how many gifts you have had; how many books have been lost. This involves taking a careful inventory at least once a year. You see 1 am putting this before any account of circulation. A good many libraries take no inventory or take it at too infrequent interrals, hecanse they have no time. You might as well say yon have no time to keep a cash account. This is business and comes first. Leave off counting rour circulation if you must, but keep count of the public property in your care as conscientionsly as fou keep connt of the money in your cash drawer. If you can do nothing else make a simple enmmeration of volumes without taking account of classes, but do it thoronghls. The trouble with the inventory is that, like the old-fashioned honsecleaning, it is usually done all at once and becomes an annual bugbear. One way of making it easier is to spread it orer the year, counting and reporting one class every month and treating it as a part of the regular routine. In this category of statistical records comes the list of your books, which you must surely have in some form, eren though you may not have accession book, shelf list and dictionary catalog. For statistical purposes indeed, the last-named may be left out of account.

Next in order of importance come statistics of
circulation. Jou should know how many books are given out for home use every day and how these are distributed among the classes. Do not adhere too strictly to your classification. Suldivide and combine your classes so that the results will be of interest to your particular public. Always remember in discussing these statistics that ther are not so much a record of work done as a rough proportional indication of that work, and are therefore of relative, not of absolute interest. You are not to attach any meaning to the fact, taken by itself, that rour circulation was 5280 for the month of May, but if you find that it was only 3120 in the previous May yon may justly conclude that the work of your library is increasing.

In the circulation category comes the record of the hall or library use of books, the reference use, and the hooks outstanding at any particular time. Hall use is very difficult to keep in a free access library, but an attempt sloond be made to do so. It is not quite synonymous with reference use. If a man sits down in your library and actually reads a novel without taking it home, that is hall or lihrary use, but not reference use. If he merely refers to the same book to find out about some character, that is reference use. It is evidently hard to separate these and many libraries do not attempt to do so. In others, where there is a separate reference room, any use of books in this room is recorded as "reference use." The number of books outstanding should be taken at least once a month, simply by counting the cards in the circulat tion tray. This item is rery easy to ascertain, rery accurate, and is interesting and useful in more than one way.

Last in the list of the necessary items of statistics comes that of readers or users of the library-the most interesting in some ways: and the most disap-
pointingly vagne. Presumably your users fill out some kind of blank form of application and have their names entered in a book. It is therefore easy to give, as is usually done, the total registration and its annual increase. But this is evidently not the number of actual users of the library. Who are the "actual uscrs". The expression itself is vague. To be complete you should have the numbers of those who have used the library within one, two, and three days, and so on back indefinitely. There is no place where the line may be drawn between "live" and "dead" cards. But such statistirs are too elaborate to collect regularly, so that the ordinary library leares this subject in its pristine mistiness. There are some pretty variations of it, however, which may be gone into if there is time. For instance, how are your users divided, according to occupation? This you can ascertain from rour applications provided the applicant is required to state his occupation. Here again the result is for registered users, not actual users. Again, how are your users distribnted topographically? The result of this inquiry may be shown graphically on a map, and it is particularly valuable when one is thinking of moving or of establishing a hranch; but it takes more time than is at the disposal of most librarians.

Here, I beliere, ends the emmeration of necessary kinds of statistios. In each kind the collection may he reduced to a minimum: lout the librarian must, if the library is to lee maintained at all, keep a cash account, count the books, and make some kind of a list, of them. Also, if at all possible she or he must be able to tell how many books are circulated and how many users' names are on the books. This is the minimum; the maximum is fixed only by considerations of time and usefulness. First among the kinds of
statisties that are not absolutely necessary，hut inter－ esting and often useful，is that of routine work done －letters written，visits made，cards written．This may easily be carried to excess．Then there is the enormous class in which the data are obtained not directly，but ly（omparison of other data．To this class belong the financial comparisons already noted． For instance，by comparing the circulation of sepa－ rate classes with the total we get class percentages－ a very useful type of statistics；ly comparing circula－ tion with books on shelves we get the average circula－ tion of each book，ete．There is no end to the rarie－ ties of this class of statistics，and they may be rated all the war from＂rery raluable＂to＂useless＂or even ＂nonsensical＂．The whole class would require a sep－ arate paper to discuss．

Let all these statisties tell the truth．Let them be clear．Tell exactly what the mean．Otherwise they will certainly mislead and are worse than use－ less．It is well to accompany every table with an explanatory note telling exactly how the data were obtained and whether they are of a high or a low degree of accuracy．In case you do not know，for instance，whether the word＂jurenile＂as generally used means the entire eirculation among children，or the circulation in the children＇s room，or is merely short for＂juvenile fiction，＂decide what it shall mean in your case and then state distinctly what it means．Read orer other library reports critical－ ly and when yon find any statistics that are rague， see to it that that particular kind of ragmeness does not occur in your own tables．

And after it is all orer，ask yomrself，Now what shall I do with all this＂．In this paper only a few suggestions can be made．Take first．the financial data．If you find that your town is giving less per
capita or less per book circulated than the average, let it be your business to make it give more. There is a tarsk that will till up your spare moments. If yon are paying for books more per book than other libraries, try to buy more cheaply. If your inventory shows a great loss of books by theft, try to reduce it next year by greater vigilance. If your circulation is decreasing ask the reason why. Get at it if you can mad remedy it if possible. If your circulation shows a sudden increase in a particular class, investigate that and meet it, if proper, by increased purchases in that class. If a class that should circulate well has fallen, try to find out why. Is your collection in this class small and poor". Make it richer and larger. Has interest in the subject fallen offe? Try to stimulate it.

In short, instend of regarding your work in connection with statistics as done when they have been collected, think that it has not yet begun. So far as your own work is concerned, let them serve only as an indication of the weak spots that must be strengthened and of the promising growths that must be encomraged. There are statisties and statistics. Some are dead; some are alive-ritalized and ritalizing. Not all of the library's work can be stated in figures. The largest part, the best part, you cannot put into statistical tables at all. Yet rightly used, your statistics may so guide and direct you along the lines of least resistance, even in this broader and finer work, that your energies may be put forth in it to the best effect-that you may aim right and that your shots may not go astray.

## OLD PROBABHLTTHES IN THE JIBIRARY-HIS MODEST VATICINATIONS*

"Don't never prophsey onles se know," says Hosea Bigelow. I beg to call attention to the fact that this means "Don"t prophesy at all"-perrapas it was so meant by the shrewd IIosea. We nerer can know-alld ret we continue to propleses. The best we can do, of course, is to estimate probabilities. Probabilities! That is a good worl. They have dropped it from the weather reports and wall their estimate a "forscast." I like the old word hettere Let us see then, what some of the probabilities are in library work.
"Everything flows," salid the (ireek philosopher. Nothing in the word is stable; change is the order of the day. But note the word he uses. That which flows is in a state of orderly change in a definite direction. Everything progresses; and the library and its work are being borne along in the general current. Now the writers on hydro-dyamics, who are experts on blow, tell us that there are two ways of studying at current, which they mame the "historical" and the "statistical": In the former the attention is fixed on a definite particle of the moving thid whose change of velocity and direction is noted ans it passes along; in the latter a definite locality of the stream is selected and the fluid's changes of form and density at that particular place are observod. lu like mamer we may study the library movement historically or we can select a definite point in its comsuthe present time-and note the conditions and thair

[^4]alteration. The latter plan, I venture to think, is the more favorable one for the would-be prophet.

Let us, then, take a few of the salient features of library work as they exist to-day and inquire: (1) What is the present situation with regard to each; (2) Is that situation changing; and whither and how fast ; (3) Is its rate of change altering, and (t) are the conditions that affect it and its alteration, likely to remain as they are. If we can answer all these questions we can at least make an attempt at estimating the probable situation at a given future time. We must bear in mind, however, that in the library world, as elsewhere, there are sudden or abrupt changes, or catastrophes, and that these generally defy prediction. And this is equally true of unexpected aids or beneficient influences. The library benefactions of Mr. Carnegie would have upset the most careful and logical estimate of librarr progress made twenty years ago.

First let us take up the status of our stock in trade-our supply of books. President Eliot warned us two years ago that our books are piling up too fast. His warning has met with scant heed because experience has not brought it home to most of us. Malthns warned us long ago that the progress of population was toward overcrowding the world. We langh at him because there is still plenty of room and means of utilizing it unknown in his time. Yet population increases, and it will orercrowd the world some day unless something occurs to prevent. In like manner our stock of books increases faster and faster. The ordinary American public library is a thing of resterdar; small wonder that it does not yet begin to feel plethoric. Our oldest large libraries are those of our universities, and Harvard's precident has told us that to them the evil day is
within sight. Librarians have not received with favor President Eliot's plea for getting us out of our future difficulty but this is neither here nor there. To judge by our present attitude either our library buildings must increase indefinitely in size or our stock must be weeded out. It must be remembered, however, that our books are perishable, and are growing more so. I do not regard this as an unmixed evil. Rather than to make our books unwieldy for the purpose of preserving them we prefer to make them usable and to rely on reprinting for their perpetuation. Thus what is not wanted will pass away. Perhaps this will solve our problem for us. But in any case it looks as if the future library building and its contents were to be greatly larger than those of to-day.

What are to be the style and arrangement of the future library building? The present situation can hardly be described in general terms. As in all building operations, there is a strife between the architect, representing aesthetics, and the administrator, representing utility. At present the architect seems to be having his way outside and the librarian his way inside. But why this contest? Is it not the architect's business to make utility more beantiful but not less useful? And should not the administrator wish his surroundings to please the eye? Apparently the two are drawing a little closer together of late. We are having fewer temples of art that have to be made over to fit them for use as libraries and fewer buildings that are workable but offensive to the eye. The tendency seems to be toward simple dignity, although we certainly have some surprising departures from it. Probably the library of the future will be a simple and massive structure of much greater size thim at present, with its decorations
larsely structural, and combining ample open-shelf and reading facilities with greatly increased capacity for hook-storage.

There is one particular in which the architect has been specially out of touch with the administrator. The opel-slelf is now all but universal, but many architerts seem not to have heard of it. Many buildings, actually inteuded for administration on the free ancess system, seem yet to have been plamed as closed-shelf libnories and opened to the public as an afterthonght. A library without a special stackroon for book-storage is an unthinkable thing to most architects. And yet in many small libraries hook-storage is not necessary, and in most branch liharies. where only hooks in general use are to be placed, it will never he necessary. To get the maximum advantage from open shelves, with a minimum of risk. the looks should be placed on the walls as far as possible and such book-cases as stand on the floor shomld be as low as ordinary table, so as to be easily overseen. A stack-room, it seems to me, is distinctly a closed-shelf arrangement. I believe this is coming to be recognized and that in the future lihrary the books will be on or near the walls.
lint how about the open-shelf system itself? At present there are few libraries that do not have it in some form, and some of these are libraries that continued strongly to disapprove of it even after it had hecome well and widely established. The indications are nearly all that it has come to stay. I saly nearly all; for there is still a feeling among many people that it is not good administration to abandon so large a percentage of our books to thieves. In libraries in small communities where the loss is small, this question does not arise; but in New York, for instance. where we lost 5000 books last year, it is serious. We librarians may say and
believe that the adrantages far outweigh the disadvantages, but trustees and municipal anthorities are hard to convinese In New York we have taken what many will consider a hatckard step, hy partially. closing. as an experiment, the shelves of two of our hranches. so that althoush we maty safely say that free acress has come to staly I do not look to see it applied very generally to large collections. ome thing seems to me dean. Litnary administration is becoming increasingly hasiness-like and it is not hasiness-like to ancept a large ammal loss without an attempt to minimize it. We must at least inves. tigate regulatly and rigidly the womes and chan:ater of this loss.

As for the other features that we have hecome ace constomed to regard as distingmishing the new linat ry eral from the old-apecial work with children, cooperation with schools, travelling libraries, ete--it is evident that these too, have come to stay. Theire spheres are widening and their aims are diversifving. howerer, so that he who shomble venture to predict their precise status in the future would he rash.

In fact. the library idea itself is begiming to suffer a sort of restless change that is quite distinct from its orderly progess. The ativities of the lihrary are at present at erood deal like those of the amochat-stretching out a tentacke hores. Withdrawing one there; improwing a month and then turning it. into a stomach; shifting and stretching about : somewhat vague and formless, yet instinct with life. apppetite and cantion, and vitalized with at least the germ and promise of intelligence. such a state is an mupromising one for prophese Is this or that new development of activity the begimine of : m orderly mareh in at staight line. or is it to be withdrawn or reversed to-morrow? Is our work with children to include much that now seemes to beloner
to the kindergarten, the musenm, and the art gallery. Are our travelling library departments to sell books in the future as well as lend them? Are We to deliver books l'ree at our user"s homes? Are onf Loards of Education to turn over to us the superintendence of all such work as deals with books and their use. Many questions like these would hare heen answered in the affimative yesterday but in the negative to-day. I might be inclined to say "res" to some of them now, when to-morrow would prove them out of the qenstion. But there is one assertion that we can make boldly. Whatever the library has tried to do or to be, whether success or failure has attended it, it has never ceased to be a library-a keeper and purveyor of books. Whatever else it mal modertake, we may be sure that this will continne to be its chief reason for existence, and that its other activities, if such there be, will grow out of this and group themselves around it. Is the library to grow into a bookstore? I do not know, lout if so its commercial functions are likely to be subsidiary. Certain libraries have already added to their duties as free institutions the functions of paylibraries, and the commercial feature las thus been introduced. It seems to be spreading, and it may prove an entering wedge for a system of actual sales to supplement that of paid loans. A powerful deterrent. however, will be the influence of the book-trade. Following the line of least resistance, the activity of the library as an aid to the ownership as well as the reading of books is perhaps more likely to manifest itself in advice than in actual trade. Some lihraries are now making special effort to give their readers information about book-prices, and about places and methorls of purchase; and it seems likely that this kind of aid, since it ean arouse no opposition, will increase.

The position in which we fime ontrselves, of opposition to those who make and sell hooks, is mfortunate. The situation has heen growing more and more tense and it may continue so to grow, perhaps up to the point where all discoment will be withhed from libraries and where new lesislation maly discomrage importation, lant 1 do bot beliew ilat it will keep on indefinitel!: No one who lowks into the matter closely can help helieving that in the bong run libraries adsertise the book-trate and help it hex promoting gencral interest in literatmer This view of the matter was taken hy a majority of the New York Booksellers league at a recent dimer at whicls the question wats disemssed. Eyen purely as a matter of hosiness, the binary deserves spectal privileges and it will donltless rombinme in some measme to receive them.

It docs not, however, seem probable that the arerage cost of hooks to a public lihary will ever be as low agath as it was, saly, ten rears ago. In fact this may be sald of all library expenses. salaries are rising and onght to rise higher; onn huildings are larger and finer and demand more expensive care. We are heating them with more costly apparatus and lighting them with electiveity. The lihrars of the future will doubtless cost more to maintain in every item than the libary of the past-but the puh. lic will receive more than the difference.

As regards childrens: work there seem to be at present two tendencies-one towadd (omplete isolaltion and one in the opposite direction. Will our grandehidren, when they go to the puble litrany be segregated in a separate room. perlapsin a sepatrate buidding: of will they be treated as a distimet class only so far as maly be ahsolntely neressalloy for good administration". Probably complete separation is best for the library and best for the adulte; I hesi-
tate to say that it is best for the children. After all, (hildhood is but a stage and not a resting state at that-rather restless and progressive. Any special conditions that we provirle for it must themselves be suloject to constant change In our schools the (hild passes from grade to grade. In our libraries the wrades are omly two; let us not make the leap from one to the other too erreat. I look to see special library work for children increase in importance, hat with due recognition of the fact that some of the needs and aspirations of at "grown-up" are present in many a twelre-year-old and that it is better that the elothes of a growing child should be a size too large than an exalet fit.

The travelling library deserves a special word, beranse its suceess is indicative of the tendency to bring the look and its user into closer contact. In New York we began, only seven rears ago, to cirrabate a few hambed books monthly in this way among half a dozen sehools. Now we give out nearIy half a million a year fiom nearly joo different points. We hear the same tale from all sides. And the cost of circulation per book is surprisingly small. In New York the eirculation throngh travelling libraties is equal to that of three branches of the first rlass, While the momber of assistants employed is about half the momber required in one of those branches. The cost of operating three large branches
 as on travelling libraries for the last fiscal year rost us but $\$(6+00$. Of course it must be remembered that a very large amount of the work of circulation in this case is done by volunteer assistants and that the users of the books have not the facilities and resomrees of at branth library-the nombere and variroty of books, the plasatht sumpundings, the trabeal aid. of comes the travelling librasy rall never take
the place of the fully equiperd hranch, lout in supplementing branch work and in reaching those who live in sparsely settled commonities its capabilities are great and it may he experted that its nse will in. crease.

The broadening of library work illustated by the suceessive appearance of the reference library, the cireulating library, the delivery station, the branch and the travelling liburar sugests the themght that this series may be carrom further in the future by the addition of some working plan that will bring the book still closer to its user: Such a plan would be the system in which books are delivered free of charge at tha homses of those who use them, or the provision of a real library on wherelsa vall supplied with shelving for at thonsand books or more from which selection can be made as it moves about from house to house. It does not serm prohable that any such device as this will be wenerally adopited for districts adeguately morided with remwan libraries, but for thinly settled regions they may supplement or take the phace of onr present travelling or home libaries. I believe for instance, that a moving library of 1000 books, calling omeo a week at each house in a farming district would be proferable to four travelling libraries of ean book ealeh. stationed at points ill the sallue district, althongh, of comrse the cost wombld be correspondingly greater.

The library's status as ann oducatiomal institutimu seems now to be well established. No one disputes it, and as this appears to be the chief eromber on which its support by pulbire funds is justified we maly regard it as settled that the libary is to rontinne to play its part in pmblir instruction. This part. thongh mot so definite amd pesitive as that of the school. extembs arer af fir longer periond. White
the lihrary's work is frarallel and supplementary to that of the school in the case of those of school age, it must continue its work alone after its users have left school. Here it may settle its methods for itself, but in its earlier work when it deals with pupils, it has the teacher to reckon with. The necessity for constant consultation and co-operation between the authorities of two public institutions, whose work is so similar aud can so easily result in wasteful duplication or still more wasteful conflict, is obvious. We need not be surprised that librairans and teachers are getting nearer together and we may confidently predict that the rupprochement will be closer in the future. But althongh the school is ceasing to look upon its rounger sister as an interloper in the pedagogical family, there is still plenty of room for the definition of their respective spheres. And we have no right to complain that the school is still doing much library work, when we have ourselves sometimes tried to do school work. I look in the future for the definition of two clearly separated spheres of activity, one filled by the library and the other by the school, and for the closest co-operation between the two that is consistent with confining each to its own work. It is probably too much to expect that the school will give up the custodianship of books. It must at least control its own text hooks, and its collection of reference works sloould be complete enough to constitute a thorough guide and aid to proper study. But the distribution of supplementary reading should be the part of the public library. This and other related points are to be settled, if at all, in the future by two kinds of mutual understandings; namely, between the governing boards of library and school and between librarian and teacher. The due definition of spheres of work can come only from an official agreement between
library board and school board; helpful aid ou both sides can come only from an official agreement between library board and school board; helpful aid on both sides can come only from personal contact and acquaintance between teachers and library as-sistants-such a degree of acquaintance between teachers and library assistants-such a degree of acquaintance that each comes to have a practical knowledge of the other's problems, trials and limitations. Most librarians have made more or less effort in this direction; some have met with distinguished success. We may safely predict further progress along this line.

The lessons of the past and of the present all point to the increasing use of the library as a great engine of popular education, using the noun in its broadest sense and emphasizing the adjective. The library is more and more a great humanizing influence; if this is so, nothing human must be alien to it. And much that is human and humanizing is nevertheless ephemeral. With some the implications of this word are wholly contemptuous. of a day! Does nothing raluable pass quickly away, having done its little work? The day itself is a day only and vanishes with the evening and the morning ; yet it has its part in the record of the years. So with "ephemeral" literature. As we have seen, a great deal of what we are wont to consider as standard and permanent will ultimately perish. Yot be its life that of a year or a century, a book may play its little part in the mental derelopment of those who read it. Just at present the farorite rehicle of literary expression is fiction. People put into stories what they have to say of history, sociology and ethics; they embody in romance their theories of aesthetics, economics and polities. There is good doctrine with a poor literary setting and there are
paste jewels in pure gold. But taking it by and large the much decried deluge of modern fiction has nudoubted!y been ducative in its tendency. This is Why 1 ramot yield to logic and predict the gradual disappearance of all bit a small residuum of fiction from the public library. There is a tendency in that direction lint there are some signs of a reaction. The seer may hope, wem if he dare not predict, that the great pmblic libary that can afford to do se will continue to purchase surf fiction as will interest or entertain the aremge person of education, even if it is to stay on the shelves lout a fow months.

What will be the future distribution of libraries in this comitry? At present their mombers are large in the northern states and compratively small in the somthern. (irowth has been mexampled in its rapidity and has been stimulated by large benefactioms. so far as this growth may be looked upon as the direct result of Mr. Carnegie's gifts it may doubtless be regarded as abnormal. although it should be noted that every Carnegie building means a present and future outlay on the part of the commmity in whicl it stands, of many times the amomnt given by the donor. Promarly, library expansion is the result of apopular comviction that the pablic: librany is a pmblic neressity. Expamsion has por reeded in proportion to the spread of that conviction and along the lines of its progress. If there are fewer public libaries in the South than in the North it is berause the need for them is not felt there, erell if it exists. Doubtless the race prohlem is a powerful inhibitory influence. Two things are certain; that library expansion is to go on for some time, and that a time will come when it must stop. When that time arrives, the library will have atfamed its majority and wo shall have an opportunity
to address ourselves to problems that can not be attended to during our period of growth.

Who will use our great library of the future? Who uses the library of to-day? I have been asked that question by reporters and have been puzzled to answer it. For whose use is the public library intended? It will be logical to answer "the l'ubtic, of conrse," but there are al great many poeple who will give this answer with mental reservations. With them "the Public" means some particular part of the public. Some think that the limaries are for the poors or at any rate for those who camot afford to buy hooks for themselves. This is a surviral of the origin of some of our circulating libraries, which were originally charities. But a public foundation and a charitable foundation are two different things. Our parks are free, yet we do not object to their free use by the wealthy, nor do the wealthy classes themselves seem to shrink fiom it. Some again would limit the use of a library to students, on at all events to those who do not ware to withdraw books for home use. These are people who do not believe in the circulating library-and there are still surh. Others again would have the public library cater only to those of educated literaly taste. For these reasons and for others it is a fact that our public libraries, even those with the largest circulations, are not used by the entire public. Probably, howerer. they are being used more and more treely. In a lihrary that uses the two-book system it is impossible to tell exactly from statistics, how many persons are drawing from the library at one time. Assuming, however, that the number is proportional to the number of books ontstauding, we find in the New York Public Library that it has been increasing a little faster of late years than the cireulation. In other
words, individual reading has not increased, and the great recent increase of circulation in our library and presumably in others also, is due to an increase of readers. The size of the library's public is therefore increasing and there is no reason to suppose that it will not continue to do so. Of course there must he a limit. For instance, certain sections of the public will not use a library-as they will not use a school-in conjunction with other sections. This may be because of social or racial feeling, or personal uncleanliness or offensiveness, even when tine latter is not carried to the point where the lihrarian can properly object to it. In such cases the lower element will drive out the higher. The remedy seems to be sought in segregation. This may be either open and acknowledged as in those southern cities where the library has a separate department for colored people, or it may be virtual, as where a concenient lounging room with newspapers is provided for the tramp element, sometimes with the privilege of smoking. In large cities the branch library system acts in the same way. The character of the card-holders is determined by that of the surrounding district and we thus get practically separate libraries for separate sections of the community. I look to see this separation proceed to a somewhat greater degree, not perhaps systematically but automatically and almost involuntarily. In spite of the apparent concession to class feeling, it will certainly increase the aggregate use of the library and thus make it more truly a pulblic institution. So far as the branch system is concerned, of course, this is only one of the ways in which it increases the size of the limarys public. Even in a section where the population is perfectly homogeneous, more people will always be served by two libraries than by one. The number of lranch library systems is rapidly in-
creasing and the prospects are that the greatest pos sible use is to be made of them in the future. And they will be made up of true branches. Delivery stations have their uses, but they can never take the place of buildings with permanent stocks of books and all the conveniences of a separate library. Where a branch buiding is also a delivery station, as it always should be, that is, where the users of a branch are allowed to draw on the stock of the Central Library or of the other branches, it is found that the branch use vastly exceeds the station use. In our own library a branch that circulates 500 to 1000 of its own books daily will give out only two or three from other branches. This is sufficiently indicative of the preferences of the public, and in a matter of this kind public preference will ultimately govern. These branch libraries will have limited stocks of books, mostly, though not entirely, on open shelves, and will inclade small reference collections which will be more important as the branch is farther removed from the central library. These predictions, it seems to me, are all warranted by present tendencies.

How will the future library be governed and administered? The governing body at present is almost universally a board of trustees who are men of standing and responsibility but usually without expert knowledge. These are sometimes semi-independent and sometimes under the direct control of their municipal government. The present tendeney seems to be to minimize municipal control but to increase the number of governing bodies subject to it. In other words private libraries are doing more public work than formerly under contract with municipalities, becoming thereby subject to the control of the city or town but not so closely as to bring polities into the management. This state of things is

50 desirable that we may expect it to be multiplied in the future. As regards the lay or inexpert character of the governing board, though it is looked upon by some as objectionable, it is shared by the library with great numbers of other public and semi-public institutions. such a board may be regarded as representative of the great lay public, on whose behall the institution must be operated, and whose members are interested in results rather than in the special methods by which these results may be obtaimerl. That the members of such a hoard should he mere figure-heads is certainly not to be desired: that they should, either as individuals or collectively, take part in the details of administration is equally murlesirable. There are boards that are doing the one or the other of these things, but the tendency is to lem neither in the direction of laxity nor of madue interference-to require definite results and to hold the libarian strictly responsible for the attainment of those results, leaving him to employ his own methods.

And the librarian of the future; who and what will he be? The difference between the modern librarian and him of the old school has oftem been the sulbject of comment. The librarian nowadays is less the scholar and more the man of affairs. Is change to go on in this direction? There are rather, it secms to me signs of a reaction. Perhaps reaction is hardly the word. The librarian, while keeping in tonch with the times, is reaching back for a little of the spirit of the old-time custodian and incorporating it with his own. Is it too much to hope that the heads of our future libraries. will keep, in the forcfront of library progress, alert to apprectate the popmiar need and to respond to it. may yot have something of the sweet and gentle spirit of the old scholars who used to preside over our storehouses of books?

Who are to be the assistants in one linary of the future? At present our staffs are recruited from the following sources:
(1) The lihrary schools. The best of these have smpplied chiefly the heads of the smaller libraries, and heads of departments or assistants of the higher grades in the larger libaries. Few heads of the large libraries are school-graduates and few lowergrade assistants. There are, however, shools of the second class whose graduates have some into the lower grades both in small and laree institutions.
(2) Apprentice classes, gencrably formed to instruct mitratined persons in the work of a particular library, so that those who enter its lower grades may he at least partially fitted for their work. The hest of these rise hy promotion to the upper arades.
(3) Appointment of totally matrained persons. If such persons are thoronghly well edncated they may enter the work in the higher grade or aren as the heads of libraries. If not they generally enter at the hottom, although of course some obtain higher positions throngh political or local influence.

This, I beliere, states the situation failly. What are the temdencies? There (an le no dount that the library school is growing in favor. The increasines numbers of those who apply for sehool comsess the raising of requirements, both for entrance and for graduation, the second class schools that have sprung up in limitation of those of higher grade. making necessary the appointment of committees !y varions library bodies to examine and report on then-all point in this direction. At the same time we have hatd mmerous instances, of late of the selection of nom-graduates to till high library positions and at least one instance of frank statement on the part of a litnarian of acknowledged manemes. in favor of taking college men of ahility inter the librat
ry immerliately on graduation, instead of putting them thromgh a library school. The library schools aim, and rery properly so, at occupying the same position toward the library profession that the medical and law schools do toward the medical and legal professions. Statistics show that they have not yet rached that position. Still, it is probable that they will eontinue to approximate to it as a limit. In the future, more and more of the higher library positions will doubtless be filled by library-school gradu-ates-and so also will more of the lower positions. When the demand for assistants in the higher grades begins to slacken, proportionately to the supply, as it is sure to do some day, the library school graduates will be willing to enter the library force in the lower wade, and will thas crowd out the motrained or partially trained applicants to some extent. They may eren make the apprentice class a superfluity, in Which case I am sure librarians will abandon it without a sigh.

In these somewhat desultory forecasts the object of the prophet has been not so much to impress upon others his own beliefs as to stimulate a taste for prophecy-a desire to glance over the rail and see which way the current is setting. Withont being fatalists, we may hold that there are eprtain great tendencies in hmman affairs, vast social currents, dgainst which it is well-nigh hopeless to struggle. Those who desire to accomplish results must work with these currents, not against them. Success has almost always been won in this way. Eren when a few bold spirits have seemed to stem and turn back the whole tide, it will generally be found that an unseen undercurrent was in their favor. Learn therefore to judge of the currents; so shall we aroid the rocks and shoals and bring our craft safely to port.

## THE LOYE OF BOOKS AS A BASIS FOR LIBRARIANSHIP*

Is the love of hooks a proper or necessary qualification for one who is to care for books and to see that they do the work for which they were made? First, let us ask a question or two. What is the love of books; and what is there in books that one may love? The same question might be asked and answered of the bove of human beings; for between it and the love of hooks there are corious analogies. Of what, then, do man and book sererally consist as objects of interest and affection?

First of all there is the man himself, the ego, the soul-which cannot indeed exist on this earth without its material embodiment, lout which most of us realize is in some way distinct from that embodiment. So the book has its soul. The ideas or facts that it sets forth, though dependent for their influence on the printed page, exist independently of that page and make the book what it is. Next we have the material embodiment; that withont which the man or the book conld not exist for us; which is a neces. sary part of him or it, but necessary only hecanse it is the vehicle throngh which man or hook may be known by the senses. The body of the hook is thus so much, and only so much, of its material part, its paper and its ink, as is necessary to present the rontents properly to the eye. Lastly, we have the clothing of man and of book, having the function of protection or of decoration, or both; in the ease of the

[^5]book the protective cover, often highly decorated, and so much of interior elaboration as cannot be said to be strictly necessary to the presentation of the idea. The "body" and the clothing of the book, let it be noted, are not strictly separable as are those of the han. The line hetween them may be drawn in different plates her different people. The same illustration, we will say, may be considered by one reader an alhsolntely necessary part of the book-an orgaln of its body-while to another it is but an ornamental embellishnent-a decorative gewgaw. In spite of this ragueness, however, tiere is here an modeniable distintion between those material parts of the book that are necessary to its existence and those that merely embellish it or protect it.

The book therefore, like the man, is made up of sonl, body and chothes. Which of these is the entity that may be loved? Kow there are many kinds of lovers and many kinds of love. The belle of the ball may be surrounded with admirers, bnt if clad in rags and seated in a gutter she might excite no favorable notice. Still more may a pretty face be loved when it has no mental or spiritual qualities behind it. Yet these types of atfection are inferior-no one would deny it. In like mamer those who love the book merely for its fine clothes, who rejoice in luxurious binding and artistic illumination, and even those who dwell chiefly on its fine paper and careful typography, are but inferior lovers of books. The one loves his book for its clothes, and the other for its bodily perfection; neither cares primarily for its contents, its soul.

Now the true lover is he who loves the somlwho sees beyond clothes and bodily attributes, and (herishes nobility of character, strength of intellect, loftiness of purpose, sweetness of disposition, stead-
fasturss of attarhment-these thonsand qualities that go to make up persomality. All these the book has, like the man or the woman-for is it not the essence of its writer:" Fonr true book-lower would rather have a little ohd dogse eated cople of his favorite anthor, soiled and torn lọ use. with hinding gome, athd printed oul had paper with proter type and worse ink, than a mediocere production that is a typographic athlartistic masterpiere.

Shd yet wre all the colleretor of time bindings and rare editions a "book-lower." to the "xalusion of the one who loves trolly alld devotedly. The true booklower wants to get at the soml of his book; the false one may mever see it. $H$ o may wen reflain from ontting the leares of the rare first edition that he has just hought, in doing which he is like the ignorant mother who serts hee (hild up) in hise (lothes for the winter-naly, worse for you ramot sew up the child's soml.

Now let there be no misunderstanding. As the true lover would have his mistress heantiful-nay. as she is heantiful to his eyes, whatever she may be to others, and as he would, if he comld, clothe her in silks and adorn her with gems, so the true booklover need not be and is not adverse to having his favorite anthor sumptuonsly set forth; he would rather than not see his books properly and strongly print ed and bound; his love for the sonl need not interfere with proper regard for the body and its rament. And here is where the love of the book has an advantage over the affection whose object is a person. In spite of the advertisements of the beanty doctors, a homely face can rarely be made beautiful; but the book may be embodied and clothed as we will; it is the same, however printed and bound, to him who loves it for its contents.

Thus it will be seen that when I speak in general of "a love of books" I mean not a love of their typographes, their illustration, or their bindings, but of their contents; a love of the universal mind of humanity as enslnined in print; a love of the method of recording ideas in written speech, as contrasted with their presentation in the spoken tongue-a love of ideas and ideals as so recorded. Such a love of books is pre-eminently a characteristic of civilized man. It is not synonymons with a love of knowledge-the savage who never saw a book may have that; it is not even the same as a love of recorded knowledge, for knowledge may be recorded in other ways-in the brain by oral repetition, in sculptured memorials, in mere piles of stone. It is a love of the ideas of men recorded in a particular way, in the particular way that has commended itself to civilized man as best.

The very existence of a library presupposes such a love of books. No one who had not an affection for the printed records of his race would care to possess them, much less to collect and preserve them. It would seem, then, that a love of books should be not only a qualification but an absolute prerequisite for entrance upon librarianship. By inquiring how and why it has come to be regarded as a non-essential or as of secondary importance, we may perhaps learn something.

A young woman comes to me to ask for library work; and when I demand sternly, "Have you training or experience?" she timidly answers, "No; but I'm very fond of books." I smile; you all smile in like case. Why do we smile? What business have we to underrate such a fundamental qualification and exalt above it mere technicalities? The ability to acquire these technicalities exists in ten persons
where the ability to love books as they should be loved is found in one. If the love so arowed is real, even if it is only potential, not actual, our feeling in its presence should be one of reverence, not ammsement. It should prove the camdidate fit, perhaps not for immediate appointment, but for preliminary training with a view to appointment in the future.

If it is real! Candor compels me to confess that, like some other awowals of love, that of a love for books does not always ring true. "What have you read?" I once asked one of these self-styled booklovers. She fixed me with her eye and after a moments impressive panse she replied "Deep thought!" I mentally marked her as a false lover. Prond parents relate how their progelly in childhood would rather peruse E. A. Ellis than play and pore over Alger than eat-this as irrefraghle pronf of fitness for a library career. Consideration of cases like these makes us wonder whether the smile is so much out of the way after all. Does the true book-lover publicly amomee her affection in the hope of gain? Does she not rather, like Shakespeares maid, "never tell her love?" It is to be feared that some of these people are confusing a love of books with a love of reading. They are not the same thing. Some persons enjoy the gentle mental exercise of letting a stream of more or less harmless ideas flow through their brains-continuously in and continuonsly out again-apprehending them one after another in lazy fashion, and then dismissing them. The result is a degree of mental friction, but no permanent intellectual accuisition. How much of our own reading is of this kind I sludder to contemplate. Far be it from me to condemm it; it has its uses; it is an excellent cure for wakefulness after a busy day; but it no more indicates or stimulates a love for books
than shaking hands with a thonsand callers makes it possible for the Governor or the President to claim them all as intimate friends.

A real love for hooks, after all, is hetrayed rather than annonnced; it shows itself in the chance remark, the eareless action, just as amother kind of love may show itself in at glance or a word.

I helieve this to be the reason why a love for hooks is so little considered among the modern qualifications of lihrarimship: it appears in acts, not in mords; it camot he ascertained by asking questions. H (e who protests that he has it mast needs be an objert of suspicion. And yet I renture to say that if any librarian has made a comspichons suceess of his work, apart from the mere mechanics of it, he has arhieved that success primarily and notably throngh love of books. This I assert to be the rase down to the assistant of lowest grade.

To be good, work must be ungrudging. And thongh other things than love for one's task may make one willing to do it and able to do it well, intelligent interest is always a prime factor in securing the hest results.

And love of one's work becomes a very simple matter when there is love of the subject matter of that work. Those who lament that they are doomed to drudgery shomb remember that drodgery is subjective. All work consists of a series of acts which taken apart from their relationships are mimportant and minteresting, hut which acquire inportance and interest from those relationships. It is so also with sports. Think how childish are the mere acts of striking a ball with a racket or of kicking an inflated leather sphere over a cross-har! Yet in their proper sequence with other acts they mas be the object of the breathless interest or enthosiasm of thomsands
of spectators. And if this may be the case with a mere game, how much more so with an occupation that is part of the world's life! To dip a brush in color and draw it across a eamvas is a simple act, yet such arts in their sequence may produce a work of art. Here the workman understands the position and value of each act in the sequence; hence he is not apt to feel it as drudgery. Druderery is work in which the elementary acts are performed montelligently, with little or no appreciation of their position in the scheme of things, as when a day laborer toils at digoing a lole in the gromed withont the slightest knowledge of its purpose, not raring. indeed, whether it is to be a post-hole or a grave. lint to the man who is searehing for lmried treasmre the digeing ceases to be drudgery ; he knows what he is aloont, and every shovelful as it is lifted brings him nearer to possible gold and gems. To change drudger: into interested labor, therefore, realize what ron are doing; know its relation to what has gone hefore and what is to come; monderstand what it is you are working on and what yon are working for. Learn to lore that something; and all that you can do to shape it, to increase its usefnlness and to bring it into new relationships will hare a rivid interest to son.

What conld be duller than the act of writing in a book, hour after hour, certain particulars regarding other books, the author's name, the title, the publisher, the size, the price? But if you love those volumes, individually or generically, and if you realize that what yon are doing is a necessiary step in the work of malking their contents accessible and useful -of leading others to love them as yom have learned to do-then and only then, it seems to me, does such a task as accessioning become full of interest. And so it is with every one of the thomsame atets that
make up the daily work of a library assistant. I am saying nothing new; you know and we all know that the laborer who does his work well is he who does it con amore. The wage-earner may labor primarily to support himself and his family, but he will never really earn his living mnless his work is of a kind that can command his whole-hearted interest-unless he likes it and takes pride in doing it well. This is why the love of books-an intelligent interest in literature and in the world's written records-is so fundamental a necessity for a librarian.

It should be emphasized that one may love books even if some of the great masterpieces leave him cold, just as one may love humanity thongh Alexander and Cæsar, we will say, do not happen to stir his enthusiasm. One may even, in a way, love books when that love is expended on what is by natme ephemeral, so long as it is lovable and excellent. Perishability and excellence are not contraries by any means. Indeed, I heard a painter once, indignant because his art had been characterized as less permanent than sculpture, with implied derogation, assert that all beanty is of its nature perishable. If this be so, a thing of beanty, instead of being a joy forever, is a passing pleasure and the more evanescent as it nears perfection. This thesis could hardly be successfully maintained, and yet I conceive that it has in it an element of truth. There are critics who refuse to admire anything in art that has not in it the elements of permanency. A sunset they will acknowledge to be beantiful, thomgh fleeting, but its artistic portrayal, they say, must be lasting. An idea, a passion, may be fine, even when forgotten in a moment, but if enshrined in literary form it must be worth preserving forever or they regard it as without value. These people are confusing mere durability with
beauty. "Is anything that doesn't last three years a book?" asks Mr. Carnegie. We might as well refuse to admire a flower because it fades over night, or turn from our daily food because it is incapable of retaining indefinitely its savor and nutritious qualities. It cannot be too strongly emphasized that a thing may possess beauty and usefulness in a high degree to-day and lose them both to-morrow. That is an excellent reason for discarding it then, but not for spurning it now. What is cast into the oren of oblivion to-morrow may to-day be arrayed, beyond all the glories of Solomon, in aptness of allusion and in fitness of application.

Much of the best that appears in the daily press is of this kind. Along with a good deal that is worthy of long life, there is a host of admirable material in the ephemeral paragraphs that we are accustomed to despise. We may despise them, but still we read; and nothing that is read with interested attention by fifty millions of people is really despicable. The average newspaper writer may well be content to toss off paragraphs for us; he need not care who constructs our leading editorials. The influence of the paragraph is incomparably the greater ; it has the raciness of the soil, shrewd wit driven home with our native exaggeration and the sting of the epigram. And much of that which is bound between covers has this peculiar aroma of journalism-its fitness to-day, its staleness to-morrow. This sort of thing may be badly done or it may be well done-inconceirably apt, dainty and well-flavored. If it is of the best, why may we not love it, though it be to-morrow as flat as the sparkling wine without its gaseous brilliancy?

To those who have been aceustomed to books from childhood, who have lived with them and among
them, who constantly read them and read about them, they seem to be a part of the natural order of things. It is something of a shock then when we awake, as we all must occasionally, to the realization that to a very large proportion of our population, supposedly educated, they are a thing apart-pedantic, useless, silly; to be borne with during a few years of schooling and then cast aside; to be studied perfunctorily but never to be read. When the statistics of reading are analyord I believe we shall be startled, not by the great increase in it, notable and indubitable as this is, but at the enormons amount of progress that still remains to be made before the use of books by our people indicates any real general interest in them and appreciation of them. An attitude toward books that is very general is indicated by a series of cartoons which has now heen rumning for several years in a New York evening paper-a proof that its subject must strike a responsive chord, for the execution of the pictures is beneath contempt. It is entitled "Book-Taught Bilkins," and it sets forth how on one occasion after another Bilkins relies on the information that he finds in a book-and meets with a disaster. This is a trifle, but it is one of those straws that tell which way the wind blows. A presumably intelligent man, a graduate of the public schools, occupying a position under the city, recently remarked to one of our library people that he spent his holidays usually at one of the nearby recreation parks. "Why don't you go sometimes to one of the branches of the public library?" he was asked. He langhed and sait, "I've never read a book yet, and I don't think I'll start now." How many are there like him? We are educating them by thousands. They leave school with no interest in books, without the slightest appreciation of what books
mean-certainly with no love for them. To these people books are lout the veliches and symbols of a hateful servitude. Perhaps this is inevitable; if it is, all that we can say is that far from "contimuing the work of the selools," as we are often told is our function, we may often have to muld a part of it, Which consists in creating all attitude of hostility toward books and reading. Can this be done by those who do not apreciate and care for literature?

I do not want to be considered pessimistic. This lack of interest in hooks I believe to he noticeable largely hecanse we have changed our whole attitude toward the relationship of literature to the people. Love for books used to be regatrded as properly confined to a class; that the bulk of people did not care for literature was no more significant than the fact that they had nerer tasted pretr de foie gras. Now we consider that erery one ought to bove books-and the fact that vast mumbers of people do not, no longer seems natural to us. That these people are beginning to show an interest, and that the ranks of the indifferent ares growing slowly less, I firmly helieve; and it is my opinion that the public library is no inconsiderable factor in the change. Some, it is true, are beginning to care for books by caring for poor and trashy hooks. These, however, are on the right. road; they are on their way up; it is our business not to despise them, lut to help them up further. Can we do it without having omrselves a proper appreciation of what is good in books?

But can a love for books be tanght? To those who have the aptitude for it, it certainly ean. In other cases it eamot. To those who have it in them, however, appreciation for the beantiful may certainly be awakened by precept and example. I have in mind a farmer in the Virginia mountains, dwelling
in a lovely region, but among a rural population withont the slightest appreciation of the beauties of nature. This particular man had worked for years in and about a summer camp and had thus associated with people from the city whose appreciation of the fine prospects from cliff and summit was unusually keen. In time he actually came to feel such appreciation himself, and he would spend the whole of his rare holidays on a rocky peak 4000 feet above the sea, drinking in the beauties of the scene and eagerly pointing them out to his tousle-headed children, all of whom he took with him. None of that brood will cease to love nature, I am sure, and their lives will be sweeter and better for it. In like fashion, association with people who appreciate good books will a waken a similar love in many an unpromising mind. Mere contact with the books themselves may do it, and so our open shelves have brought it to thousands, but the additional influence of a sympathetic human mind will hasten it wonderfully. The busy assistant at the desk may have a chance to say but a single word. Shall that word relate to the mechanics of librarianship-the charging system, the application form, the shelf-arrangement-or shall it convey in some indefinable way the fact that here is a body of workers, personally interested in hooks and eager to arouse or foster such an interest in others?

But how may one tell whether the true love of books is in him? To detect it in another, as already noted, requires more than a brief acquaintance. But to test oneself is casier. What would the world be to you without books? Could you go on living your life, physically and mentally, even as you do now, if the whole great series, from big to little, from old to new, from the Bible and Shakespeare down to the latest novel, were utterly wiped away? If you can
truthfully say that such a cataclysm would make no difference to you, then rou certainly do not love books. If the loss of them, or of some part of themeven the least-would lease a roid in your life, then you have that love in greater or less degree, in finer or coarser quality. Let us pity those who have it not. And as for you who have it, you surely have not only a fundamental qualification for librarianship, but that which will make, and does make, of you better men and women. Let us perfect ourselves in all the minutiar of our profession, let us study how to elevate it and make it more effective, but let us not forget the book, without which it would have no existence. Possibly the librarian who reads is lost, but the librarian who has never read, or who, having read, has imbibed from reading no feeling toward books but those of dislike or indifference, is surely worse than lost-he has, so far as true librarianship goes, never existed.

##  ('ENTER ()F A TOWN

In using this expmession it is not intended to innply that the library is, or shomble, bere only place in a town where ehucational processes are going onperhaps mot even the principal place. The remter of a curele is not the whole circle ; its areat is zero, it is simply a point so related to other parts of the figure as to give it sumeme importance. The center of a Wheel, throngh whirla the axle passes, is mot the whole wheel, hut aromud it the whole wheed turns. So the adncational functions of a town linary, while they may bot halk large in a catialog, should be so related to those of other institntions in the rommomity as to give it pereuliar importance and anthority.

It is mot neeessalry here to remark that education is what its namu imples-a drawing ont, a development of potentialities. Beranse it is this, and only this, it will mever makr a Shakespeare or a Newton out of one who has it mot "in him," as the idions so well rums, to berome one or the other. Becaluse it is this, there are men who do have in them potentialities of usefulness, perhaps even of greathess, hut who for lark of it, dir murleveloperd; "mute" and "inglorions."

From the moment when the new-horn babe feels the contalet of the outer world, throngh his orerans of sense, that contact begins to develop his possibilitios. Here edmeation beemins, and it reases whly with the stoppater of all fumetions at death. When it has gone on so far that a contact is astablished with other
human minds, this derelopment takes a special turn that differentiates it from any training that the lower animals receive-that makes it a link in the education of the rate. Still further is this accentuated when the child legins to have access to the printed records of the race in the shape of books.
looks, or no books, his educational development goes on, at home, among his playmates, in his chosen work in shop, farm or office, but the use of books gives it a wider relationship-a broader outlook. This relation of our formal intellectual records to education which is emphasized especially during the period of attendance at school or college, makes a storehouse of books of peculiar value and importance t.o a community. Especially should the existence of such a collection direct the attention of every person in the commmity to the fact that the use of books to develop the mind and broaden the possibilities does not properly end with the close of the school life. It is the misfortune of the school, in too many instances, that its work engenders a hatred of books instead of a love for them. Play, we are told, is "work that you don "t have to do." It is the merit of the library that there is no compulsion about its nse. We dislike what is forced upon us, but the study which is the hardest of work in a school may become recreation when one is free to follow the line of inclination among the books of a well-made collection. In this way the post-scholastic education, if we may call it so, which lasts as long as the life, is kept in touch with the written records, instead of casting those records aside and proceeding haphazard wholly on socalled "practical" lines. The teachers express this, when they admit the public library at all into the educational pantheon, by saying that it may "continue the work of the school." This is a one-sided way
of lookify at the matter-as one-sided as it would be to say that the function of the school is to prepare people for the use of the public library-a statement no less and no more true than the wther. The proper way to put it is that the sehool and the library have closely related educational functions, both employing largely the written records of previous attaimment, but the school concentrating its influence on a short period of peculiar susepptilility, with the aid of enforced personal discipline and exposition, while the library works without such opportmities, but also freed from these limitations. Thus the library uses books as a means of development, not with the aid of personal influence, but without taskmasters; not without diseipline, hut without compulsion. During the years of school attendance, it works with the school, and it recomizes the fart that its use is a hahit hest acmuired early. This is the reason for our separate rooms for children, with their special collections and trained assistants, and also for our efforts to condinate the child's rearling with his solhom work. We are not trying to set up a rival educational system, which ly its superior attractiveness may divert the attention of the child from schonl ; we are merely seemg that our young people may become accustomed to use books properly, to love them dearly and to look upon the place where they are housed as in some sense an intellectual refuge throngh life.

This eloseness of contact with a public collection of books is largely a modern idea. In ancient timms the safegurding and preservation of the individual book was far more important than it is torling. (ireater public serurity, and especially the improvement in methods of duplication, have now madle surh care unnecessally, exepet in the case of rohmes kept as chriosities, of for oreasional mis. The book that
does the most for popmlar alnc:ation is notekejt behind hars, but sent out broadeast for free nse, shortly perishing in the flesh to be reancolrnated in fresh paper, type and linding. Sending ont hooks for home use has added enormonsly to the edmeational value of the library and to the sood done by books-to the tumber of points of contart of mind with mind. Along the same line has beren the development of subsidiary centers of distribution-branch libraries, traveling libraries, delivery stations. All these have added to the temdency to look mpon the pmblic lihwary as a center of mmincipal education. In many rommmoties it is being looked to now as such a cernter in matters having no direct connection with boolis. It is a mosemm on a small seale; a lecture burean; the maker. sometimes the pmblisher, of lists and bibliographies. In old times the local rollertor of minerals or of prints turned ower his arystals or his pictures to the selool: mow, as likely as mot, he gives them to the library. It is botter that he shonld: for in the edncational life of the individual, the seinool eomes and goes, but the library goes on forever.

It is this capacity of the modern library to reach ont beyond its own walls in many different directions that makes it proper for us to speak of it as a center. In a similar way the physirist speaks of renters of force. And as a body exerting attraction or repul-sion-a magnetic pole an elecetrified sphere, a wravitating particle-is surmombled by a field of force which is very real, thongh invisible, so there are invisible lines that commert surb an intellertual renter as the library with every interest in the commmoty. We recognize this in our colloquial speeerh. Did you never hear of a network of hranch liluraries". Yet on a map they show merepy a system of dots. The net.
work is formed of the commingling fields of force, which together enmesh the community in a web of intellectual influences. And as an ordinary force has two aspects, so the influences radiating from our library centers are directed both from and toward them. The up-to-date library strikes ont toward every member of the community and it strives to draw each one to itself. It sends its books into every home, its helpfle aids to reading and to study, its library news and gossip in the local paper: but on the other hand, its cozy rooms, its well-stocked reference shelves, its willing and pleasant attendants exert on every man, woman and child in the community an intellectnal attraction, and having let them taste of the delights it has to offer sends him out again as a willing missionary to lure in others. By such methods should the library strive to be a center of mental development in a commmity; by such methods is it succeeding, for no other center can vie with it in the universality of its appeal, whether we follow the individual from birth to death, or regard the various members of a community as they exist at one sperified time.

But there is another sense in which the library should be and is ahle to serve as the intellectual center of a commmity. A community's moral and intellectual status is not simply the sim of that of its component members. This is true of all aggregates where the components are interrelated in any way. In all such cases the properties of the whole depend, it is true, on the properties of the eomponents, but not by simple addition. The taste of common salt is not the taste of sodium added to that of chiorine; the feelings, thoughts and acts of any aggregate of men may be quite different from those of the men taken individually. This is true whether the aggregate be simply a body of spectators in a theater, mutually
related only by the fact of their common presence in the place, or an association, or the members of a municipal community. The human aggregate is in all cases less advanced than the individual; it is more primitive in its emotions, its morals, its acts. This might be expected, since the formal group, of whatever kind, began its evolution later than the individnal. A community's moral sense is thus less advanced than that of its members; it will lie, swindle and steal, when they would hesitate to do so; it will resort to violence sooner than they. Its intellectual ability is also less; its business transactions are looser; its appreciation of artistic values is inferior.

The education of a group of men, as a group, is thus something different from the education of its individual members. In the case of a loose group, such as an audience, it could not be attempted; with a group dwelling together and bound by ties of blood and common interest it is not only possible but quite worth while.

Of course it must be understood that whatever educates the individual also helps to educate the community; but when, as is almost always the case, the commmity lags behind, something may be done to bring its ideals, feelings and acts nearer to the individual standard, even without altering the latter.

Now we have already been reminded by Prof. Vincent of Chicago university that the library may act as the social memory; the town library should therefore be emphatically the municipal memory. And as memory is the basis of our intellectual life, so a communal memory of this kind will serve as the basis of the community's intellectual life and as a means through which it may be fostered and advanced. As the individual looks back with interest on his own personal history and refreshes his recollection by
means of family portraits, old letters, diaries, scrap ${ }^{-}$ books and material of all kinds, so the community should retain consciousness of the contimuity of its own history by keeping in the public library full records of similar import-files of all local publications, printed memorabilia of all kinds, material for local history, even to the point of imagined trivial ity; even private letters, when these bear in any way on the community life. The legal and political history, or, at last, its dry bones, is locked up in the official archives or the town or city; we need, in addition, an intellectual and social hall of records out of which the delver in local history may clothe this skeleton with flesh and blood.

A man with a memory has the hasis for a mind and a conscience; so a commmity with this kind of a collective memory is much more apt than another to develop collective intelligence and collective morality. It may be asserted, not as a figure of speech, but as a cold fact, that a community whose citizens look back upon an honorable history with records preserved in an accessible place, ought to be much less likely to sanction a trolley steal or to wink at official graft.

In a recent striking address, Prof. Willian James has called attention to the importance of the things that may serve to mulock stores of reserve energy. When the runner's fatigue has increased up to a certain point he all at once gets, as we say, his "second wind"-something to enable him to draw on a reserve energy. These reserves, Prof. James tells us, we all possess, especially in matters of the intellect and morals; they may be unlocked by ideas, sentiments or objects. The ideas represented ly such phrasescatchwords, if yon choose to call them so-as love. mother, home, liberty, church, the old flag; righteonsness, civic duty-have had a power in setting eneroy
free and accomplishing results, that is beyond estimation. In regarding the library as a center of municipal education we make it a storehouse of objects and records, with their associated ideas and sentiments, that are competent to act in just this way. A man who feels that he is a "citizen of no mean city," who has been made to realize it from earliest childhood, whose mind turns habitually to the storehouse that has done most to make him realize it, is a nobler man, and the community of which he is a part is a nobler community, than if such a place were non-existent, or if its records and associations were scattered and unheeded. This is a most cogent reason for making the library the intellectual center of the town, as the town hall is the political and the church the religious center; for seeing in it not alone a collection of books, however good, that are given out to those who ask for them but a meatus for guiding and leading the town's intellectual progress, for turning it from trivialities to what is worth while, caring for the children's reading, stimulating public thought by lectures, endeavoring by every legitimate means to attract toward it the public eye in regard to all things that contribute to individual and civic development.

The most important part of our education, says Emil Reich, we gain after we are twenty-five years old. We cannot prevent the acquisition of such a post-graduate education by every young man and young woman in the town. The question is not: Shall the mind be trained? Shall character be developed? It is rather, How and by what means shall the development go on? Under what auspices shall it take place and toward what end shall it point? Shall it deal in trivialities and end in vacuity? Shall it impart insincerity, dishonesty, uncleanliness?

Shall its product be a useless citizen, an indifferent one, a positively harmful one?

The answers to these questions depend on the home, the church, the school-a score, perhaps, of minor ciric societies. Let us at the very center of the town's mental and moral life erect an institution, which, having as its basal oljject the collection, preservation and popularization of the records of what has been worth while in the past, may serve also as a support to what is good in the present, and a ladder on which the community may mount to still better things in the future. Is this too large, too serious a view to take of the importance of the public library? That will depend on what we choose to make of it-a mere pile of books to be turned over by the passerby, or a true center of municipal education.

## THE LIBRARIAN AS A CENAOR*

"Some are born great; some achieve greatness; some have greatness thrust upon them." It is in this last way that the librarian has become a censor of literature. Originally the custodian of volumes placed in his care by others, he has ended by becoming in these latter days muclo else, including a selector and a distributor, his duties in the former capacity being greatly influenced and modified by the expansion of his field in the latter. As the library's audience becomes larger, as its educational functions spread and are brought to bear on more of the young and immature, the duty of sifting its material becomes more imperative. I am not referring now to the necessity of selection inposed upon us by lack of funds. A man with five dollars to spend can buy only five dollars' worth from a stock worth a hundred, and it is unfair to say that he has "rejected" the mbought ninety-five dollars' worth. Such a selection scarcely involves censorship, and we may cheerfully agree with those who say that from this point of view the librarian is not called upon to be a censor at all. But there is another point of view. A man, we will say, is black-balled at a club because of some unsavory incident in his life. Is it fair to class him simply with the fifty million people who still remain outside of the clnb? He would, we will say, have been elected but for the incident that was the definite cause of his rejection. So there are books that would have been welcome on our library shelves but for some one objectionable feature, whose

[^6]appearance on examination ensures their exclusionsome glaring misstatement, some immoral tendency, some offensive matter or manner. These are distinctly rejected candidates. And when the library authority, whether librarian, book committee, or paid expert, points out the objectionable feature that bars out an otherwise acceptable book the function exercised is surely censorship.

May any general laws be laid down on this sulbject?

Let us admit at the outset that there is absolutely no book that may not find its place on the shelves of some library and perform there its appointed function. From this point of view every printed page is a document, a record of something, material, as the Fiench say, pour servir; from a mass of such material neither falsity, immorality nor indecency can exclude it. I do not speak at this time, therefore, of the library as a storehouse of data for the scholar and the investigator, but rather of the collection for the free use of the general public and especially of collections intended for circulation. It is to these that the censorship to which I have alluded may properly apply and upon these it is generally exercised. I know of no more desirable classification of books for our present purpose than the old three categories -the Good, the True, and the Beautiful. Those books that we desire, we want because they fall under one or more of these three heads-they must be morally heneficial, contain accurate information or satisfy the esthetic sense in its broadest meaning. Conversely we may exclude a book because it lacks goodness, truth or beauty. We may thus reject it on one or more of the three following grounds; badness-that is undesirable moral teaching or effect; falsitythat is, mistakes, errors or misstatements of fact;
and ugliness-matter or manner offensive to our sense of beauty, fitness or decency. The first and third qualities, badness and ugliness, are often wrongly confounded, and as I desire therefore to speak of them together, we will now take up the second, namely, falsity or lack of truth. Strangely enough, among all reasons for excluding books this is perhaps least often heard. Possibly this is because it applies only to non-fiction, and apparently in the minds of many non-fiction is desirable simply because it is what it is. Again, the application of this test to any particular book can generally be made only by an expert. The librarian needs no adriser to tell him whether or not a book is immoral or indecent, but he cannot so easily ascertain whether the statements in a work on history, science or travel are accurate. This lack of expert knowledge is bad enough when inaccuracy or falsity of statement is involuntary on the author's part. But of late we have in increasing numbers a class of books whose authors desire to deceive the public-to make the reader take for authentic history, biography or description what is at best historical fiction. Again, the increasing desire to provide information for children and to interest the large class of adults who are intellectually young but who still prefer truth to fictitious narrative, has produced countless books in which the writer has attempted to state facts, historical, scientific or otherwise, in as simple, and at the same time as striking, language as possible. Unfortunately, with some noteworthy exceptions, persons with comprehensive knowledge of a subject are generally not able to present it in the desired way. Co-operation is therefore necessary, and it is not always properly or thoroughly carried out, even where the necessity for it is realized. Proper co-operation
between the expert and the popularizer involves (1) the selection and statement of the facts by the former; (2) their restatement and arrangement of the latter; and (3) the revision of this arrangement by the former. It is this third process that is often omitted even in serious cyelopedic work, and the result is inaceuracy. Often, howerer, there is no cooperation at all; the writer picks up his facts from what he considers reliable sources, puts them into eminently readable shape, dwelling on what seem to him striking features, heightemng contrasts here and slurring over distinctions or transitions there. This process produces what scientific men call contemp)thously "newspaper science," and we have as well newspaper history, newspaper sociology and so on. They fill the pages not only of our daily press, but of our monthly magazines and of too many of the books that stand on our library shelves. It is unfair to blame the newspapers alone for their existence; in fact, some of the best simple presentations of valuable information that we have appear in the daily press. Then there are the text books. Any librarian who has ever tried to select a few of the best of one kind-say elementary arthmetics-to place on his shelves, knows that their name is legion and that differences between them are largely confined to compilers' hames and publishers' imprints. In part they are sulject to the same sources of error as the popnlarized works and in addition to the temptation to hasty, seamped or stolen work due to some publisher's or teacher's cupidity. This catalog might be extended indefinitely, but even now we begin to see the possibilities of rejection on the gromed of falsity and inaccurac. I believe that the chief menace to the usefulness of the public libraries lies, not as some beleve in the rating of frankly fictitions narrative,
but in the use of false or misleading history, biography, science and art. Not the crude or inartistic printing of toy money, but the counterfeiting of real money, is a menace to the circulating medium.

Against such debasement of the sterling coin of literature it is the duty of the librarian to fight; and he cannot do it single-handed. Some things he should and does know; he is able to tell whether the subject matter is presented in such a way as to be of value to his readers; he can tell whether the simple and better known facts of history and science are correctly stated; he is often an alithority in one or more subjects in which he is competent to advise as an expert; but only the ideal paragon, sometimes deseribed but never yet incarnated, can qualify simultaneously as an expert in all branches of science, philosophy, art and literature. The librarian must have expert advisers.

Nor are these so difficult to obtain. The men who know are the very ones that are interested in the library's welfare and are likely to help it without compensation. And in the smaller phaces where the variety and extent of special knowledge is less comprehensive the ground corered by the library"s collection is also less, and the advice that it needs is simpler. The advice should if possible be personal and definite. No amount of lists, I care not who prepares or annotates them, can take the place of the friend at one's elbow who is able and willing to give aid just when and exactly where it is needed. As well might the world's rulers dismiss all their cahinet ministers and govern from textbooks on law and ethics. The formula, the treatise, the bibliography-we must still have all these, but they must be supplemented by personal advice. And competent advisers exist, as I have said, in almost every place. The local clergy
on questions of religion, and often on others, too; the school principal on history and economics, the organist on music, the village doctor on science-some such men will always be found able and glad to give advice on these subjects or some others; and the place is small indeed that does not include one or two enthusiasts, collectors of insects or minerals or antiquities, who have made themselves little authorities on their pet hobhies and may possibly be the greatest or the only living authorities on those local phases that particularly interest the local librarian. It will do the librarian no harm to hunt these men out and ask their aid: possibly his own horizon will broaden a little with the task and his respect for the community in which he works will grow as he performs it.

But what if two of our doctors disagree? Then follow the advice of both. It might be disastrous for a patient to take two kinds of medicine, but it can never hurt a library to contain books on both sides of a question, whether it be one of historical fact, of religious dogma, or of scientific theory. This may not be pressed too far' the following of one side may be beneath our notice. It is not absolutely necessary, for instance, for a small popular circulating library to contain works in advocacy of the flatness of the earth or of the tencts of the angel dancers of Hackensack; but it is essential that such a library should make accessible to its readers the facts of the Reformation as stated by both Catholic and Protestant writers, histories of the American Civil War written from both the southern and northern standpoints, geological works both asserting and denying the existence of a molten core in the earth's interior. An impartial book is hard to find; it is a thing of value, lut I am not sure that two partisan books, one on each side, with the reader as judge, do not constitute
a winning combination. Against violent and personal polemics, of course, the librarian must set his face. All such are candidates for rejection. It is fortunate for us in this regard that we are supplying the needs of all creeds, all classes and all schools. Each must and should have its own literature while each protests against violent attacks on its own tenets. Such protests, while often mujnstified, are helping us to weed out our collections.

So much for deficiency in truth as a callse for rejection. Now let us consider deficiency in gonduess and deficiency in beanty; on stated positively, hadness and ugliness. These two things are coufounded her many of us. Is this becanse the great majority of librarians to-day are of the sex that judges largely by intuition and often by instinctive notions of beanty and fitness? To most women, I believe all ugliness is sinful, and all sin is ugly. Now sin is morally ugly, without doubt, but it may not he esthetically so. And goodness may be esthetically repulsive. Badness and ugliness in books are both adequate grounds for rejection, but they need not coexist. Some of the worst books are artistically praiseworthy and would be well worth a place of honor on our shelves if their beauty alone were to more us. On the other hand, some books that are full of impropriets or even of indecency are absolutely unimpeachable from a moral standpoint.

Shakespeare and the Bible are often indecent without heing in the least immoral. "Raffles" is in no wise indecent, but is dangerously immoral. Bernard Shaw is often both indecent and immoral while at the same time so astoundingly clever that we stand gaping at him with our mouths wide open while he tosses down our throats the most unsavory things.

What, then, is the distinction between badness
and ngliness? For our present purpose I believe it to be this: badness depends on immutable laws, while ugliness, at any rate that of the kind which concerns us here, is a matter of convention. Virtue, with all due apologies to Mr. Lecky and to many other eminent scholars, has certain standards that do not vary with place or time. Let us grant that a given act may be good to-day and bad to-morrow, good in Tasmania and bad in Pennsylvania; this is beside the question. We have here to do with the classification of this particular act in certain sxed categories that of themselves remain bad or good. The act of cutting off a man's head may be good if the cutter is the public exceutioner, and bad if he be a private citizen; one may shoot an attarking highwayman but not an inmocent friend. The reason for these differences, however, is that in one case the killing is murder while in the other it is not; murder itself always was and always will be bad.

Impropriety or indecency, on the other hand, is purely arbitrary. Personally I am inclined to think this true of all beanty, but it is unnecessary to obtrude this view here. Impropriety is a violation of certain social customs, and although I should be the last to question the observance of those customs, we must grant, I think, that they rest on foundations quite other than those of right and wrong. In fact decency, instead of being on the same plane with morality, comes nearer to being properly ranked with those fixed categories mentioned above, which are themselves always good or bad, but which may or may not include a given act, according to circumstances. Murder is always bad, but whether the taking of life is or is not murder depends on the circumstances; it may depend entirely on motive. So indeceucy is always bad, but whether a given act or object
is or is not indecent depends on circumstances; it may depend not only on motive but on locality or environment. Objects and acts of the highest sanctity in one country muy be regarded as low and vulgar in another-the standard varies from class to class, from one occupation to another ; almost from family to family. One may mention, in all innocence, that which may bring a blush to the chcek of some listener. simply because of this instalifity of standard in the matter of impropriety. To this class of things particularly refers the celebrated dictum: "There is no thing in heaven or earth, Horatio, but thinking makes it so." This is mexceptionable Christian Science, but it is not quite true. A higher authority than Shakespeare has asserted that by thinking one cannot make a single hair white or black; and this surely accords with the results of experience. Likewise no one by thinking can make badness goodness or the reverse. But whether a thing be improper or not depends entirely on thinking. Thinking makes it so. It is improper for a Mohanmedan woman to expose her face in public hecause she thinks it is, and because that thought is an ingrained part of her existence. But although the Persian sect of Assassins thought with all their hearts that murder was good, it was still very evil. Are we getting too far away from the censorship of books? I think not. See the bearing of all this.

If a book is really bad-if it teaches that evil is good or that it makes no difference it onght to be rejected uncompromisingly, despite the fact that it is void of impropriety or evell artistically admirable. But if it is morally unobjectionable and yet contains that which is improper or indecent, it is then proper to inquire whether the degree and kind of this indecency is such as to condemn it, particularly taking in-
to account the condition, the intelligence and the age of those who would be likely to read it, and also the time and the readers for whom, if it is an old book, its author originally wrote it. With increasing civilization there are certain things that become more and more indecent, and others that become less and less so, owing to the shifting of points of view.
leet us now take up more specifically moral badness as a cause for rejection. We oreasionally meet people who hold that the mention of anything morally bad in a lwok condemms it; while, on the other hand, some would admit books whose atmosphere reeks with evil; whose bad characters live bad lives and speak bad thoughts, so long as the writer in his own person does not commend evil or teach that it is good. Both these extremes are to be avoided. surely we have outlived the idea that imocence and ignorance are the same thing. "You can't touch pitch," says the proverb, "and not be defiled." Granted; yet we may look at piteh, or any other dirt, and locate it, without harm; nay, we must do so if we want to keep out of it. This is not saying that it is well to seek out descriptions of evil, or to dwell on them, in a work of fiction. Things necessary in the study of medicine, folk-lore or law may be abhorrent in a marative intended for amusement, although the advent of the "problem" novel-the type of fiction in which the narrative form is often merely the sugar coating for the pill-introduces confusion here into any rule that we may lay down. But however foolish it is to insist that the very existence of evil be concealed from readers of fiction, since evil is a normal constituent of the world as we find it, it is certainly fair to object to a dwelling upon evil phases of life to such all extent that the resulting impression is a distortion of the truth. This distortion may be so
great as to make it proper to reject the book wholly on the ground of falsity. A filling of the canvals with lurid tints is apt to convey-or at any rate is often so done as to comvey-the idea that the existence of the evil that the writer depicts is a matter of indifference. A man need not stop to asser this belief that theft is wrong whenever he tells the story of a robs. bery, but it is quite possible to tell a tale of theft in such a way as to leave an impression that it is a venial offense and to weaken in the reader the moral inhilhition that must be his chief reliance in time of temptation. And for "theft" here we may substitute any form of moral dereliction that you may desire. One of the most potent vehicles of moral downfall of any kind is the impression that "everybody does it"-that some particular form of wrongloing is well-nigh unirersal and is looked upon with leniency by societr in general. The man who steals from his employer or who elopes with his neighbor's wife is nine times out of ten a willing convert to this view. A hook that conveys such an idea is really more dangerous than one which openly advocates wrong doing. There can be little difference of opinion here. There may be more in regard to the policy of telling the whole truth regarding a state of things that is morally very bad. It may be fatal to a patient to let him know how ill he is. And may it not also be injurious to a young man or a young woman to expose the amount of evil that really lies before them in this world? There is plansibility in this argument, but it is out of date. There is much philosophy in the modern paradoxical slang phrase: "Cheer up! the worst is yet to come!" And indeed if there is any superlative badness ahead of us, it is better that we should know it, rather than cultivate a false cheerfuhess, based on misinformation, with the certainty of disilhsionment. The Egyptians
were right when they set a skeleton at their feasts. It was not to make the feasts gloomy, but to make the skeleton a familiar object by association; to accustom the feasters to think abont death, how to avoid it as long as possible and how to meet it when inevitable. We should therefore welcome the truth in any hook, umless it is that "half truth," which the poot tells us is "ever the blackest of lies," or unless it is so stated as to violate the canons of decency, in Which case, as we have already seen, its rejection must be based on different considerations entirely.

It is these canons of decency, after all, that give the librarian his sleepless nights, not only because they are so frequently confomided with canons of morality, but hecanse, as we have already seen, they are arhitrary and rariable. Consider the one case of French fiction. Mr. Wister has told librarians that all subjects are "fit for fiction." This is interesting as an academic thesis, but when the French proceed to act upon it, the Anglo-Saxon catches his breath. Books, like men, when they are in Rome must do as the Romans do, and whatever may be proper in Paris, an American pullic library is justified in requiring its books to respect American prejudices. This is true, at any rate, of books in the English language, eren if they are translations from a tongme whose users have other customs and other prejudices. But how about these books in the original? Can we assume that books in the French language are for Frenchmen and that omr censorship of them is to be from the French and not the American point of riew? Or shall we hold that they are to be read wholly or in part by persons whose mother-tongne is English and whose ideas of the proprieties are Anglo-Saxon? And shall we bear in mind also that the reading public of a work of French fiction excludes in France the "young per-
son" of whom the American library public is largely made up? This is only one of the perplexing questions that confront the American librarian in this field. Every one must struggle with it for himself, having in mind the force and direction of his own local sentiment; but few public libraries are treating it consistently and systematically. Probably, however, many librarians are placing on open shelves books in foreign languages, whose translations into English they would be inclined to restrict. In some cases, of course, appeal to a wholly foreign group of readers, with their foreign point of view, may he assumed, as in the case of a Russian collection on the East side of New York; though even here it is a question of whether this is not a good place to prepare these readers for a change in library "folkways"-to use Professor Sumner's expressive word.

Nor must we forget that our own ideas of propriety are constantly changing. Take the single instance of the use, in literature, of words regarded as profane or rulgar. Most of us can recollect a time when our acquaintances were likely to be shocked by the occurrence in a book of the expletive "damn"that is, if it were spelled out. It was generally held to be mobjectionable, or at least less objectionable, if the second and third letters were replaced by a dash. Evidently this is the purest convention. This and worse words appear now, not without shocking some persons, to be sure, but certainly without shocking many of those who formerly would not have tolerated them. On the other hand, it would not be difficult to instance words formerly common in grood literature whose use would now cause something of a sensation. There are also good people who will read ummoved surprising words and expressions when put into the moutl of a cowboy or a Klondike miner,
lout whose gorge would rise if the same words were employed by a writer in propria persona.

What is true of words is true also of subjects. That which could not be touched upon yesterday is discussed freely to-day, and vicc-versu. No way of dealing with the sitnation will fail to offend some one, and the only approximation to satisfaction will be gained by the use of common sense applied to each case as it comes up.

Indecency, of comse, is not the only offense against beanty that a book may commit. It may be trasly, that is its subject matter or the mamer in which it is treated may be trivial and worthless. The dust of the street is neither beantiful nor valnable, althongh it may contain nothing injurious to health or repulsive to the senses. The diction of the book may offend against beauty and order by its incorrectness; its paper, its typography, its binding, its illustrations may all be offensive to the eye. These last are mere matters of outward show, to be sure; it may be necessary to disregard them. They are usually reasons for excluding an edition rather than a book, thongh sometimes the only obtainable edition offends in so many of these ways as to make it umpurchasable, even if otherwise desirable. So far as they militate against the usefulness of the book rather than its beanty, as in the case of the badly sewed binding or paper that is comely but flimsy, they fall under the head of badness rather than that of ngliness-they are offenses against the Good and not against the Beautiful. Such material grounds for rejection, howerer, are not peculiar to books, and I do not dwell on them here. Ugliness that consists in mere triviality or in incorrectness of diction has this in common with impropriety-it is arbitrary and conventional. With regard to language, this is obvious. The fact
that a certain combination of sounds means one thing in France and another in England and is quite unintelligible perhaps in Spain, is a matter of pure convention, though the convention is sanctioned by long usage. The fact that the double negative is rery good Greek and very rulgar English is equally arbitrary. These conventions have become serious things with us; they are of prime importance in the consideration of books, but it is desirable that we should classify them correctly.

With regard to triviality the case is not so clear, yet I feel strongly that it is a relative, not an absolute, quality. The term should be classed with that other misused word-superficiality. No book, of course, and no mind is absolutely thorough, and the lesser grades of knowledge are as important in their place as the higher. What we should condemn is not that a man, or a book, possesses a certain slight degree of knowledge or of ability, but the fact that, possessing it, he believes or represents it to be a higher degree. A man desires, we will say, to memorize the Russian alphabet, so that he may read the proper names on book titles. Is he to be condemned because he knows no more of Russian? Another wishes to wield a hammer dextrously enough to drive a nail without smashing his fingers. Is he "superficial" because he is not an expert cabinet-maker". Still another has learned to play the piano well enough to amuse himself in his idle hours. Does his lack of skill lay him open to the charge of "superficiality?" These people may, it is true, think that they are respectively a Russian scholar, a skilled carpenter, and a good pianist; then and then only are they culpable. The "superficiality," in other words, consists in mistaking a lesser degree of knowledge for a ligher or in thinking that the lesser degree suffices for something that requires
the higher-not in the mere limitation of the possessor. A superficial book is that which, skimming the surface of the subject, persuades the reader that he has gone into its depths; as for the skimming itself, that might be quite adequate and sufficient for some purposes. So with "triviality." Nothing is trivial that has an aim and accomplishes it; as for the gradation of aims from unimportant up to important, I leave that to others. Who shall say whether the passing of an idle hour or the addition of a few facts to ones store of knowledge is the more important? The idle hour may be the recreation period of a hard-working mind, without which it might break down from over-pressure, leaving to less competent minds the completion of its useful labor. The few facts might be quite unfruitful. This is why we should hesitate to condemn a trivial book that has beauty of form or some other positive virtue to commend it. Triviality is objectionable only when it masquerades as importance. Perhaps it would be better to say : a book that pretends to excellence along any line where it is really valueless is a dangerous book. This brings us back to Truth as a criterion of excellence, for such a book is a hypoeritical or false book, as much as if it definitely asserted as a fact that which is untrue.

When a book, therefore, comes up as a candidate for omission from the purchasing list, or perhaps for exclusion after it has actually been placed on the shelves, the librarian's first duty is to inquire whether it is objectionable because of falsity, of evil morality or of impropriety. The first question may be determinable only by reference to an expert. If the second is alleged, it is well to inquire whether the supposed immorality of the book be not in fact simply impropriety, and if impropriety is the only objection,
whether it is of kind and amount likely to be properly offensive. If the charge of immorality is sustained I see no place for the book on the shelres of a public circulating library.

What has been said may seem to need rounding out with specific illustrations and instances, but it is particularly desirable to avoid here anything in the nature of purely personal opinion and prejudice. It might be possible of course to define the content of certain well-knowu works by their conformity or nonconformity with the canons above laid down, without attempting to settle the question, at the moment, whether the degree of non-conformity, if it exists, is high enough to make exclusion from a public library desirable or necessary. From this point of view Othello, we will say, is a play teaching a moral lesson, in doing which it discusses sin, but never with approval, expressed or implied. The anthor uses words and expressions not in accordance with modern standards of propriety, although not contrary to those of his own time. In like manner Boccacio's "Decameron" may be characterized as a collection of short stories connected by thin narrative, often telling of wrongdoing in a manner clearly implying that it is usual and unobjectionable, with use of words and incidents frequently contrary not only to modern ideas of propriety, but also to those of the author's time, except in the dissolute circles for which the tales were originally written. Some of the stories, however, teach morality, and the literary style and method are beautiful and commendable, while the pictures of society are truthful. The implications of customary vice are simply reflections of life as the author knew it. "Gil Blas," by Le Sage, continning in this rein, we may call a tale of adventure in which everything is set down is it happens,
grood, bad and indifferent; important and trivial, with a hero who is somewhat of a rogue, although the wickedness is incidental and is described in such a way that the reader never mistakes it for virtue even when the writer tells it with a relish. The implication that wrongdoing is common, though undoubtedly convered, leaves the impression only that it is common among the people and under the circumstances of the tale, which is undoubtedly correct.

It would greatly aid the library censor if he could have amotations of this sort on all books intended for promiscuous public circulation. For this purpose, in fact, all literature should be eraluated by the light of this one color of the critical spectrum. The two or three books just noted possess at least some of the elements of greatness; yet good people differ regarding the extent to which they should be made freely accessible to the general public. I have tried to set down regarding them data on which all may agree, for the purpose of impressing upon you the fact that disagreement is not so much regarding the data as regarding the application to them of principles which, if they have been stated correctly, are few, simple and readily accepterl.

We have been lightly skimming the surface of a subject vital to all who have to do with the production and distribution of books-to authors, editors, publishers, booksellers, and above all to us librarians. The ranks of readers are swelling to-day; it is our boast that we are doing our best to swell them. They are recruited from classes whose literature-if we may so extend the term-has been oral rather than written, whose standards of propriety are sometimes those of an earlier and grosser age, whose ideas of right and wrong are beclouded by ignorance and distorted by prejudice. And at the same time hosts of
our people, with little background of hereditary refimement to steady them, have become suddenly rich, "beyond the dreams of ararice." The shock has upset their ideas and their standards. Riches have come so suddeuly and so vastly even to the educated, to those whose culture dates back for generations, that it has overturned their ideals also. Our literature is menaced both from below and from above.
Books that distinctly commend what is wrong, that teach how to sin and tell how pleasant sin is, sometimes with and sometimes without the added sance of impropriety, are increasingly popular, tempting the author to imitate them, the publishers to produce, the booksellers to exploit. Thank hearen they do not tempt the librarian. Here at last is a purveyor of books who has no interest in distributing what is not clean, honest, and true. The librarian may, if he will-and he does-say to this menacing tide, "Thus far shalt thou go and no farther."

## HOW TO RAISE THE STANDARD OF BOOK SELECTION*

If a man is to improve himself, he must first realize his own deficiencies; in other words, he must know what he ought to be, and how and in what degree he falls short of it.

First, then, what are the best books; and do we get them?
"Best" here as always is a relative term; what is best for one may not be best for another, or for all. We hear "good books" gravely recommended to people who will not read them, and who could not extract the good from them if they did read them. When the book fits the man, provided he is a good man, it is a good book, ipso facto.

You remember the tale of the rural parish priest at dinner with his bishop. The host, desiring to poke a little quiet fun, asked him whether it were lawful to baptize a man in soup. "I should make a distinetion," calmly answered the priest; "if it were good thick soup, I should say not; if it were wishy-washy stuff like this we are eating, it would be quite proper."

So long as we do not realize that the same literary consistency is not adapted both to nutrition and to immersion we shall not be able to decide on what are the best books.

But is there no general line of division between bad and good books?

I can give but a few, but I venture to lay down
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(1) The test of language. No book can be good that is not written in correct English. By this I mean, of course, that the author himself must speak correctly; his characters may be ignorant persons and he will maturally make them talk accordingly.
(2) The test of simplicity and clearness. No book can be good whose author expresses himself in words that are too large for his subject or in sentences that are so involved that they cannot lon easily understood.
(3) The best of good taste. No book can be good whose author uses words or expressions that would not be used by cultivated people.
(4) The test of truth. No book can be good whose subject matter is false; or, in case of fiction, whose manner of telling is such as to make it seem absurdly improbable. The plot of the book may, it is true, lack probability. It may be frankly improbable like a fairy tale, but the author must not seem to lose faith in it himself, and no matter how impossible his foundation the structure that he builds on it must hold together.

I venture to say that if a book survises these tests-if it is simply and clearly expressed in good English and in the best taste and is consistently put together-it cannot be a bad book so far as style goes.

So far as the subject matter of the book is concerned, my test would be simply that of its effect on the reader. If a book makes the reader want to be mischievous, foolish or criminal-to be a silly or bad man or woman, or if it tends to make him do his daily work badly, it is a bad book and all the worse in this case if it is interesting and fascinating in style. But even here the trouble is largely in the manner of treatment. A book may tell of crime and criminals
in such a way as to make the reader detest both or feel an attraction toward both. In this case, as the scripture says, "Ye shall know them by their fruits." If a book sends a boy out to he a burglar, it is bad; if it impels him to take a creving child ly the hand and lead it home, it is good. And here let me say that this compelling power, this effective result of a book should speak in its favor though all other tests be against it. Musicians tell us that a great composer may write a work that breaks every rule of harmony and yet be a work of genius. Genins knows no rules.

So mucla for the general line of cleavage. But the special may for the moment exclude all the claims of the general. A commmity may be in crying need of books on a given subject-pottery or rowboats or hygiene. This need may or may not be realized by the community, but its existence makes a special class of books the best, for the moment, for that community. To buy a good collection of minor poets for a town that clamors, or ought to clamor, for hooks on the electric industries, is to get bad books.

Now do we, under our present system, or lack of system, in selection, get these best books-hest both in the general and in the special sense?

What is the matter with the books in the arerage small library? The trouble is not generally that the books are bad, but that they might casily be better, and by "better" it must be borne in mind that I mean more closely adapted to the legitimate needs of the commmity. If we go over the shelves of the average small library we shall generally be able to note the following facts:
(1) A considerable portion of the books have mot been taken out in long periods. This can easily be ascertained by examining the book-cards or dating. slips. Of course, the nom-use of a book does not mean
that it slould not be in the library. The fault may he with the readers, not with the book. Non-use, however, does mean that something is the matter. Either the library pullic has bad taste or is not properly guided, or else a mistake was made in providing it with this particular book.
(2) A considerable number of standard books whose reading should be encouraged will not be found on the shelves. These books are almost always part of the collection, but there are not enough duplicates to supply the demand. At the came time it will be found that the library is adding current hooks of doubtful value.
(3) Books on large local industries-shoemakingpottery, agriculture-are often lacking. In such cases there is generally a lack of demand; but this is becanse the persons who would read such books have learned by experience not to look for them in a public library.
(4) Books in the languages spoken by industrial colonies of foreigners in the neighborhood are usually conspicuous by their absence.
(5) The collections in classes where some technical knowledge is necessary for selection, such, for instance, as the sciences, the arts, or history, often show a lack of intelligence, or, at any rate, a lack of system. There are badly written books and hooks full of errors; there is lack of uniformity in grade-an advanced mathematical work on electricity, for instance, and very elementary ones on light and sound.
(i) In particular, controverted subjects are represented in a one-sided way; there may be no way for a reader to get at the Catholic story of the Protestant reformation, or the sonthern view of the civil war, or both sides of the spelling-reform or the woman-suffrage morements. Sorialism, rivisection, anti-vac-
cination, the negro question, prohibition, the tariff -all these and a hundred others are represented only in a partisan sense.
(7) There is too much care abont the outward garb of decency and too little about the pervading atmosphere of morality. Books that describe in decorous language ingenious methods of shop-lifting are given place, but you look in vain for works of lofty moral tone couched in diction that is occasionally coarse.

How far are these faults due to methods of book selection? One of the troubles seems to be that the book-selecting body does not avail itself of expert advice as much as it ought. The librarian is learning, to be sure, to use lists and printed aids more and more, though they are rarely used with discrimination; but supplementary to such lists as these, especially since they so largely lack the personal element, we need the personal adrice of experts. If the lists and reviews. Will leave us in the dark about the man who advises us to buy books on engineering or art, we must go to someone who we know understands these subjects, at least knows a little more of them than we do ourselves. There are, in general, two grades of expert advice. The first is that received from the man who is personally familiar with the current literature of his specialty, who watches the books as they appear and who sends to the library the titles that he thinks it ought to have. This grade of expert service is very difficult to obtain. I have found few men in my experience who are able and willing to give it. Those who have the good-will and the time have usually not the knowledge; those who have the knowledge are busy men who cannot give the time.

The second grade of expert aid is that which pronounces on concrete cases, which decides whether a
givel hook (either from inspection of the mere title or of the volume itself) is suitable for the library. This kind of aid is not difficult to oltain, and there are persons in ahmost every place qualified in some degree to give it. It requires, however, a preliminary selection and generally the ohtaining of books on approval, which is easies in a large place than a small one.

The library is only one of various institutions that must use expert aid of this kind. The same limitations apply to all. Take, for instance, the work of reference, the cyclopedia, we will say. Its editor camot write of his own knowledge the articles on Vene\%nela, and open-hearth steel, and Plato. He must rely on the information, direct or secondhand, of experts. But he cannot allow his experts to write his crelopedia. Some cyclopedias are written very nearly in that way, and they are not the best. The expert must be coached before he does his work and the work must be edited when finished. It is on the proper combination of expert and editorial work that the value of the finished rolmmes will depend. So it is with library selection. The librarian is the editor of a big cyclopedia of thousands of volumes. He must have expert aid in selection, hat he must not allow his experts to select the library uncontrolled. They must be instructed heforehand, and their advice must he carefully considered after it has been given. It must, in short, be edited. This brings us to the consideration that we have ultimately to face in discussing any phase of human activity-the question of personality. If the librarian and the book committer are incompetent and believe themselves to be competent-then the collection, in spite of all efforts, will reflect their faults-it will be intolerant, or trivial or ill-talanced.

Much, therefore, depends upon the actual book selector for the library. Should this be the librarian, or a committee of the trustees, or the board itself, or an advisory committee of outsiders? Probably the best results are ohtained through a preliminary selection made by the librarian with the aid of lists and the adrice of individual experts-not committees-as suggested above, and then submitted to some person or committee representing the board of trustees. This places the final responsibility where it helongs -on the trustees; but with a satisfactory librarian, the duties of the reviewing committee would consist chiefly of deciding on matters of policy-rarely of considering individual titles. It would decide, for instance, on how closely fiction is to be censored, on how far the library is to go in the purchase of recent, fiction, on the extent to which foreign languages are to be recognized, on the purchase and duplication of text-hooks, on the policy of the library with regard to denominational religious works or of controversial books generally-and so on.

Going back for a moment to the question of experts, probably the most difficult advice to procure, with any degree of satisfaction, is regarding fiction, whether in English or in foreign languages. It has been said that one may approve a book simply on the author's name, or even on that of the publisher, and this is still true in isolated cases, hat in these days, when both author and publisher are rontinnally trying experiments, continually varying standards and style, each book must be dealt with individually. I do not see how one can decide whether a given novel should or should not be bought for a library without reading it through from cover to cover or hearing a report from someone who has so read it and who understame the wants and limita-
tions of the Americ:an public library. This is a line, it seems to me, along which great improvement in our selection is possible; but I confess I do not see my way to an immediate solution of the problem. Possibly this is a good opportunity to say a word for a method of testing the adequacy of one's collection whicli has scarcely been used as it deserves. One of the most difficult things for a librarian to ascertain is whether his collection is properly distributed among the different classes, and by this I mean, as before, distributed in accordance with the legitimate requirements of the community. It is not possible to find by a statistical method exactly what people need, but it is possible to find out what they want, as indicated by the kind of books that they read. The statistical record of this will be found in the class percentages of circulation. Whether or not the library is equipped to supply this need is indicated by the class percentages of books on the shelves. A comparison of these two percentage tables is always most interesting to the book selector. It does not enable him antomatically to select books, but it does indicate points for fruitful investigation. To take some actual cases, I find a library with four per cent of history and six per cent of literature on the shelves, whereas the corresponding cinculation percentages are five and seven. This is prima facie evidence that the collections in those two subjects are used rather more than the others and could well be increased. In cases where it is not desirable to encourage circulation in a given rlass, such an indication shonld evidently meet with no response. The circulation of fiction always runs far beyond its proportion, and it is neither proper nor desirable for the library to try to keep up. Thus in three libraries where the percentage of adult
fiction on the shelves is 20,19 and 17 , respectively, I find the corresponding circulation perentages to be 34,35 and 27 . What, let us ask ouscolyes, are library statistics for? Is all the labor concerned in their collection and assemblage to result simply in a table that is to be glanced at for a moment with more or less interested curiosity, or do we intend to do something with them? It sometimes seems that the foreign reproach that we Americans care only for moner, which we are properly disposed to resent, is partly justified by the fact that the only statistios that appear to mean anything to us are finameial. When a man learns that he is living beyond his income or that he is getting a smaller per cent for his investments than his neighbor, or that the man at the desk next to him is receiving a larger salary for doing the same work, he does not sit still and say, "Ah! how interesting!" He gets up and does something about it. But statisties that convict him of all sorts of incompetency and foolishness along lines other than monetary ones, he regards simply as objects for intellectual ahsomption.

These percentages, of course, are not the only indications ly which a librarian may aldust the mopertions of the classes in his collection. If his lihary has the reserve system, for instance, the call for books in circulation is an unfailing index of the popular demand. If that demand is one that shomed be heeded, the nmmber of copies in the library may well be proportionate to the number of names on the reserver list.

But a librarian who keeps in continal tome with the public by contact with users at the desk needs none of these somewhat mechanical indications. It is the inestimable privilege of the libratian of a small library in a small commonity to know her pulnic, itw wants, its needs, its abilities and its limitations in a way that is denied to custodians of huge collections.

In closing, let me suggest the following "Don'ts" for selectors of library books:
(1) Don't buy books that are intellectually far above your readers, in the hope of improving their minds; a man may wall up stairs, but he can't jump from the sidewalk to the roof.
(2) Don't buy fine editions of books that need rather to lie extensively duplicated; better two good sonls than one fine body.
(3) Don't buy MeGrath and McCutcheon when you have reserves on file for Dickens and George Eliot.
(4) Don't buy biography in excess because you are fond of it yourself, when a comparison of percentages shows that your supply of travel or applied science is not up to the demand.
(5) Jon't buy books in flimsy bindings that will give out after the first issue ; work should not be done in gauzy garments.
(6) Don't buy hooks in very strong bindings when their use is to be light and small; overalls are not sultahle for all aftermom teal.
( $)$ ) Don't buy "sets" and "libraries;" they are adulterated literature, coffee mixed with chicory.
(8) Don't buy subscription books of an agent at a personal interview; it is the agents game not to let you think; stand up for your rights and think it over.
(9) Don't estimate public demand by its effect on your own patience; one persistent old gentleman often bulks larger than a crowd of quiet but deserving persons withont either push or pull.
(10) Don't buy books of which you are not in immediate need, when you are morally certain that copies in good condition will be thrown on the markets as remainders at one-quarter the original list price.
(11) Don't buy costly "new editions" of reference books without assuring yourself that the newness is more than nominal.
(12) Don't buy novels because you see them advertised in the trolley cars.
(13) Lastly-and this is the most important thing of all-don't get discouraged. Onr methods of selecting books, and their results, doubtless need improvement, but so do those of all the other libraries we know. Let us try to realize our deffeiencies, and then try to make this year"s book list just a little better than the last. If we can succeed in this, the standard will take care of itself.

## $\Sigma$

## SYSTEM IN THE LIBRARY*

It has been said by Mr. W. H. Mallock that what we call labor-organizations are mis-named, becanse their object is, in most cases, the organization not of labor, but of idleness. This somewhat eryptic statement may he understood to mean that trade unions have endeavored usually not to improve the methods and results of labor, nor to make its output larger' and more satisfactory, but rather to improve the condition of the laboring man; to make his life more comfortable and his task easier, to shorten hours and lessen output, and often, as a result, to make that output of lower grade.

This will be regarded as a base slander by many people, and it is doubtless exaggerated; yet there is an amount of truth in it that cannot be overlooked by any worker or any combinations of workerswhich is the same as saying that it interests almost all of us in this country; for the only Americans able to work who do not work are tramps and a very few millionaires. We shall try to consider its bearing on library workers, but before doing so, it will be well to look at it a little longer in its more general aspect.

Those who desire to improve the worker's condition will justify themselves very properly on economic grounds by saying that to do this is also to improre the methods of work and the quality of the product. No one can do good work who is ill-housed, underfed, improperly clothed or overworked. This is true; but it is not also true that if we make it our

[^8]primary aim to see that the worker is as comfortable as possible, to lift from lim all the difficulties and burdens of his task, we shall also improve his output proportionally. Rather should we do away with that output altogether. We should simply be "organizing idleness." We may consider, as an analogy, the difference between a tariff for revenue and one for protection. The total abolition of import duties is impossible, we are told. They are necessary for revenue. Even England, the world's greatest free-tiade country, has import duties. Very true, but the amount of the duty and the objects on which it is laid will differ absolutely according to its purpose. Again, we will suppose that the same company owns an elerated railway and a surface trolley line. They will naturally, if left to themselres, adjust fares, speed and stops on the former so as to induce a larger proportion of people to travel by the slower surface line, which is less expensise to operate. If the surface line were owned by a rival company, there would be an entirely different schedule of fares, speed and stops on the elevated road, intended to crowd it with passengers and to derive the largest possible revenne from it alone.

In like manner, we must doubtless look out for the worker; and he must doubtless look out for himself. His conditions of life and work must be made such that he will perform his task as well as possible. But those conditions will be adjusted quite differently if we regard the comfort of the worker as the prime olject from what they will be if we regard the excellence of the output as the prime object and the worker's comfort as a means to that end.

This will bear statement in still another way. We are put into this wolld to do our appointed tasks, and it is our business to do them as well as we pos-
sibly can. This means that we must take the proper amount of rest, eat good food, keep happy and contented, and all the rest of it. But he who regards his work simply as a means of furnishing him the wherewithal to be happy, to take expensive vacations, live in a fine house, and so on, will neither do his best work, nor will he enjoy the good things of life as he ought.

Our friends, the Socialists, whose propaganda is receiving more attention from thoughtful men to-day than it did a few years ago, both becallse of the truths that it presents and the menace that it offers to our present civilization, are making the mistake of dwelling upon the importance of the worker's comfort rather than that of the worker's improvement. They promise us that we shall all be in comfortable circumstances and will have to work only three hours a day. Incidentally, the output is to be letter. But be putting the matter thus, instead of the other way about, they have appealed to the element of laziness that exists in all men-they have held out the prospect of idleness instead of labor.

I have not lived west of the Mississippi long enough to know whether the same conditions obtain here as in the East; but there, comparing things today with what I remember of my boyhood, I seem to see an increasing tendency among all workers to put self first and work second. The policy of "ca" canny," as they call it in Scotland-of "go easy"-doing as little as one can and still keep his job-is creeping in and has secured a firm foothold. It is increasingrly difficult to get any kind of work, mannal or mental, done really well-so well that one feels like saying, "Well done, thou faithful servant." And yet the shirkers are all anxious to get to the top; and they wonder why they do not. They comfort themselves
by saying that success nowadays is solely a matter of pull. But it is not so. Look around you and you will see, for the most part, men in charge of large enterprises who are efficient, and who have put work before self-men who are engrossed in what they are doing, who love it and therefore do it effectively.

There never was a baser slander than the common assertion that we Americans love money. If we loved the dollar for itself alone, we shonld never sling it about as we do. We love the excitement and the fun of making money. Look at our working millionaires! Thes want no more moner; they can not use what they have. They enjoy the task of owning and running a great railway system, of organizing and managing some great industrial combination. We may find it necessary to clip their wings a little, but we can not call them lazy and inefficient-they make the jol, too hard for us. There is no "go easy" policy here, and those who favor it will never get to the top.

Let us hope that this pernicious idea that self is worth more than work will never find a foothold in the library. We see it here and there, but I believe that, taken by and large, library workers love their tasks and that they are efficient in proportion to that love.

As our libraries are growing larger, our organizations more complex, it is, I know, growing harder to take a live personal interest in the work, so much of it is specialized rontine; one feels like a mere conwheel in a great machine. Th assistant who pastes labels or addresses postal cards in a big library, finds it harder to realize that she is doing something interesting and nseful than the librarian of a small library who not only performs these tasks but all the others-meets her public, selects and buys her books,
plans in one way and another for the extension and betterment of her work. Yet the rapid, accurate and efficient performance of the lesser task is as important as that of the greater. A label pasted awry may ruin the library's reputation in the eye of a casual user ; a mis-sent card may canse trouble to dozens of one's fellow-assistants. Routine work is dull only when one does not understand its purport. Dullness is in the worker, not in the work.

Are libraries, indeed, introducing too much organization into the work-is it becoming too machinelike? Now, it should not be forgotten that there is in a machine something akin to personality-individmality, at any rate, is not too strong a word. Every locomotive has tricks and characteristics that its engineer knows and sometimes lores. He pats its back affectionately and speaks of it as "she." The idea that to be part of a machine excludes personality and individual work is all wrong. One can not go careering about eccentrically and mosystematically; the very purpose of organization is to stop all that; but within the limits of motion and action assigned to a person as his part in the larger motion and action of the machine, there is still room for moving well or ill, for helping on the greater work or antagonizing it and throwing it out of order. If a cog-wheel thinks that it is manifesting its originality in some meritorious way by making the whole machine creak and wobble and turn out an inferior product, that congwheel has power to do just this; but it should not complain if the machinist throws it into the scrap heap.

Now, in the library, the parts of our mathine are workers of all kinds; their connection and relationship are conditioned and limited by customs, rules and orders. To test the desirability of these or of
any change in them there is just one question to be asked; first, last and all the time, namely-is this for ourselves or for our work? Is it merely to make things easier for the assistants or will it improve the work and benefit the public?

The asking of this question and its thoughtful consideration will puncture many a bubble. We will take, if you please, the question of racations. Any one who has tried to make out a racation schedule in a large library knows that, next to making out a recitation schedule in a large school or college, it is the most rexatious task of the kind that is giren to man to do. Everrone must hare a racation, and everyone wants to have it at some time when the efficiencr of the library will be impaired by it. Ereryone wants to go away at once, and there are times when no one wants to be absent. Any possible arrangement means dissatisfaction, heartburnings, a feeling that faroritism or prejudice has been at work. Into the mind of most librarians has, I am sure, crept the suggestion: What is the use of all this? Why not close the library for a month? Is not that done by the schools: and are not we, too, an educational institution?

The fact that librarians do not yield, in this case, to the suggestion of a change that would benefit them and all their assistants, is, of course, due to the obvionsness of the other fact that it would be bad for the public.

This test of the public adrantage mas be applied to the whole question of system in the library-of how much system is good, and what kind and how it shall be determined and applied. Then a man comes in contact with a library rule that incommodes him personally, he is apt to deride it impatiently as "red tape." When he finds absence of a rule where he would have henefited by it, he concludes that the libra-
ry is in "chaos" or "confusion." Now, there should evidently le neither one nor the other of these, althongh we cannot allow the personal convenience of a single user to be the test-our system should not exist for itself alone, nor should we try to get along without system altogether. There should he just so much and of just such a kind as will result in the maximum degree of service rendered to the puhlic.

The individual user is quite wrong, of comrse, in condemning a regulation that annors him personally, for this reason alone; but if we should find that it amoyed all other users as well without other advantage than the saving of some trouble to the library assistant, he would, I conceive, be quite right in calling it "red tape." This term is applied primarily to annosing official restrictions that have no use whatever, but we mar well extend it to restrictions that benefit the administrator withont improving the administration. Rules, customs and manners of procedure in a library, whether they say "thou shalt" or "thon shalt not" are of two kinds-those addressed to the library staff and those addressed to the public. Both, howerer, are intended to enable the public to get more good out of the library. The members of the staff are told to do certain things and not to do others, because this will make it easier for the users of the library to get what they want. The latter in turn are bidden to do this and formidden to do that-not, as some of them seem to think, to make the librarian's work easier or to save lim troublebut to throw the library open wider to their fellows. System of this kind may bear very hard on the individual user; he may chafe, for instance, at any restriction in the number of books that he is allowed to borrow-but if no such restriction existed, the privileges of his fellow horrowers would be curtailed
thereby. He may grumble because the time limit on his book has expired before he has finished reading it, ummindful of the fact that some of his fellow readers are anxiously waiting for it. But if the book in his possession is not wanted by anybody; if there are other such mused books in the library that he wants, should he not have and keep them? Assuredly. Every library should make arrangements whereby none of its books should be kept from use to stand idly on the shelves. Our test of public usefulness declares as decisively for this as it does for the partition of privilege in the case of more than one anxious borrower.

To return to that part of the library machine that affects the library statf, I have many times heard assistants complain of incidents of organization and systematization that seemed to them too much like those in vogue in commercial institutions. Now it may be freely admitted that there is a difference between the library and the store or the factory, or more generally between any institution for the nublic good and one for private gain. In the former the public advantage is the prime object, and to attain it we must often consult the comfort or convenience of the administrators. In the latter, the advantage of the administrators is the prime object, and to gain it they are generally forced to consult the comfort and convenience of the public. The primary and secondary elements are reversed, but they exist in each. Both the department store and the library must look out for the public. It is the library's business to do so, and it is in the store's business advantage to do the same.

It is hard to see, therefore, why any kind of system that will make a store work better is not worth looking into by a librarian. The systematization in
the staff of an up-to-date, modern business organization, and in its work, is a continual surprise to him who has not looked into such things for a score of years. The stores and the factories are ahead of librarians in this respect, and we may as well admit it. After all, this is natural. What is to one's business advantage is always done better than what is merely one's business. But there is no reason why we should not study these better methods and imitate those that are worth copying.

Take one little example. In a factory the raw material is followed statistically from its purchase to its sale as a finished product; and even after its sale its performances are watched. The owner can find out, when he wants to do so, whether that particular article made or lost money for the firm, and how much, and why; whether it gave satisfaction to the purchaser, and if not, why not; to what its excellence or deficiencies were due, whether to the qualities of the raw material or the methods of manufacture. How many librarians can similarly ascertain whether the purchase of a given invoice of books was profitable to the library or not, taking into account the number and duration of their issues, the time lost and the money spent in mending and re-binding them, and so on? How many can tell you whether those books gave satisfaction to the users, in their bindery, typography, and paper; whether the reader found them hard on his eyes, easily soiled, difticult to hold open-and whose fault it was, the publisher's, the binder's or the mender's? This, too, is merely the material and physical side of the question-all that the manufacturer or the merchant needs to consider. We librarians say we are on a loftier plane; we purvey ideas. So we do. How many of us then can say what was the mental and moral effect on our
community of the books added last year, as compared with those added the year before? How many of us know even whether the readers liked the books of one year better than those of another? Again; the individual worker in a good factory, the travelling salesman in a good mercantile house, is watched statistically. II is employers can tell just how profitable his work is to them. If the failure of an operation, or the luss of custom in a town, is due to him, they know it, and if his service continues umprofitable, he is replaced. How many librarians watch the work of indiridual members of the staff with such detail? Suppose at the end of six months' service, an assistant were confronted with statistical evidence that she had mischarged ten books, made eight bad mistakes in atcessioning, written twenty catalog cards that had to be replaced and caused four complaints by her bearing at the desk? Suppose she were thereupon given notice that she must do better or go; what would she say? I think I know. She would say that the library was run just like a department store. And she would be quite right; only, instead of being derogatory to the library as it would be intended, her remark would be a compliment. It is time that we should carefully discriminate between what is commereial, in commercial institutions, and what simply makes for orderliness and efficiency.

Now, we may consider three things, belonging to a given institution, that every employee of that institution has in his eare. If they are properly conserved the institution will be efficiently administered, and the visible machinery for conserving them constitutes system. They are time, property and reputation. A large part of the system under which any institution is conducted has for its object the utilization of every bit of time. We Americans, with all
our hustling are great wasters of time. Workers do nothing, not so much in periods of actually shirking or laziness as in getting started, in passing from one task to another, in fruitless pottering about, in endeavoring to decide some unimportant question of detail and in one or another of a thousand different ways when they seem to themselves to be at work, while they really are doing nothing useful. As for talking, it is the bane of many different kinds of work. I am inclined to think that all work should be done in silence. Possibly, however, this would be a mistake, for an occasional word keeps workers alive and in good humor where absolute silence is not necessary. It is, however, difficult to stop with a word. Words group themselves into phrases, phrases into sentences and sentences into consersation, and the workers who assert convincingly that they get on exactly as well while they are talking, succeed in cutting in half, not only their own sum total of useful achievement, but that of the amoyed toilers anywhere within earshot. System surely requires close conservation of valuable time; by promptness, by quickness, by keeping the cobwebs from one's brain, and above all, by silence, relative if not absolute.

The property that the librarian is expected to conserve consists of books-the material in which he works and with which he is expected to produce his effects, and of money and objects-buildings, furniture and utensils-intended to aid him in handling the books properly and in getting them and the users together. The Philadelphia alderman who proposed to do away with the buildings, furniture and staff of the library altogether, spend the money for books, dump these on the city-hall floor, and let the public choose, may have been somewhat crude in his ideas; but he at least understood that books are the basis
of a library and that librarians and buildings are but subsidiary. His attitude was vastly more intelligent than that of some persons who appear to think that a good librarian in a fine building ought to produce satisfactory results without any books at all. The librarian, then, must provide aloove all for the care and preservation of the books. If his library is on open shelves it most assure careful watch against thievery; it must insure, by an adequate charging system, the due return of borrowed volumes; it must see that the physical structure of the book is protected, and repaired when needful; it must watch and count the books at intervals to see that they are all on the shelves. This last means the taking of a regular and careful inventory-the bane of the average librarian. Yet how can he shirk it? Books are valuable property entrusted to his care. If he were custodian of money or funds he would not be let off year after year with the statement that the labor of ascertaining how much remained in his possession was greater than it was worth. One may omit to inventory his private collection, just as he may omit to count the money in his purse, if he chooses, not that of others. And if it is his duty to see that the quantity of his collection remains unimpaired, it is equally so to see to the quality. A library system that counts the books carefully, but esteems a torn and filthy volume as good a unit as one in proper condition, will no longer pass muster.

There are dirty books on too many library shelves. Such lilraries are deficient in the kind of system that preserves property efficiently. As for the mechanical plant of the library, the building that houses it, with its fittings and furniture, a proper system, of course, requires that these be kept constantly in good condition. Now, we Americans are impatient of detail:
we like to do things in a large way and then let them take care of themselves. While the Fremehman or the Englishman watches his roads or pavements day by day and never allows them to get out of repair, we huild expensive roadways and leave them alone until they are in disgraceful rondition-wherenpon we tear them up and relould them. While the foredimer builds his cities, stome lys stone and street hy street, so that they are picturespue and beantiful, we lot ouss spring up as they will, shom jostling palace, and factory ellowing churelh, until finally we form grandiose projects of reronstruction, cutting aremes here and making parts there-projects which may be carried out and may remain on paper. so 1 have seen tasteful and expensise library buldings allowed to grow grimy and dilapidated day by day throngh late of a systematic plan for renovation and repair. Some day the authorities will wake up and there will be reconstruction and redecoration in plenty-to be followed by another era of slow decay:

The third entity that an cflicient system must enable the librarian to conserve is evanescent and almost indefinable. It is difticult to bring system to bear upon it at all, and yet its preservation is of the very highest importance of all, becalnse withont it the librarian cannot do the work in his commmity that every good librarian is trying to do. Reputation is a fickle thing, indeed. Gained sometimes in a happy monent, it may persist for long years, successfully defying all assanlts; achieved elsewhere by decades of stremous application and scrupulous observance, it may vanish in a day as the result of some petty act of forgetfulness or of the stupidity of a passing moment. None the less is it the duty of the head of every great institution to strive contimally to attain and maintain it; to increase it if
possible and to gnard it jealonsly. There he is in the hands of his subordinates and such system as he may loring to bear may and should be directed toward creating and keeping alive within them a proper esprit de corps. The library that succeeds in creating a public impression that it and all connected with it are honestly trying to be of public service, to win public esteem, and to gain a place in the public heart, has two-thirds of its work done alleady. Its burden is rolled down hill instead of up.

We boast that in ow country public opinion is all powerful; hut we are often apt to regard public opinion as we do the weather. Its balmy gales and its destructive vortices, its gentle dews and its devastating torrents, are alike, we think, beyond our power to regulate. Yet, though public opinion may be unjust or capricious, it is usually level-headed. So the library that rovets that good reputation which public opinion alone can give it, must so act as to deserve that good opinion. And as one broken cog will throw a whole machine out of gear, so one assistant who does not realize his or her responsibilities in this matter may mar a library's reputation, otherwise well-earned. It is hard luck, indeed, that a librarian, who with the majority of his staff has striven long and well to earn the public good-will, should see it forfeited by the thoughtlessness or ill-temper of some one of his staff. This, however, is the way of our world with its multiple connections. None of us may live for himself alone; we stand or fall with others, and the smallest hit of orange peel may bring down the mightiest athlete to the parement.

How may the librarian, or anyone else, bring system to hear on such an evanescent thing as this? It is a hard matter, indeed. But can it be denied that a well-oiled library machine, one that is quickly res-
ponsive to direction and control, one whose parts are as perfect in themselves and as perfectly connected as may be, is least likely to suffer from unfortunate accidents? A librarian whose bad judgment-or whose kindness of heart, perhaps-hats misled him into admitting into his machine one false cog may find to his sormo that this will slip at the critical time, betraying both him and the whole engine that he had hoped to widd for good. Here no one kind of system, no particular detail, alone suffices, but every detail, every series, every combination renders the whole falnic of reputation more solid and more secure. I sometimes think that we Anglo-saxons are in greater need of the inspiration and aid that we get from records of past intellectual achierement than are some other races. For our intellectual heritage does not come at all from our physical ancestry. We are the intellectual heirs of the Greeks, the Romans and the Hebrews, not of our own Teutonic fathers. We can, therefore, not only rely on heredity to maintaiu our intellectual level; we must continually drink from the same fountains through which our fathers drew inspiration. We sometimes think a little contemptuously of what we call the reneer of modern civilization that the Japanese have put on, forgetting that our own civilization is in great part also acquired, although the acquisition is of earlier date. Moreover, the Japanese have, and retain, intellectmal ideals and achievements of their own, hating learned from the West hardly more than its mechanics and engineering. On the other hand, our mechanical achievements are our own, our intellectual and esthetic standards are borrowed. Our intellectual status may thus be compared to the electrical condition of the trolley wire, which in order that it may furnish its useful energy to the motor below must it-
self be supplied at intervals with this energy from an adjacent feed wire communicating directly with the source of electrical power. The feed wire in our case is the library-a collection representing the intellectual energy of all past ages, springing directly from the powerful brains of the masters of mental achievement throughout the centuries. Unless we supply our minds from this, we shall not maintain our intellectual position. Is this the reason why the popular library has attained with us a development that it has never reached in Latin countries, whose inhabitants possess through heredity many of the mental standards of value that our ancestors borrowed and that we must borrow ever and again from the records of the past? We may be sure that this is at least a possibility; and we may be equally sure that the adoption of system, both external and internal, will facilitate both this and all other functions of the library. The statement that "the letter killeth and the spirit giveth life" was never intended to mean that we are to neglect formal and systematic methods of work. The letter kills only when it is spiritless, with the spirit to give it life it does well its part, ensuring that the institution to which it applies shall produce its results, surely, quietly and effectively, with a minimum of noise and effort and with a maximm of output. Let no one, then, deride or decry the formation or the operation of a library machine; we live in an age of machinery-of machines formed by effective human co-operation, as well as by interlocking gears and interacting parts. Rudyard Kipling makes his Scotch engineer see in the relentless motion of his links and pistons something of that "foreknowledge infinite" in which his Calvinistic training had taught him to believe and trust. So may we see in library machinery an aid to the accomplishment of that "far-off divine
event" toward which our whole modern library creation has been and is still silently, but no less powerfully moving-the bringing into intellectual relationship of each living human brain within our reach with every other companionable or helpful human brain, thongh physically inaceessihle throngh death or absence. This is the comprehensive ideal of the librarian; no machinery that may work toward its attainment is superfhous or inept.

## THE EXPLOITATION OF THE PUBLIC LIBRARY*

Two and a half years ago ; or, to be more exact, on January 22, 1909, in an address at the dedication of the Chestnut Hill Branch of the Free library of Philadelphia, the present writer used the following words:
"I confess that I feel uneasy when I realize how little the influence of the public library is understood by those who might try to wield that influence, either for good or for evil... So far there has been no concerted, systematic effort on the part of classes or bodies of men to eapture the public library, to dictate its police, to utilize its great opportumities for influencing the public mind. When this ever comes, as it must, we must look out: . . .
"Organizations . . . civil, religious, scientific, political, artistic . . . have usually let us severelp alone, where their influence, if they should come into touch with the library, would surely be for good . . . would he exerted along the line of morality, of more carefns book selection, of judicial mindedness instead of onesidedness.
"Let us trust that influences along this line... if we are to have influences at all . . . may gain a foothold before the opposite forces . . . those of sordid commercialism, of absurdities, of falsities, of all kiuds of self-seeking . . . find out that we are worth their exploitation."

There have been indications of late that the public, both as individuals and in organized bodies, is

[^9]beginning to appreciate the influence, actual and potential, of the public library. With this dawning appreciation, as predicted in the lines just quoted, has come increased effort to turn this influence into the channels of personal or of business advantage, and it may be well to call the attention of librarians to this and to warn them against what they must doubtless expect to meet, in increasing measure, as the years go by. Attempts of this kind can hope for success only when they are concealed and come in innocent guise. It is extremely hard to classify them, and this fact in itself would indicate that libraries and librarians have to deal with that most ingenious and plausible of sophists. the modern advertiser.

But in the first place I would not have it understood that the use of the library for advertising purposes is necessarily illegitimate or reprehensible. If it is open and above board and the library receives proper compensation, the question resolves itself into one of good taste. The taste of such use may be beyond question, or it may be very questionable indeed. Few would defend the use of the librarys walls or windows for the display of commercial advertising; although the monev received therefor might be sorely needed. On the other hand, the issuing of a bulletin paid for wholly or in part by advertisements inserted therein is approved by all, though most librarians doubtless prefer to omit these if the expense can be met by other means. Under this head come also the reception and placing on the shelves of advertising circulars or catalogs containing valuable material of any kind. Here the library gets considerably more than its quid pro quo, and no librarian has any donbt of the propriety of such a proceeding.

Again, where the advertising takes the form of a benevolent sort of "log-rolling," the thing advertised
being educational and the quid pro quo simply the impulse given to library use by anything of this nature, it is gencrally regarded as proper. Thus most libraries display without hesitation advertisements of free courses of lectures and the like. When the thing advertised is not free, this procedure is more open to doubt. Personally I should draw the line here, and should allow the libray to advertise nothing that requires a fee or payment of any kind, no matter how trifling or nominal and no matter how good the cause.

These things are mentioned only to exelude them from consideration here. The library is really exploited only where it is used to further someone's personal or business ends without adequate return, generally with more or less concealment of purpose, so that the library is without due realization of what it is really doing. Attempts at such exploitation have by no means been lacking in the past. Take if you please this case, dating back about a dozen years: An enterprising firm, operating a department store, offered to give to a branch library a collection of several thonsand historical works on rondition that these should be kept in a separate alcove plainly labeled "The gift of Blank Brothers." Nothing so unusual about this. Such gifts, though the objections to the conditions are familiar to you all, are frequently offered and accepted. In this instance, however the name of the branch happened to be also the name of the enterprising firm. The inference would have been overpowering that the branch had been named after the firm. The offer was accepted on condition that the books should be shelved each in its proper place with a gift label, to be of special form if desired, and that the donation should be arknowledged on the bulletin board. These conditions were not accept-
able-a sufficient indication of the real object of the gift. Other cases might be cited, to say nothing of the usual efforts to induce the library to display commercial notices or to give official commendation to some book.

Several cases of the more ingenious attempts at exploitation having come to my notice during the past few months I set myself to find out whether anything of the kind had also been noted by others. Letters to some of the principal libraries in the country elicited a variety of replies. Some librarians had noted nothing; others nothing more than usual. One said frankly that if the people had heen "working" him he had been too stupid to know it. But others responded with interesting instances, and one or two, in whose judgment I have special confidence agreed with me in noticing an increase in the number of attempts at this kind of exploitation of late.

I may make my meaning more clear, perhaps, by proceeding at once to cite specific instances which must be anonymous, of course, in accordance with a promise to my informants.

A photographer offered to a public library a fine collection of portraits of deceased citizens of the town. This was accepted. The photographer then proceeded to send out circulars in a way that rendered it very probable that he was simply using the library's name to increase his business.

A commercial firm, which had issued a good book ou a subject connected with its business, offered to print for various libraries, at its own expense, a good list of works on this subject on condition that it should be allowed to advertise its own book on the last page. Submission of a proof revealed the fact that this advertisement was to be printed in precisely the same form and with the same kind of heading as
information about the library given on the preceding page. The reader's inference would have been that the matter on the last page was an official library note. Of the libraries approached, some accepted the offer without finding any fault with the feature just noted; others refused to have anything at all to do with the plan; still others accepted on condition that the last page should be so altered that the reader could see clearly that it contained advertising matter.

A lecturer gained permission to distribute through a library complimentary tickets to a free lecture on an educational subject. When these arrived, the librarian discovered that the amomement of the free lecture was on the same folder with advertisements of a pay course. The free tickets were given out, but the adrertisement was suppressed. Efforts of this kind are perhaps particularly noticeable in connection with the use of library assembly-rooms. There is no reason, of course, why libraries should not rent out these rooms in the same way as other public rooms, but it is usual to limit their use to educational purposes and qenerally to free public entertainments. Some efforts to circumvent rules of this kind are interesting.

Application was made to a library for the use of an assembly-room for a free lecture on stenography. On cross-examination the lecturer admitted that he was a teacher of stenography who desired to form a class, and that at the close of his lecture he intended to make announcement of his courses, prices, etc. He was told that this must be done ontside the library.

It is very common, where the exaction of an admission fee is forbidden, to take up a colleetion before or after the lecture. When told that this is inadmissible, the lecturer sometimes takes up his
collection on the sidewalk outside. There have been cases where employees of a library have embraced this opportunity to gather contributions. A colored. janitor of a branch library was recently admonished for standing outside his own assembly-room door and soliciting money for a pet charity. Another janitor made a pilgrimage to the central library to collect from the staff. A classic instance of this kind is that of the street gamin who for several hours stood at a branch library door and collected an admission fee of one cent from each user. The branch was newly opened and its neighbors were innsed to the ways of free libraries.

An example of the diffieulty of deciding, in matters of this kind, whether an undoubted advertising scheme may or may not legitimately be aided by the public library is found in the offer, with which all of you are familiar, of valuable money prizes for essays on economic subjects, by a firm of clothiers. The committee in charge of the awards is composed of eminent economists and publicists; the competitors are members of college faculties and adranced graduate students; the essays brought out are of permanent value and are generally published in book form. Under these circumstances many libraries have not hesitated to post the announcements of the committee on their bulletin boards. Others regard the whole thing as purely commercial advertisement and refuse to recognize it. One library at least posted the announcement of the competition for 1910, but refused to post the result. It would be hard to tell just how much altruism and how much selfishness we have here and the instance shows how subtle are the gradations from one motive to the other.

Advertising by securing condemmatory action of some sort, such as exclusion from the shelves, has
also not been uncommon. This requires the aid of the press to condemn, abuse or ridicule the library for its action, and so exploit the book. The press, I grieve to say, has fallen a rictim to this scheme more than once and has thereby given free use of advertising space ordinarily worth thousands of dollars. A flagrant instance of this kind occurred in one of our greatest cities about ten years ago. The work of a much-discussed playwright was about to be put upon the boards. A wily press agent, in conversation with an unsuspecting librarian, obtained an adverse opinion. The aiding and abetting newspaper, which was one of ostensible high character, proceeded at once to heap ridicule and contumely on the library and the librarian for their condemnation and exclusion of the play (which really wasn't excluded at all). The matter, having reached the dignity of news, was taken up by other papers and for a week or more the metropolitan press resounded with accusation, explanation, recrimination and comment. The gleeful playwright cabled objurgations from Loudon, and the press agent, retiring modestly into the background, saw advertising that would have cost him $\$ 100,000$, at the lowest estimate, poured into his willing lap by the yellow, but easy, press of his native burg. It is possibly unfair to cite this as an attempt to "work" the library-it was the public press that was ingeniously and successfully exploited through the library.

The fact that the mere presence of a public library is an advantage to the neighborhood in which it stands has led to mmerous attempts to locate libralry buildings, especially branches, in some particulat place. These are often accompanied by offers of building-lots, which, it is sad to say, have occasionally appealed to trustees not fully informed of the
sitnation. I recall seremal offers of lots in barren and unoccupied spots-one in an moleveloped region whose owner hoped to make it a residence park and another in the middle of a flourishing cornfield, whose owner considered it an ideal spot for a branch libra-ry-at least after he had sold off a sufficient number of building lots on the strength of his generous gift. These particular offers were declined with thanks, but in some instances members of boards of trustees themselves, heing only human, have not been entirely free from suspicion of personal or business interest in sites. Here it is difficult to draw the line between the legitimate efforts of a particular locality to capture a hranch site and those that have their origin in commercial cupidity. Both of course have nothing to do with the larger considerations that should gorerm in such location, hat both are not exploitation as we are now using the word.

A romious iustance of the adrertising value of the mere presence of a public library and of business shrewdness in taking adrantage of it, comes from a library that calls itself a "shining example of efforts to 'work' public libraries for commercial purposes." This library rents rooms for varions ohjects connected with its work, and finds that it is in great demand as a tenant. Great effort is made hy property owners both to retain and to move quarters occupied for library purposes. The board has recently refused to make selection of localities on this basis.

There is another respect in which the public library offers an attractive field for exploitation. In its registration files it has a valuable selected list of names and addresses which mar be of service in varions ways either as a mailing-list or as a directory. Probably there are no two opinions regarding the impropricty of allowing the list to be used for com-
mereial purposes alomg either line. The mse as a directory may octasionally be legitimate and is allowable after investigation and report to some one in athority. I hase known of reeomse to libary registration lists ley the police, to find a fugitive from justice; hy private detectives, ostemall! on the same (rratud: ly a wife, looking for hev ranawiy hasband: lay persons searchang for lost relatiose; and ley ereditors on the trail of dehtors in hiding. Where there is any doubt, the matter call manally be adjusted hy offering to forward aletter to the person somght, or to commonicate to that person the seekeres desire amd let him respond if he wishes to do so. One thing is rertain: except in obedience to ann weder of comrt, it is not only minust, but entirely inexpedient from the librarys standpoint to betray to anyome a msers Whereabouts against that hiser"s wishes or even where there is a mere possibility of his objection. If it were elearly moderstood that surh ronseguences might follow the holding of a library card, we should doubtless lose many readers that we eepeecially desire to attract and hold.
 tution whose reputation has expersed it to the assamlts of advertisers. The Christian ministry has for years been expesed to this sort of things, and it is the loelief of leverend William A. Lee, who writes on the subject in "The standard," a Baptist papere publishere in Chicano, that in this case alsu increased antivity is to loe moted of late. Persons desire to present the minister with a picture on condition that he mentions the artist to his friemds: to give him a set of hooks or a bilding-lot that his mame mat be used to lure other purchasers ; they eren ask him for matimg-lists of his parishiomers names. "I am comstantly beiner hesidged." sals Mr. Lee "hy agente of divers sorts.
and of divers degrees of persistency, for indorsements of patent mops, of 'wholesome plays,' of current periodicals, of so-called religions books, of 'helps' almost inmmerable for church-workers and of scores of other things which time has charitably carried out of memory."

It is refreshing to find that the kind of library exploitation most to be feared seems not yet to have been attempted on any considerable scale or in any objectionable direction. I refer to interference with our stock and its distribution-an effort to divert either purchases or circulation into a particular channel. My attention has been called to the efforts of religious bodies to place their theological or controversial works on the shelves of public libraries. When the books are offered as domations, as is usually the case, this is hardly exploitation in the sense in which we are considering it, unless the library is so small that other more desirable hooks are excluded. A large library welcomes accessious of this kind, just as it does trade catalogs or railroad literature. Attempts to push circulation are occasionally made, but usually without success.

But up to the present time it is the glory of the public library that it knows neither North nor South, Catholic nor Protestant, Democrat, Republiaan nor Socialist. It shelves and circulates books on both sides of every possible scientific, economic, religions and sectional controversy, and no one has raised a hand to make it do otherwise. We should be prond of this and very jealous of it. As we have seen, there is some reason to think that newly awakened interest in the public library as a public utility has led to increased effort to gain its aid for purely personal and commercial ends. Naturally these interests have moved first. It is comparatively easy to steer clear
of them and to defeat them. But attempts to interfere with the strict neutrality of the public library and to tmrn it into partisanship in any direction, if they ever come, slould at the earliest betrayal of their purpose be sternly repressed and at the sane time be given wide pmblicity, that we may all be on onr guard. We mas legitimately and properly adopt a once famous and much ridiculed slogan as our own, in this regard, and write over the doors of our pubfic libraries "All that we ask is, let us alons!"

## SERVICE SYSTEMS IN LHBR.XRIES

1 should be maderstood better, perlaps, if I said "Civil service in the library"; but the eivil service is so called merely in distindion to the military service, and there can be no military service in the library, although the miform of certatn janitors and messengers may appear, at first sight, to give me the lie. Every library, of comse, must hare some plan of service, more or less systematic. This may or may not be subject to the regulations of the state or city civil service. I have no desire to dwell here on the question of the desirability of such comection; but I cannot refrain from saying, at the risk of losing all of my civil service-reform friends, that I regard the present methods of bringing abont appointment for merit only as makeshifts, well designed to defeat the efforts of politicians and others who wish to see appointments made for other reasoms, but necessary only so loug as those efforts are likely to continne. I shall doubtless be told that they are likely to contimue indefinitely, and therefore that I have givell a way my whole case. To show that this is not so, we have only to point to a large mumber of libraries in connection with which there is no such effort, and in which safeguards against it are absohtely monecessary. I do not know why politics has not invaded these institutions, but I know that it has not. During the past sixteen years I have heen connected with four large libraries, and I am in a position to say not only that no political appointment was made in them during my comection, but that no such ap-
pointment. Wias ever attempted or sugrested. There is absohutely mo reason why the protection of "civilservice" regulation should be thrown over these libraries, and every reason why they should be free from the harassing and embarrassing petty amoyances and restrictions that are inseparable from such regulation.

Much as I homor the adrocates of civil-service reform, and appland what they have accomplished in the nay of furthering a real merit system, I submit that a further step in adrance may be taken when we have heads of municipal departments as mulikely to make political appointments as the arerage librarian is, and as free from pressure to make such appointments as are the librarians of a large number of our best institntions. I regard that as the best system, therefore, in which an appointing officer or hody, sincerely desirous of making appointments for merit only, is perfectly free to make such appointments in any way that seems proper; and as only the second-best system that in which the appointing power, unwilling to make appointments for merit, is forced to do so, as far as may be, by the smpervision and control of a body ereated for the purpose. So long as we have mowilling municipal officers, we must endure this scoond-hest plan, of course; but librarians are rarely of this kind, though they may be unfortunately in the power of those who are. It has been my good fortume to formulate a scheme of service for each of the four libraries to which I have referred, and these schemes, with necessary modifications, are still in satisfactory use. The first, for the New Vork Free Circulating Library, was made in 1896; the last, for the St. Louis Public Library, in 1910. Some were hampered by the necessity of arlapting them to municipal regulation, while others
were quite free; and other local conditions imposed differences upon them, but they depended, in the main, on the same principtes and were carried out in much the same way.

I have numerons requests for information on this subject and for adrice upon methods of grading library staffs, with regulation of promotions, increases of salary, etc. Possibly the best way to answer these may be to give a brief acoment of the way in which the work was done in these fomr cases.

It has been assumed by some that, as every good librarian desires to have these matters systematically regulated, regulation by a city civil service commission will be as good as any, and that a man who wishes to have a system of his own and keep it under his own control is unreasonalle and foolish. A nonprofessional body, however, camot, esen with professional expert advice, satisfactorily regulate the employment of professionals for professional work. This point has been so often insisted upon and rlaborated that those, who do not now appreciate its validity will never do so. Every good librarian will wish to create machinery to put the right man in the right place in his force, and to drop lim out if he goes wrong; but it must be his own machinery, not that of someone else, and must be designed to aid him, not to hamper him.

My attention was drawn to the necessity of at more systematic plan of service in the New Fork Free Circulating Library on assmming charge in 1895. The library had been hampered by insutficiency of funds and had been obliged to supplement assistants of ability and experience with others who had been emplored simply because they conld be ohtained at low salaries. Promotion, where it was distinctly in-
dicated, was for morit, aseertaned simply by the lihrarians opinion; and salary increases were made very largely for length of service. In effort was made at the outset to regulate admission to the force and adrancement within it. The features of examination and of grades distinguished by letters were borrowed from the Lhoston Poblic Library. A department head, who, had been giving private instruction, had by the board's jermission phaced some of her pupils in the library for practice work This secmed an excellent opportmity to train future assistants; so the private class was turned into a library traming class and the pupils into apprentices, their teacher leing retained as suchs and properly compensated. The library force was divided into three grades, $A, 13$ and (, to which a fourth, D was afterwards added. The first two were indicated by the fact that the libram consisted of six coördinate branches, each with its librarian-in-charge and her first assistant. All the formere were graded as $A$ and the latter as $B$. Class A thus necessarily hecame limited in momber, depending on the momber of branches, and B would have been similarly limited if it had not been made to include also all the high-grade assistants-all calpable of assigument at any time to the work of a deputy lihrarian of a hrameh. Class $C$ was then a remander class, inchating all other members of the library stalf. It som appeared, however, that the line of demarkation between those members of 'lass IS who were first assistant librarians and those who were not was muchs more distinct than that hetween
 tants: the remnant was called C , and the old $O$ berame D. The old feeling that seniority should be considered was defered to by arranging for anteratio increases of walary within the grades at speri-
fied intervals. Janitors and messemgers remained quite outside this arrangement.

It was provided that no whe should be promoted from grade to grade without the passage of an examination; lont that passabe simply placed the sucressful candidate on a list of eligibles. and promotion from this list was made he comsidering persomal titness, character of work and immodiatr comblions. Qualifications for the different grades different, hat in guantity and advancement, rather thatn in quality, all coming moder the heals of literature lamonge, general information and library economy.

This plan was formulated in comsultation with the library committer, and was adojeted as part of the rules of the library by the board. The committee differed somewhat on the seniority increases within grades, which were finally retained, and considered it of great importance to emphasize work and personal fitness. Methods of inclmbling marks for these in the final standing of the camdidate were comsidered, but the diffieulty of donge so led to the adontion of the plan as stated.

It was derided to give exerry member of the statf the right to demand an examination for promotion on the expiration of three rears service in one grade, and to admit others by sperial order. Adsancement proved to be necessarily so rapid, howerer, that no one who had any chance of passing the examination ever remamed three years in a gralle, and this chanse proved practically inoperative.
of course, many passed and were plated on the eligible list for promotion who lad no chane of atlvancement for reasons comnected with work or persomality. This callsed dissatisfaction which it was sought to mitigate by recognizing presence on the eligihle list by increase of salary to the grade limit,
provided this had not been already attained. Even so, however, it continued to exist.

The alternative was considered of examining only those selected for promotion and of making promotion conditional on the passage of such examination, but was rejected, although a perfectly possible and logical plan. Lut objectionable in many ways as all examinations are, they foster a feeling that everyone is having a chance, and previous selection, no matter how good, is open to the same objection as the selection alone would he, without any test at all.

It would also have been possible to make the examination competitive, placing the names on the list in the order of passage and promoting in that order, or grading the names in order of seniority, as in most city systems. But both these plans are open to obvious objections, and I still think it best to form an eligible list whose names shall not be considered in any order at all, the appointing officer being quite free to make his choice among them.

The application of this system of grading to the staff, as it existed, involved discrimination at only one point-that separating Classes B and C, or as renamed later, C and D. The line was drawn partly on the basis of the salary list as it stood, and partly by duties, and there was little dissatisfaction.

I have said that this system was formally adopted by the hoard. This is not necessary, nor is it the best plan. A system of this kind is best regarded simply as an aid to the librarian in making recommendations for appointment or promotion. In making such recommendation, the librarian must, of course, satisfy himself that his candidates are fit, and it is proper that he should adopt any system that commends itself to him for ascertaining that they are so. The hoard is, of course, the final authority.

It could override any system that it might adopt, just as easily as it could go over the head of the librarian's recommendation; and it is better for its own dignity that a departure from the system should take the latter form, rather than the former.

I regard it as quite sufficient, therefore, when a librarian grades his staff, that he should simply report to his board that he is abont to make certan dispositions and require certalin tests to aid him in making proper recommendations for appointment and promotion, and that his recommendations in future will be guided by these arrangements. The anthority of the board and its ability to reject his recommendations have not heen tonched, and its disposition to trust him and aceept his advice will be surely increased as it sees that he is adopting plans to improve that advice and give it force.

This grading of the New York Free Circulating staff has been dwelt on at length, although very simple, becallse it formed the basis of the other gradings, now to be described.

The application of a similar system to the staff of the Brooklyn Public Libuary took place carly in 1899, at a time when, owing to a crisis in the affairs of the library, it had temporarily ceased to do work. It had only four library assistants, and yet the probabilities were strongly in faror of an inmediate and rapid expansion, such as actually did take place not long after. Expediency, therefore, pointed to the organization of the staff on the supposition that it would soon be of considerable size.

The grading was precisely similar to that just described, except that Classes $C^{-}$and 1$)$ were combined and called Class $C$, and the letter D was used to designate members of the traning class. The principal interest in the scheme as then adopted lies in
its relations with the city civil service. The New York Free Circulating Library was a private institntion, charitable in its origin, but broadening rapidly out into real public work. It had no relations with the city, except to apply ammally for its subsidy and receipt for the monthly instalments thereof as paid over: There could be no question therefore of city civil service jurisdictiom. The case in Brooklyn was different. The members of the Board were appointed by the Mayor, and the library was recequized as a (ity institntion, althongh exactly what this meant had not ret been definitely determined. The scheme of service was adopted at first on the supposition that the board was to be as free in the matter as thongh it had heen an entirely independent hody. The question might never have arisen, but was precipitated by the city anditor's holding up the payroll on the ground that it had not heen rertified hy the muncipal Civil Service Commission. The question went at once to the Corporation comsel for an opinion, and after he had derided that the city civil service regulations covered the library force, there was a further dispute with the state ('ivil Servire Commission, exacerbated by a difference in political complexion between the two bodies. This held up the payroll for some time, and did not tend to reconcile any member of the staff to its new status. Matter's having been settled, the commission promptly rertified the payroll as it stood, in order to terminate the embarrassing situation, and then ensued a series of conferences with the librarian on permanent grading. It was decided that the lihrarian and assistant librarian fell within the exempt chass, and that other members of the staff could be divided into senior and junior assistants, the latter including only members of the training class until properiy appointed to permanent positions. What-
ever grading the library might choose to make within the senior assistant class (A, B and (') was therefore its own affair, the commission taking cognizance of it only so far as it involved increase of salary. The point of conflict came at entrance to Class C, or on appointment to permanent position in the library. The commission at first insisted that it should make its own eligible list, graded in ateordance with its own examinations, althongh it agreed to admit no others except members of the training class to such examinations. It least one examination of the kind was held, the questions evidently being written ly some ontside librarian on general principles, and with little reference to our needs and conditions. Ultimately, however, the commission agreed to let us hold the examinations and to accept our rating, althongh, when the eligilnle list had once been formed, we were bound by it rigidly. In regard to persons ontside our graded force, such as janitors and messengers, we were held strictly to civil service rules, selecting our men from the first three on the list submitted to us by the commission. An masatisfactory person could be summarily rejected after trial for a specified period, and as many such were on the list, there was rapid rotation in office in this part of the force. In the graded staff, also, although it might seem that the commission had alnost abdicated its powers in our favor, we felt the restriction that bomed us to select from the top of the list. Bern thongh we hat originally made the ratings, it often happened that for the particular vacancy in question the sixtla name might be that of the best-gualified persom, and we had the disagreable altemative of taking one who was not our first choice, or of :ppobinting on trial and rejecting mitil the proper name hat been reached-a process much in vogue in dity depart-
ments, but tiresome to the appointing anthority and ignominious to those who were thus rejected and who might be better qualified than the person desired for another kind of position.

In 1901 the New York Free Cireulating Library became the Circulation Department of the New York Public Library, mider circumstances that gave it a separate governing body, responsible to the trustees of the Public Library, and a separate staff, whose organization was not necessarily the same as that of the reference staff. The amexed staff, of course, bronght its own organization with it, and this, with some modifications, became that of the present Circulation Department. The principal changes were the limitation of Class $C$ to three times the number of branch libraries and the almost total abolition of salary increases for length of service within grades. The former prevented unlimited promotion from D to C , and made necessary a selection from the waiting list to fill actual vacancies, and the latter, while not doing away with a difference of salaries in the same grade, made it possible to give the increases as a reward for good work. The designation of the grades by letters was objected to by some members of the board, on the ground that it meant nothing, so that alternative names were adopted for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E, the two upper grades having already the names of librarian-in-charge and first assistant. Members of C were named second assistant librarians; D, assistants, and E, attendants.

When the Free Circulating Library grading was made, there were neither children's rooms nor children's librarians in New York, and very few anywhere. The former arose first and were served by persons assigned for the purpose, usually from Grade C. The organization, later, of a separate children's
department, with jurisdiction over all children's rooms, made it necessary to place children's librarians in a separate class; but that they might not feel "out of the rumning" for branch librarianships, they were allowed to take examinations and advance from one regular grade to another, in addition, if they so desired. Cataloger's were still graded regularly, however, although these might have been easily treated in a similar way. The special nature of their work, however, was recognized by a variation in the examination. The test for the children's grade was not an examination, but a series of periods of practical work in selected branch libraries, with observation and report and a final thesis. Candidates were specially selected by the supervisor of children's work, and so jealously has entrance into this grade been guarded that eren now not more than half of the forty or more assistants in charge of New York's children's rooms are members of it.

In later years a thesis also has formed part of the examination for Class A. This is written on an assigned subject, and the snceessful ones are sometimes, although not always, printed.

One of the difticulties comected with the grading in the Circulation bepartment of the New York Public library was the assigmment to proper grades of the staffs of the different institutions that consolidated with that library from time to time. There were altogether about half a dozen of these, with staffs varying in momber perhaps from five to forty or fifty persons. It was decided to leave the assignment entirely to the anthorities of these libraries, who pratetically graded their staffs on a plan corresponding with ours before comsolidation, so that there wals no change of grade afterward. The responsibility was thas thrown umon bodies of men with whese aluthority
the new stattis wrere familiar and which they wonld be inclined to alcerpt. The assignments were made with varying degrees of care and validity, hut were, on the whole, just, amd there was little complaint with them. T'oo low an assigument was eorrected by the next examinalfons for promotion, and a person graded too hioh never at all eronts, rose any higher. The smoothness with which these ronsolidations took plare, even sometimes :1silnst the will and with the dismal forehoding of the dispossessed anthorities, and the rapidity with which the entire staff became homogeneous, both in feeling and in quality of work, are sulficient justification of this particular policy, which was typical of that of the lihrary in regard to other features of these consolitations.

In the rear 1910 it was decinded to grade the staff of the St. Lonis Pulblic Library. The priucipal differences between the problem here and that in the cases that have been described depended on the fact that this was an old library, with a comparatively large staff, having traditions of its own and justly proud of its achievements and of its library reputation. There liad even been a feeling, at some time in the past, on the part of some members of the board, that a graded staff was not a good thing, as it would hamper freedom of control. The staff, however, had reached such a size that some kind of classification appeared inevitable, and the proper method of handling it seemed to be that indicated above as preferable, namely, as purely an administrative matter under the librarian's control, to aid him in making recommendations for appointment, promotion and increase of salary. This was explained to the board, and there being no objection, a notice was at once inserted in Staff Notes, the medium of communication between the librarian and the staff, that the force
would be shortly divided into grades, "the object being to represent definitely the exact position occupied by each one, and to fix the maximum salary belonging to each grade." There was some additional preliminary explanation and a regnest for suggestions and opinions. After a lapse of ahont six months, during which the plan became familiar to all hy discus. sion, both informal and in the weekly meetings of the heads of departmonts, the grading was amounced by the publication in s゙taff Votes of the principles on which it had been made, with explanations in considerable detail. The mames of those assigned to the different grades were not given, but each member of the staff was notified serparately of his own grading, muless this was obvious from the published explanation, as in case of bramel linarians. It was announced that the grading was not an act of the Board, but "simply a schetnle expressing the formal manner in which ... recommendations will hereafter be made to the board."

This scheme was more thoronghgong than any of those previously noted, in that it provided a place and designation for erergone in the librarys employ. The force was divided into three sections-regular grades, special grades and ungraded occupations. The former were classified pactieally as in New York; the special grades were made to include catalogers and children's librarians, with any special positions of enough importance to be placed there; the "ungraded occupations" were those of janitors and their assistants, messengers, elevator men, binders and other miscellaneous emplovees. In the regular grades A and B were limited, and while C and D were not formally so, it was amounced that they would not he indefinitely increased. It was provided that those in special grades might qualify also for
regnlar grades and might also be transferred thereto if desired.

In assignment of members of the staff to grades, existing conditions were recognized as far as possible, with no immediate attempt to remedy faults that might exist therein. Statement was made that all persons who might consider themselves wrongly graded would have early opportunity to show their fitness for the grade abore, either in the regular way or in some other, if it could be devised. It was stated that the qualifications that would gain the librarian's recommendation for promotion from grade to grade (which, it will be remembered, consists merely in an increase of salary, so far as the board takes cognizance thereof) would in general be of three kindseducational, to be ascertained by certificate or diploma, or failing these, by examination; special, to be ascertained in some cases by examination, in others by mail, in others by certified experience; and personal, to be ascertained by personal knowledge.

In connection with the scheme, the traning class was much extended in scope and its course hroadened and made to cover an educational year.

Here, as in New York, the scheme is entirely distinct from the municipal civil service, but for a different reason. In New York the library is a private institution, occupying city property and doing public work by provision of a contract which does not provide for extension of the city civil-service rules over the library force; in St. Louis, the merit system has not been introduced at all among city employees. Should it be introduced in the future, and should it he decided that the members of the library staff are strictly employees of the city. we might have here the Inooklyn experience over again, as detailed above. For purely selfish reasons, therefore, the St. Louis

Public Library should be well satisfied with the status quo.

In concluding, it may he well to call attention again to the fact that such schemes as these are designed to aid an appointing body or officer, not to control him. They would be of little vallue to a municipality desiring to limit a political mayors power for evil, or to a mayor wishing to keep his bard of library trustees within homads, or to a hoard amxions to curb its librarians propensity to appeint personal favorites. Such a phan presupposes that appointment and promotion for the good of the service are desired, and it serves to bring this about so far as it may. A board, or a libarian, could depart from it or riolate its provisions in a dozen ways. What, then, is the nse of it". In a small staff, it has no uses. It would be as silly to grade such a staff and make rules for its promotion as it would be for a housekeeper with a cook and one maid to call the former Class $A$ and the latter Class $B$, and draw up rules for their appointment and promotion. But as soon as the size of the staff exceeds that at which the officer in charge can know each member and her work with intimate persomal knowledge, then something of the kind becomes imperative. The members of such a staff are better satisfied that they are being treated with miform justice, and that merit is properly recognized, if it is done in some systematic way like this, and the ofticer on whose reeommendation appointments and promotions are made runs much less risk of making mistakes. Every librarian should, I beliere, examine limself to make sure that his present scheme of service, whatever it may be, is sufficient for these purposes and adapted to serure their attainment smoothly and satisfactorily.

## EFFICIENCY RECORINS IN LIPRARIES

In the foregong article the present writer gate the result of his experience in formulating and establishing systems of selvice in four large libraries, and, incidentally. stated his conclusion that such serstems should always remain in the control of the lihrary anthorities.

Whate the plans therefin deseribed work satisface torily from an inside stamdpoint, thee are defertive in one particular-that of complete record. This is most important in case of investigation lompetent anthority. While direct control of a library service system ly an ontside hody, such as a municipal or other civil service board, is olperetionathe, there can certainly be no objection to the reminement, bymicipal charter or state law, that the libary service be organized and operated on the merit system, which requirement presmpmses occasional inguiry to ascertain whether, and in what dearee and form, this is the mase. Now, in the erent of such investigation, it will msially be easy to produce the records of examinations, with marked papers, tabulated marks, and the aretion hased thereom. Whem it comes to persomality and afticiency, such records are not pasy to get. Exen where libraries assigu marks in these sulhjects and combine them with the results of the written tests to olitain a final mank on which promotion is based, there is mothing to show how the marks were ohtained, and the investigating anthority might not umatmally comblude that here was an opportumity to mullify the merit system. Evidently all data

On which appointment or promotion is based should be matters of record, otherwise a perfectly well-ordered merit system cannot be demonstrated to be such to one who has a right to know; and, of course, in the last analysis, every eitizen has this right in the case of a public institution.

What appeared to be needed was some regular report on the efficiency of every employee, which should be taken into arcomit in assigning marks or in some other way, in making promotions, made in such permanent form that it cemld be filed as a record. Such reports are, of course, constantly made orally and acted upon, without any record being preserved. They are accasionally made in recordable form, perhaps most often in the case of apprentices or members of training flasses. In some cases derelictions or unfarorable reports alone have been recorded, but a complete report on personality and work made regularly and filed permanently is a thing that has not come under my observation, althongh, of course, it may exist.

Having decided to adopt some such form of report in the St. Louis Public Library, the librarian laid the matter before the weekly conference of department heads and branch librarians. LIad the question been the advisability of the adoption of such a form, the sentiment of the meeting would probably have been against it, but the amomement was simply that the librarian had decided to require regularly thereafter, in shape suitable for filing, information regarding the efficiency of assistants that had hitherto been received irregularly and by word of mouth. A staff committee was appointed to draft a form of report, and the reports of progress of this committee, with the incidental discussions and conferences, occupied nearly a year, during which time
everyone on the staff became thoroughly familiar with the plan and either agreed with the lihrarian regarding its advisability or had some reasonable and well-considered eromed of opposition.

The librarian had in mind a short form, containing a few important data. The committee brought in a long one-somewhat longer than that finally adopede which is given below. Their reason, as stated, was that it is rasior to answer a large number of ruestions that reguire hardly more than the words "res" athd "no" in reply than a few, cach of which calls for the writing of an essig, howerer hrief. This reason appealed to all and finally prevailed. It means practically the presentation of the information reguired, readr-mate, and its adoption or rejection hy the person making the report. Discossion in the meeting was chiefly on the more personal items of information, such as those about neathess of dress, ete.; also about others whose propricty or clearness watanestioned, such as that regarding loyalty to the library. Some of these were finally stricken out, but most were retained. It was also noted that in many cases the information asked for could not ordinarily be obtained. A department head, for instance, mas be intimate enomgh with one of her assistants to know whether she has a real appreciation for literature, but in most instances this would not be the case. Many such questions were retained on the ground that answers, if possible, would be of value, and, if not, could simply be omitted.

After the forms had thus been put into shape they were duplicated and a copy was griven to each department head, with instructions to show it to all her assistants, discoss it with them and report at the next meeting. The reports showed that the rereption of the form had depended chiefly on the de-
partment head, either through manner of presentation or through personal influence. In some departments the plan seemed to be viewed with equanimity, while in others there was a considerable amount of suspicion, distrust and dislike of the whole scheme. It was next amomeed that anyone on the staff desiring to discuss the matter with librarian would be given an opportunity to do so at a specified meeting. This was well attended, and it appeared that much of the feeling was due to misunderstanding. It was explained that no new method of making promotions was contemplated, and that personality and effiency would be taken into account neither more nor less than before, hat that the reports from which the librarian derived his information on these points would be required in writing, thus safegmarding both the appointing officer and the appointees. There seemed to be a strong feeling on the part of some that personal feeling might actuate some department head to make a false report, and that while, of course, such report might be made eren more effectively if rendered orally, it would be a pity to have it permanently on record. There was $n o$ answer to this except that the likelihoorl of such a misleading report would probably become known to the librarian, who could reject or modify it.

In due course of time, a sufficient number of blanks were distributed, filled and handed in. They were then discussed again at a merting, and questions that had come up in the practical rendition of the reports were brought up and settled. A filled report regarding the work of every classified assistant in this library is, now on file in the librarian's office.

The conditions under which these reports are made and held are as follows:

Every question must be answered or the reason for not doing so must be stated.

The reports are to be made out regnlarly on the first of each year, or oftener at the librarian’s request. Each is ancessible only to the librarian, to the reporting officer and to the assistant reported on, except when at transfer is to be made, when the head of the department to which the assistant is to be transferred may also consult the record.

Since the reports were made ont only about half a dozall assistants have requested to be shown their records. some others were allowed to see them before they were handed in. Such excitement as there was regarding the matter has now aboted, and the matter has heen relegated to its proper plane in the scheme of library things. This is dure probably, very largely to the plan of conducting the whole matter on a free and opren basis, in consultation with the staff at every point, and also to the length of time that was allowed to elapse between steps. Publicity and deliberation are the two necessary things in a procedmre of this kind, and hoth are commended to lilurarians wishing to adopt this kind of record.

There is no doult in my mind that some efficiency record is necessary and valuable, and that a full record, including the msual high perrentage of good things with the possible proportion of bad ones, is preferable to a mere blarklist, on which only the bad is recorded.

The bank, as finally adopted, is reproduced herewith.

ST. LOUIS PUBLIC LIBRARY
Record of Efficiency

```
Namc
    (Inverted, in full)
    Branch or Department.
        Length of service in dept. or branch.
        Present grace of assistant.
        Entered the library.
```

A. Personal qualities.

1. Physically strong enough for the work?

How much time lost while in department and why?
2. Knowledge of books.

Improving in this?
3. All around information?
4. Appreciation for real literature.
5. Resourceful? Svstematic?
6. Self-possessed in a rush or emergency?
7. Exccutive ability? Decision?
8. Accurate? Quick? Adaptable?
9. Industrious? Carelcss?
10. Obliging to fellow-workers?
II. Punctual? Times tardy? Excusable?
12. Forgetful? Inclined to gossip?
13. Neat and appropriate in dress?
B. Relations with the public.
I. Uniformly courteous? Dignifieá?
2. Inclined to entertain personal visitors?
3. Effective in work with adults?
4. Effective in work with children?
C. Grade as excellent, good, fair, or poor.

1. Library hand.
2. Printing.
3. Typewriting.
4. Shorthand.
D. Did the assistant improve while with you?

In what way?
In what did she fall short?
E. If the assistant had weak points, did you call her attention to them?
F. What did you especially like about the assistant?
G. Do you consider the assistant fitted or unfitted by personality, education and practical efficiency to work in any one of the following departments? Grade her work as excellent, good, fair or poor, stating also length of service at each kind of work.

1. An all-around branch assistant in this library?
2. A childaren's librarian?
3. A reference department assistant?
4. A catalog department assistant?
5. A desk assistant?
6. A clerical assistant?
7. An assistant in other lines? (specify)

If you do not consider the assistant so fitted, give particular reasons.
H . Is the assistant loyal to the library?
I. Has the assistant enthusiasm in her work?
J. Would you be satisfied to have the assistant in your (Branch) (Dept.), not considering the fact that you might prefer some one else?
L. Remarks.

Date

Signature
Title

## MAL-EMI'LOYMENT IN TIIE LIBRARY*

Students of the labor problem have given a vast amount of attention to the memployed, but comparatively little to the mal-employed. It troubles them -and very properly-that there should be large numbers of persons who are doing no work, who are contributing nothing toward the operation of the worlds machinery; they do not seem to be so greatly bothered that there are persons hard at work to no purpose or with evil result-whose efforts either do not help the world along or actually impede it or hold it back. Serious as is the calse of those who are not employed at all, it is as nothing compared with those who are employed badly.

One reason for this neglect-which is at the same time a reason why it shonld no longer exist-is that the burden of unemployment hears most conspicuously on the individual, while that of mal-employment is predominantly civic. It is true that unemployment works civic injury, and that mal-employment, especially if it be criminal, is recognized at once as a possible harm to the individual. But what I mean is that the unemployed person, unless he is one of the idle rich, is greatly concemed about his lack of employment, which touches his porket directly. He does all that he can to get back into the ranks of the employed, but once there it does not occur to him to ask whether what he is doing benefits society, or is of no value to it. or actually harms it. Even if he does so inquire he is not likely to give up a job that pays him well simply hecause what he

[^10]is doing is injurious to the World's progress. The injury done is social amd rivic and we must look to increased sorial and civir monsciousness for its abatement.

I wre this word mal-employment, in its contrastad use with uncomployment, to William Kent, a member of Congress from the city of Chicago. In a recent interviow, Mr. Kent gives it an his opinion that the sin of the day is waste-the expenditure of effort for nanght or for Dositive ill. Of course, when we get down to details there is difficulty or even impossibility in deciding whether or not a given man is mal-employed-we may leave ont of consideration here all persons engaged in criminal occupations. For instance, Mr. Kent considers that the small army of men engaged in the manufacture of champagne are all mal-employed. Whether we agree with him or not depends somewhat on our predispositions and our points of view. Many parents, in earlier days, thonght that when children were at play they were mal-employed; most persons now regard this form of employment as necessary and beneficial, although Dr. Boris Sidis thinks that the same interest now employed in aimless play may be used to carry the child onward in the path of individual progress and development. How about the vast number of persous occupied in amusing or trying to amuse the publicemployes of theatres, recreation parks, and so on? Many are well employed; some are doubtless malemployed. Among persons that we should all agree are mal-employed are all those writing books or plays that are morally harmful, as well as those concerned in publishing such books or producing such plays, and, for the moment, all who are reading or witnessiing them; persons engaged in manufacturing or distributing useless or harmful products; all who do
work of any kind so badly that inconvenience or harm results; unnecessary middlemen whose intervention in the process of distribution only impedes it and adds to its expense. Anyone may add to the list by taking thought a little. If all these mal-employed persons should suddenly lose their positions the result wonld be beneficial to society, even if society had to support them in idleness; if they should all turn their attention from mal-employment to beneficial uses, how incalculably great a blessing they would hestow upon mankind! It is every man's bnsiness, it seems to me, to inguire whether he is well employed or mal-employed, and if the ocenpation in which he is engaged is generally beneficial to society, then whether all those under his orders are well employed in carrying out its purpose.

Let us, as librarians, take mp this civie task for a few moments. And first, let us not hastily condude that we are neressarily well employed simply becanse we are librarians. A library may do harm; I have personally known of harm done ly libraries. A group can be mo better than its constituents; a collection of harmful books is assmodly itself harmful. More, a chain is no stronger than its weakest link; a fleet is no faster than its slowest ship; and we may almost say that a library is no better than its worst book. And we most not forget that a book may be bad in three ways: it may give incorrect information, teach what is morally wrong, or use langmage that is mititting. It may be meressary that a library should contain any or all of these, but, if they give it its atmosphere and control its influence as an educational instithtion, even moittingly, it is anti-social and those who administer it are mal-employed. I have in mind a psendo-scientific book for children that abounds in misstatements combined with beantiful ilhustrations:
a hook of trayel full of ludicrous misinformation; a work intended to teach Italians English, whose English is screamingly funny. The library assistant who hands one of these to a reader is mal-employed. I ("an make a list (and so can you) of books that teach, directly or by implication, that what is universally acknowlodged to le wrong is right-at least under rertain circumstances; that theft is smart and that swindling is molyjectionable. The library insistant who circulates these is mal-employed. All of us can easily also place our hands on books whose only fault is that their language is objectionable-incorrect, silly or vulgar. They may be otherwise unobjectionable, yet I venture to say that the distribution of these books is also mal-employment. How ahout the librarian who administers such a library, and the staff who assist him? They are all mal-employed. No matter how well and how conscientionsly the catalogner may perform her task, no matter how clean the janitor may keep the front steps, they are only aiding to keep up an institution that disseminates fakshood, teaches unrighteousness, encourages vulgarity; and they are all mal-employed. This is what I mean when I say that a library may be no better than its worst book. If its output is bad, all exertion to accomplish that ontput is also bad. And as for the output itself, it may be that the good done by a thousand good books may not ontweigh the ill done by a few bad ones.

A person is always mal-employed when he is learing a more important thing undone, to do a less important one. The degree of mal-employment in this case is measured, of course, by the difference in value hetween the two things. Mr. E. L. Pearson, in one of his library articles in the Boston Transcript, calls attention to what he names "side-shows" in libraries, and asserte that the chief masiness of a library, the
proper care and distribution of books, is often neglected that other things may be attended to, and that money needed for books is often diverted to these other uses. This is undoubtedly true in many cases, and in so far as it is true some librarians and library assistants are mat-rmployed. The scope of libary work has brodened out enormously of late and lihraries are doing all sorts of things that are sulbsidiary to their main work-things that will make that work easier and more effective. This is as it should be, provided that these mumerous tails do not wag the dog. To take an extreme instance we will assume that a small library is in great need of books and that a small gift of money, instead of being expended for these is put into material for picture bulletins. We should have no difficulty in concluding that the person who makes the bulletins is mal-employed; and in so doing we should not be condemining picture bulletins at all or saying that money spent for them is wasted. Take again a case specially noted by Mr. Pearson, which is bothering the heads of some of our library trustees at this moment-the acceptance and preservation of full sets of the printed catalogne cards of the Library of Congress. There can be no doubt of the value of such depository sets to certain libraries, and as they are given free of charge the only expense connected with them is the cost of an assistant's time in filing them, amounting perhaps to :n hour or two a day, and that of cabinets in which to keep them. Whether this cost is far outweighed hy the usefuness of the collection to the lihrary and its patrons, or whether that usefulness is practically nil, making the outlay wasteful, no matter how small it may be, must be amswered by each library for itself. In some cases, babor expended on the filine of L. C. cards is undombtedy mal-employment.

Certain! kinds of work which were either not mal-
(mployment when they were adopted, or were not recognized as such, have become so bereason of a Whange, "ither in the conditions of the work itself or in the way in which it is regarded ly those who are dong it and bey the pialice that benefits hy it.

Take, for instance, labor performed under an agelimit ruke for children, such as nearly all libraries once possessed, and such as is still enforced in some places. If it is true that the library ought not to be used ly children below a specified age, work done in ascertaining their ages and in excluding those barred out by the rule is necessary and rabuable. If this is not true; if the exchnsion of such children may be actually harmful to the commonity, it follows that all shech work is the most fiagrant kind of mal-employment.
but there mat also be mal-employment in the romse of work of madoubted adrantage to the library and its public. If in the course of such work something is done that sets it back instead of helping it om, or that injures the library in some other way more than it helps he what it directly effects, labor expended on that thing is mal-employment. This is a more fundanental and elementary thing than lack of effiriency. If an assistant is cataloguing books well, but much more slowly than she ought, she is not efficiently employed, but neither is she mal-employed, for she is duing nothing that directly ingures the work. If she were to stop, the library wonld be injured, not herefeferd. lint if she is making ceregions bunders in her work, cansing mudue labor in revision or making the catalogure confused on misleading in case her rards should get into it, it might be better for the lihraly if she were to stop work. and she is surely malrmployed.

The pmblic is ath to gemaralizo from insmficient
data. The nser who is treated rately or sullenly at the desk just oure dees mot seal. "I will make a reeoral
 Whedher it is a msial thing ar all almormal ons." Sot at all. He or she at onere reperts in roblrersation that the pulblie libuat assistants ale eontimonsly rurle
 in motion that makes or mars repmtation. We maly chafo at this: We mat 1 ry to diswerard it. but in the end we shall have to ilerelt it as a fart of hamall nat ture. The pulble institution that wants to actuiro that valuable asset. reputation, whether it is arepur tation for kindlinss. for lelpfinlmess. for common sellse, for scholarly acopuiremernts, will hate tor make up its mind to he kind, helpful, sensible, and scholal-
 times. lint one limalied pere cent of the time.

IBAt entirely apoll from such serioms intervals of mal-employment as this, is it not probable that all of us are mal-emplored for some little part of our time? Is it mot prohalble. in other words, that will work would he improved if we shomld omit cratilin parts of it and do nothing at all instead!' It is certain. for one thing. that no one conld work continnomsly, day and night. Withont serions or fatal mal-emplownent. 'That is the reason why onr working lomise ale linitur to seven or eight in the twentr-fomr. I oubtless some workers are over worked antl thus mal-employed in their homs of orerwork-the sleeps railroad enginerer, for instamere who misses a siomal and semeds al hambed fassengers to aternity. Wo are donlotacs free in the library from just this kiml of mal-employment. 心. rept su far als it is foreed upmon hy assistallts whon Work or platy toostrennomsly ontsinge of workiner lomirs.
 for all homr evory lay : it is grite possilile that sho is
in no condition for working during that hour' ; and this is mot because the library hours of work are too long, but because she does not take needed rest outside of those hours. Sometimes this camot he helped; often it is distinctly the workers fanlt, and it is surely putting the library in a false position to make it overwork its staff to their detriment and its own, just because the assistant puts in her hest and freshest hours in work, or more often in amusement, ontside the library.

Let me pause here to say that the reason we take ralations is to aroid the chance of this kind of malemployment. The theory of the vacation is widely misunderstood. Some take it to be a period of amusement granted for services rendered. "I think I have earned a vacation," they say. Others look upon it as play-time wrung from an unwilling employer-the more they can get the botter off they are. Few realize that it is, or ought to be, simply an incident in the year's work, an assignment to special duty, without which mal-employment would be more apt to result.

The mal-employed intervals of atherwise valuahle worker are oitten due to ignorance of conditions or sheer inability to meet them. In an interesting study of hricklaying one of the modern school of efficiency engineers found that most bricklayers kept their bricks too far from the point on the wall where they were to be laid, and that a long and wastefnl carrying movement resulted. If the time occupied by this lost motion could have been climinated and simply given to resting, even without doing any work, good would have resulted; these periods were hence intervals of mal-employment. The engineer eliminated them easily and simply by bringing the pile of bricks within a few inches of the wall. It is easy to

## MAL-EMPILYMENT IN LIBRARV こ1:

kily, "Why, of comse, any one would think of that!" Guly no one ever did think of it. A large propertion of the most valuable inventions and disconemios have been of this charaterer. Some one has remanked that in the earliest stage of an insention people saly, "It won't work;" later they saly, "It maly work, hut it won't be of any use." Finally; when it is nefully. ruming, they saly. "What of it" Ever-wody has alWays known alont it!" We don't do these ohvions things because they are elements in a series of acts that have grown to be habitual. We take care of them subconsciously. Akn, they take up so little time individually that at first thought it seems forlish to try to improve or eliminate them. Suppose one does a useless, or ereu an injurious thing that lasts hut three seconds? If he does it just once and then stops, it would donhtless be folly to change it. If, however, like the bricklayer's useless and tiresome motions, it is repeated humdreds and thomsands of times, the matter stands on quite a different footing. It is inobable that all of us are labitnally doing certain things in ways that involve, without our realizing it, elsments of this kind, either mechanical or mental. Many things that we are doing ly laborions repetition, wearying omselves and using up valuable material, might he made to "do themselves" if we omly knew how to utilize tendencies and fores that are all about us, unsuspected. One of the forees, for instance, is the desire of every person to do that which will give him pleasure If the things we want done "aln be done in aceordance with that desire we can get others to do them for us. The classical example of the hoys who whitewashed Tom saweres fenee for him will ocecur to all. There is deep philosophy in this. I have known librarians to exhanst themselves by trying to get mewspapers to publish what mewsapers never would publish, while the reporters hesiequ
othres for items which they know will be just what they want. 'Ther rules of some libraries-both those for their public allod those for their own assistants -all seem to run up hill-to "rub evervone the wrong Way," while those of otheres seem to get themselves aheyed without any tromble .

Fometimes the substitution of a mechanical appliance for brain-work is what we want. What, for instance, is the nse of tiring one berain and impair. ing its usefuhnses for other needed work lyy forcing it to perform such a mechanical operation as anding a coimmon of figmess. Every libraty that can afford to own all adding mathine onght to have one. The ones that ran not afford it msmally do not need it.

While we are discussing the mal-employment that does its harm by tiring ont the worker, physically or mentally, and making him mfit for other work, we must not nexlect to say a word about mumeressary talk. Nothing is so tiring to the brain as talk. I sometimes think that if we were all forced to do onr work in silence we wonld get along more rapidly even if we hatd to communicate with each other in writing.

If a man were in charge of a piece of complicated mathinery, and if he feared that something had got into it to rlog it, while his knowledge of its elementary parts was still so slight that he rould not tell which particnlar hit in all the moving mass was helping it on and which was hindering it, what wonld he do: He conhl remove the pieces, one by one, and Wateh the efferet. If the machine refused to ron withont a cortain piece, he would comelnde that it was an absolutely neresssary part; if it still ran, thongh with difficulty, he would ronclude that the part, thonsh not neressary, still promoted efficient operation; if removal resulted in no fhange at all, the pieco was avidently either an mumeressary part, or an alien pleese
not so placed as to interfere with artion. If the machime worked decidedly better after removal, there res moved element must have been a clog-Was, in fact, mal-employed.

How many of us feel like submitting to this test: If you should stop rour work, would the library mat (Chine run along quite as nsuat! (or would it limp): Or. would it refuse to run at all" (re would it-() distasteful thought! - would it jump aheal aitl function with greater speed and smoothness:

I believe in racations; and ret I rather like to freel that the absence of an assistant on varation makes a difference. And if every one in her department looks forward with fond expertation to her return and greets her with looks of satistaction and sighs of relief, I cannot help) feeling that she is a more intergral part of the library machinery than if her retmrn were gencrally regarded with indifference or were dreaded as a sort of calanity. When every me feels that she call work much better when Miss blank is alway, I ann forced to inguire whether in trath Miss labank is not a clog in the wheels insteald of a coge and whether a permanent racation womblot be the foromer thing for her.

And how about your libary as a whole" suppose it shond be leveled by a tomadio, on swalloniod up by an earthquake, or swept away bey a fored? What offect would this have on the life of your town? Wombd the passer-by point to the rims, of to the ha le in the ground where once your library stood, with the same kind and amome of interest that he would stow When riewing the stmmp of an old tres or the fragments of a hasted boulder? ()r wond every man, wo. math and child feel the loss:" Would the taidehers semp in rain for aid, the merelants for information, the workmen for data of use to them in thair dati! tanks?

In other words, is your library of such definite use in the commonity that it would feel your loss as it would that of aschool house, a chureh, the railroad station, the principal retail store? Or wonld its loss affect that commmity only like the destruction of the monnment on the green, or the fence around Deacon Jones pastme?

If we are to make the library a vital influence in the commmoty, we must so conduct it that its loss womld be folt as a calamity-that it could be spared no more than the postoffice could be spared, or the doctor, or the school. And we must do our best so to (*arry on every part of its work, every element that goes to make up its service to the public, that this part or element is contributing toward that service and not injuring it or delaying it. It is better for the commmity that we should be memployed than mal-employed, and if the community shonld ever find ont that we are the latter, we may be assured that muemployment will shortly be our condition, whether we like it or not.

## COST OF ADMINISTRATHON*

The possibility of deduciny "yeneral method for ealculuting the probable cost of operation of a library.

The problem of ascertaining how the cost of administration of a library is related to the various conditions and factors that affect it is the problem of finding a formula in which, by simple substitution of numbers representing or corresponding to these conditions, a reasonable or approximate cost may be obtained. The data obtainable are the couditions and actual cost in a limited number of cases. The obstacles are the difficulty of stating certain of the conditions numerically and the difficulty of deciding on the form of the formula, which must be done in advance.

We must first agree, of comrse, that the legitimate cost of administration of a library shonld hear some relation to its conditions of work. l'robably no one would quarrel with this, but the first thought of one who considers the subject is generally that a large number of the conditions could, by their very nature, not be susceptible of mmerical statement. Such factors as size of circulation, mumber of cardholders, size of building, and so on, may be stated directlv in tigures, and many such influence the cost of administration ; but how, for instance, shall be stated mumerically the character of the locality-whether foreign or native-born, wealthy or poor, etc., which also indubitably affects the cost". In this particular case this factor exerts its influence throngh others that

[^11]may be mumerically stated. So far as it necessitates purchase of foreign books, a foreign population acts to increane cost; so far as the demand for certain (lasses of books is concerned, cost might be increased or deremed ; but size of book collections and circulation are both numerically determinable. It is possible that all conditions which would seem at first sight not to be numerical might reduce in this way, to varions mumerical factors. Regarding the form of the function to be used for the formula, mathematicians tell me that its determination might prove a great obstacle. Persomally, it seems to me that it is prohably "linear," that is, involving only the first powers of the quantities concerned. never their squares, cubes, etc. Thus, all other things being equal, increase of book collection increase of circulation, increase of stalf, ete., would approximately mean increase of cost in direct proportion; or, at any rate, not in any way involving powers abore the first. I should try at the outset therefore, a simple linear formula, such as
A.r phus By plus ( $\because$ plus Du. . . . . equals R in which $r$ might he circulation, y number of books, a number in the staff. "cubic feet in the building. and so om. It would then be required to find ralues for A, B, C, D, etc. This would require, of conrse, as many equations as there are of these coefficients. To get each equation we select a library that we are willing to accept as heing conservatively and properly operated, ind substitute for $x, y$, etc., its reported circulation, number of books, and so on, putting in place of K its total cost of administration. Solution of this system of equations gives the coefficients, A, B , C , etc., and furnishes the working formula required. Thereafter when we wish to see whether a library is run as conservatively as the typical ones se-
leceted, its statistirs would be used to sulistitute for $x, y, z$, ete., and the value of If thas obtained would be rompared with the actual cost.

The labor of reduceng the system of equations would depend on their momber, which must equal that of the conditions. This would dombtless be great -possihly twenty or twentr-ive, lat the work amomiss simply to doing a great deal of figmring.

I believe that this thinge is worth trying, and 1 in-
 salre help in dome the work of figuring, which in ally rase womld not be nearly as ereat as that dome to cal-
 daily doing work of this kind, and doing it, tow, on subjects regarding which there is gute an much reat son to doubt the applicaliblity of the method as in the present case. Why mot try it? It admits of satis. factory "proving," for if applied to two wrouns of lihraries with absurdly different resulte, it would at oner lee shown to be fally as anpored.

I helieve that we librarians use the experimental method too infrempently. When it is proposed to make some chamge or other, I constantly hear the ob) jection, "Tlat wouldn"t result at all as you experet: it would do so-imd-so." But why not trey it" Try it and see what happens. That is the only real test. Of course, if trying will cont a large sum, or involve sombe serions risk, we must combt the cost, but in nine cases out of tem nothing is involved but a litthe rextra work.

In this case we are tryinge ome experiments daty -we can't help it. Wer have libraries rmming moder all kinds of conditions and we have statistical reports of those combitions and of the resulting eost. It is surely worth whike to see if we call mot commed these costs and these conditions in some nsefnl way.

I venture to close with a parable. At a national meeting of civil engineers there was a discussion of the advisability-and possibility-of ascertaining the exact distance between New York and Chicago. In the course of the discussion it appeared that numerous measurements had already been made for various purposes loy different parties and under divers conditions. No two of the results agreed precisely. It was suggested by a speaker that some method of comhining the results might be found so as to arrive at a practical working estimate of the distance. Objection was at once made lyy various members. To many the very idea of such a proposal seemed a bit of pleasantry, and they greeted it with smiles. One speaker poked fun at the idea of treating so practicall a question hy ahstract mathematical methods. Another pointed out that the measurements had been made with rarions oljects in view; some for railroad purposes, others by govermment topographers; that instruments of varying makes had been employed and that the surveyors possessed differing grades of ability. He did not see, therefore, how there was any possibility of taking all these into account. Still another thought that the best way to get at the real distance was to send out a questionnaire to persons who had traveled from New York to Chicago and find out their opinions.

It seemed to be the consensus of belief that we should never ascertain the exact distance from New York to Chicago, and that it was extremely doubtful whether there really was any such distance. Prolsably it varied from time to time, which would account for the varying measurements.

Is it conceivable that engineers would ever talk in this way? It is not.
liut we have all heard librarians do so. Why?

## LIBRARY CIRCULATION AT LONG RANGE

Is there still a place for the delivery station in the scheme of distribution adopted by libraries, large or small? This question is pertinent not so much because the use of the delivery station is being discontinued, but because of a general feeling that any system of book distribution that does not admit of seeing and handling the books is inferior to a system in which this is possible.

It will thas be noted that the question of the delivery station pure and simple, as opposed to the deposit station and the branch-a question once hotly debated-is at bottom simply that of the closed shelf versus the open shelf. The band has won out as against the delivery station, and the open as against the elowed shelf. It will also be noted, however, that none but small libraries find it good policy to place all their books on open shelves. There is and always will be a use for the closed shelf in its place, and the larger the library the more obvious does that place become.

Now circulation through a delivery station is nothing but long-distance closed-shelf issue-circulation in which the distance between charging-desk and stack has been greatly multiplied. And a legitimate reason for closed-shelf issue of this kind is that it is carried on under conditions where opeu-shelf issue is impossible-about the only excuse for the closed shelf in any case. Now no matter how many books may be in branches or in deposit stations, it is obviously impossible for the whole central stock to
be at any one of them, still less to be at all of them at the same time. And there are cases where it is impraticable to use any deposit at all, while delivery from the central library is feasible and reasonably satisfactory. There will always continue to be, therefore, some circulation from a distant reserroir of books that camot be seen and handled by the reader for purposes of selection.

Tuder these circmonstances it is interesting to inquire whether this type of service has any good points to ofiset its oldvious disadrantages; and it is consoling to find that there are such-not enongh to canse us to select an mosupported delivery station deliberately where a deposit or a branch would be possible, but enough to satisfy us that a delivery station is worth while if we can use nothing better and to induce us to lay stress. if we cau, on the particular features that make it satisfactory.

For myself, after three years in a library with a large station system, following an experience in institutions where there was nothing of the kind, I may say that it has gratified and surprised me to find that personal contact between librarian and reader is possible in such a system, to almost the same extent as in an open-shelf library, although the contact is of quite a different quality. The quality of the contact is related to that possible with the open-shelf precisely as mental contact by letter writing is always related to that by comversation. It is superior, if anything, to that nsually ohtained in short-distance closed-shelf circulation, althongh possibly not to that obtainahle under ideal conditions.

The establishment of more or less personal relations of confideure hetween library assistant and reader takes longer and is less complete when the sole intermediary is written language. It is always
larder and requires more time to become intimate by letter than by personal intercomse. In the former case the contact is purely mental, in the latter it is affected by personal appearance and conduct, by facial expression and mamer. All this is one of the chief factors in the success of the open shelf. But the advantages are not all on the side of the direct personal contact, as the correspondence sehools have been astute enough to find out. In the first palace. litere seripta menct; one may read the same writent commmication several times, whereas the same spoken communication is of and for the moment. Then the very fact that the written message is purely intellectual and has no physical accompaniments may lend force to its intellectual appeal, when that appeal has once gained a foothold. When this is the case the writer may take his time and may plan his campaign of influence more carefully than the speak('r. The effect of trivial circumstances, of unfarorable persomal elements, of momentary moods, is obviated.

It may he, them, that if personal relations between librarian and reader can be set up through the written word, there maty be something of this kind even in long-distance, closed-shelf circulation. This relation may be lacking, eren when the cirmbation is at short range. It is msually lacking at the closed-shelf delivery desk, meerssarily so in a rush, although at quicter times there is no good reason whe it shomd not exist. I know that it sometimes does exist under these conditions, though a combter hetween two human beings. Whether in a store, an oftiere or a limary, is not conducire to relations of confidence. It may eren be lacking in the open-shelf room. When assistants on floor duty have not the proper spirit and a due conception of their own responsibilities and op portunities.

It may exist at long range. But does it? I can answer for only one library; but I have no reason to believe that onr experience is by any means exceptional. Here are some instances, reported at my request from our own Station Department by Miss Elsic Miller, the department chief:
" (1) A short time ago one of the patrons of Station 27 sent in a slip asking to have his book renewed, and requested that we send him information on peace ronferemes. The latter was duly sent, but through some error the renewal was overlooked. Consequently six days later an overdue postal was mailed. This gentleman is always quite prompt in returning his books, and evidently had never before received a notice. so he was most perturbed, and wrote us a very long letter explaining the mistake. He said that he felt that the librarian should know that he was not at fanlt, hat not broken the rules, and had a clear record. But in imparting this fact to the librarian, he wanted it understood that the assistant committing the error shonld not in any way he punished for it, because she had helped him greatly in his work, by sending the very facts on peace conferences that he was looking for. He asked that the assistant be praised for her good work rather than blamed for her error.
" (2) Celia R——, whom we have never seen but all feel well acquainted with, tried in vain for some time to borrow a certain little volume of Eskimo stories, but succeeded only in getting substitutes. About the middle of December she sent in with her card the following request: 'Please give me "Eskimo stories," becanse it is Christmas and you never send the right book.'
" (3) The cards of Mr. and Mrs. M——, of Staton 54, come in with a slip, 'Please send a novel.' We
know that the books must be 7 -day adyenture stories, and must have publishers binding and an interesting frontispiece or they will come back to us on the next delivery umread.
"(4) At least one of the S-- family's cards is reported lost each week. We immediately recomnize Mres. s-is voice when she telephones, and ask whether it is Ralphis or Walter's card that is missing this time. In a tone of despair she probably says, 'No; it is Morris's.' We promise to look the matter up thoronghly. Then we do no more about it. After two days we (all up, and tell her we are very sorry we have been mable to trace the card. 'Oh, we ve found it here at home: thank you so muld for four tronble, she answers. 'And, by the way, we have not been able to find Nicholas" card all day. So we look up Nicholas" card in the same way. No s-_ ('ard was ever known to be lost outside of the S - .-. household.
"(5) C39 of Station 6 has this note clipped to her readers' index: 'Give overdue notices to Stations Departnent.' We hold her notices a few days to give the books a chance to come in, becanse she uses a biweekly station. Each time that she receives an owerdue notice, it costs her ten cents carfiare to come to the library to investigate, and it costs the library a half hour of an assistants time to pacify lore. Our new method works beautifully, and both library and reader find it economical.
" (6) An old gentleman of Station 15 (at least we have pictured him as old, for it is a trembling hand that writes the titles) for a long time sent in a long list of German novels which we manked, 'Not in ratalog: ' We were out of printed Crerman lists at the time, so selected a good German novel and sent it to him. It was immediately retmrnod. We tried asain
—in vain. Then again! We sent him everything that the arorage ferman finds intensely interesting. But the books alwals came back to us on the next delivery. One day we substituted 'Im Busch,' by Gerstaecker. He kept it two weeks, and then his card came in with a list of Gerstanecker novels, copied from the title-page of "In Pusch." ITe read all ont Gerstaceres hooks and then wanted more. Wैe wrote him that he had read all the books of this author and again substituted. Then a fresh list of Gerstaceker came in, and now he is reading all those books a second time.
" (7) One of the station men watches our substitutions and looks orer them to get ideas for his own reading. Onee when we had substituted Leroux's "Mystery of the yellow room' the station man ordered a copy of that book for himself, and finding it interestine read all the Leromx hooks in the library.
" (8) Here is a letter from a youthful station patron:
"'Please semb me the III Griade, The golden goose book: Please do. Kisses.
xxi., "•

These incidents, which of course might be multiplied indefinitely, show at least that the service rendered by a delivery station is not, or at any rate need not be, a mere merlanical sending of hooks in amswer to a written demand.
so murlh for the alement of personal contact and influence. Next let us comsider for a moment that of actual contact with the books from which selection rall be made. This of comese does not take place in any closed-shelf system-least of all in one at long range. But in rertain cases this contact is of no special advantage. In particular, if a reader wants one
definite book amd mo other, he may get it as surely, or be informed as reliably that he ramont get it, and why, at a delivery station as at a set of open shelves. The only drawhatk in "long-range" work is that the nser must wait longer before he all get his beok, provited it is on the shemes. Against this wait mast be set the fime and rost of a personal risit to the distant library building.
() " the "hrowsins" rontate there can be none, of conse. This seems a more serions matter to me that it would be to those who deprerate "howsinge" or at any rate diseomage it. But there is no question that the alternative hetween lihary and delivery station, if squarely presolted, should always be answered by choosing the library. Here the altermative is between the delivery station and no nse at all. This hrings up another point:

May it not lo, in some cases, that we really are offering the reader an alternative between delivery station and library and that throngh indolence he takes the former? Dombtless this is often the case, and it shonld not heso. The location of every delivery station shonld ber studied from this standpoint, and its continnance shonld be made a matter of serious question. When all is sald and done. there will remain some stations where a minority of nsers wonld go to the library if the station were discontinned, and would be benefited therely at the expense of a little more exertion. The fact that there are some real addvantages in long-range cirmbation shonld emable the librarian, in such a case, to strike some kind of a balance, satisfy himself that this particular station is or is not of resultant benefit to the commonity, and act accordingly. It is also possible, in some cases, to combine the deposit feature with the delivery station.
and it groes without saying that this shonld be done just as the delivery feature should be added to every deposit and every branch, where it is feasible.

Finally, the long range circulation may be adapted to the use of the busy by enabling them to kill two hirds with one stone. Libraries are alwars trying, with doubtful success, to get hold of persons who are lons ahout something else-factory workers, shoppers, and so on. A residential district is a better place for a branch library than a shopping district, although the number of different persons who pass the door daily is larger in the latter, because there is more leisure in the residence street-less preoccupation and bustle. But if it is made possible for the shopper to use the library with practically no delay, while he is shopping, will he not take advantage of the opportnity? A recent experiment in the St. Louis lublic Library convinces me that he will. We are now operating a downtown branch in the look department of a large department store, and we have an hourly messenger ser rice between the library and this station. I beliere this is the first time that such frequent delivery service has been tried. This makes it possible to leave an order at the heginuing of a shopping trip and to find the book ready at the close of the trip. The interral would never be much over an hour, and might he as little as fifteen or twenty minutes.

There are two favorable factors here which it might be difficult to secure elsewhere: The shopping district here is near enough to the central library to make trequent delivery possible, and the management of the store where our station is located is broad enongh to see that the possibility of horrowing a book free, from the library, even when presented as an immediate alternative to the purchase of the same book
from the counters of the store, does not, in the long run, injure sales.

It is not alsolutely necessary, of conrse, to operate this scheme from a department store, neither is greater distance an absolute bar to frequent deliveries. I believe that this kind of long-distance service is well worth the attention of librarians.

And, in gencral, I believe that a realization that all long-distance service has its good points may do good by inducing us to dwell on those points and to try to make them of more influcnce in our work.


## CONFLICTS OF JURISDICTION IN LIBRARY SYSTEMS*

At bottom, a departmental system in a large institution is simply an outcome of the fact that its heard requires aid in administration. At first, perhaps, he (all actually do cerevthing with his own hand; next he reguires helpers, but he can oversee them all ; final. ly, he must have overseers, who are the only ones with whom he deals directly and for whom he naturally classifies the work and divides it among them aceordingly. This is not merely a symbolical or fancifut acoont of such a development. There are plenter of heads of institutions, educational, commercial and industrial, who have personally seen every stage of itWho are now administering a complicated system of departments where the once did everything themselves. In particular, there are now librarians, at the head of great libraries, who hegan library work by performing, or at least overseeing directly, the elementary acts of which library operation maty be taken to consist, and who have watelied suth a simple system of superintendence develop year by year into something complex.
such a development, as I have said, is naturally hased on some kind of classification. If one could sit down and, foreseeing the growth of his institution for years to come, settle upon the way in which that growth should be cared for, his classification might possibly be more logical and workable than most classifications now are. The best of them are wofully imperfect, as no one knows better than we libra-

[^12]rians. And when division into classes proceeds pari passu with growth, we are necessarily bothered with that troublesome thing-cross-classification. As our institution grows, one direction of growth and a corresponding set of conditions and needs comes into the foreground after another, and our basis of classification is apt to change accordingly.

In the library, for instance, territorial expansion has frequently clamed the right of way. It has been evident that wide regions within the mmicipality were not reached by the library's activities; hence the establishment of branches-practically classification on a regional or territorial basis. Next, perhaps, some other need is pushed forward-say, the necessity for special care given to the children of the community. Here is a non-territorial basis for classification, founded only upon the age of the library's users, These are not elasses and sub-classes, but are entirely different primary systems of classification, whose dividing lines cross and do not run parallel. A man who should sit down and try to evolve, at first hand, some sort of classification of library work, might adopt one or the other, but not both. In one case he might divide his city into districts, with district superintendents and local librarians under each; in the other, he might divide his users by ages and tastes and have a superintendent for each. In neither case would there be cross-classification, with its over-lapping classes and consequent interferences of jurisdiction.

But this is not the way that things work out. The librarian finds it necessary to have his geographical subdivisions and also those based on age, and he adopts others also as they appear desirable, without much regard for the logir of classification. If he does take it into account, he feels that the troubles result-
ing from conflicts of jurisdiction will be more easily dealt with than those conseruent mon a refusal to respond to the present demands of the work. Alsoand this is an important factor-conflicts of jurisdiction, no matter how inevitahle, are in the future, and the present demands of the work look rastly larger and press with insistence. Is there any wonder that he does what lies immediately hefore him and lets the future take care of itself?

Unfortmately, the future always does take care of itself very well indeed, and presents itself to demand a reekoning at the appointed time. The library, for instance, that has its branches for different regions -and its children's room in each gets along well enongh so long as its cross-classification of work exists only on paper. but the time comes when departmental organization must begin, and this must be based on the classification. There may be a superintendent of hranches and a superintendent of children's work, or the hranch lihrarians may report to the librarian directly, or there may be other dispositions with other duties and names. In any case, a "hildren's room at a hranch library neressarily finds itself in two departments, under two jurisdictions and under two heads. If the bramel librarian and the chibdren's superinteudent are both viedding in disposition, the librarian may never have the contlict of juriseliction bronght to his attention. If either is vielding while the other is masterful, there will also be no trouble. In one case the branch librarian will run the adult end of her branch and leave the other to the children's department; in the other there will be one hranch, at least, where the children's supervisor has little to say-a condition of things that may be tolerated, but is surely undesirable. But smppose that both heads are conscientions, assertive and anxions to push the work.
fond of organizing administrative details and impatient of interference. Here we have the possibilities of trouble at once.

The first rumblings of the storm come nsually in the form of complaints of interference, ou the one side or the other. Then we have a demand from both sides for a detinition of their respective rights and responsibilities. The librarian is asked, for instance, in just what respects the children's librarian shall take her orders from the branel librarian and in what from the supervisor. This is a good deal like petitioning the legislature to pass a law specifying exactly when a child shall obey his father and when the mayor of the city. The librarian who enters on this plansible path will sooner or later be lost in the jungle. He has only himself to thank. Either he or his predecessor started the game and he must play it out to the end. We librarians are all responsible for each other's faults. Let us see how he may play it.

In the first place, his is the power. What is done in any departnent is done by his orders or by the orders of some one endowed by him with authority to give orders. He has given two persons authority over the same field at one point, and it is his business to straighten things out. Here are some possible ways:

1. The authority of one head may be absolutely extinguished in the field where conflict exists. Here we have legalized the state of things described above as existing with a combination of one spincless de-partment-head and one very spiny one. It works, but at the expense of everything that tends to the efficiency of the extinguished anthority, and I do not recommend it.
2. An attempt may be made, as noted above, to draw a line between the two spheres of anthority and keep each in its place. This appeals to those who are
fond of detail, for it can be done only by considering and ticketing details. A line, defined by some one clear principle, camot be drawn in a field of this kind between two things both of which logically cover that field. It is Iogical that the childrens librarian in a branch should le wholly muder the authority of the branch librarian, since she is a branch employe like the others. It is just as logical that she should be wholly under the authority of the supervisor, of whose department she is a part. If we are to define the things in which she is to obey the one and the other, they mast he enumerated one ly one. And then other things will turn up that have not been thus emmerated, and we are in tronble ayain. This plan, as I have said, appeals to those who revel in regulations and specifications, but I can recommend it no more than the other.
3. One department may formally and distinctly be set above the other. Or, what is the same thing, the librarian maty resolve, when a conflict arises, always to decide the matter in fasor of one particular department. This means, in the sperial case that we have heen using as an illustration, either that the children's department shall be allowed to do mothing in a branch fibrary without the consent of the branch librarian, or of the supervisor of branches, if there is one; or that all questions involving the administration of a branch children's room mast depend ultimately on the chief of the childrenis department.

This may seem to be the same as the plan ly which the anthority of one department is absolutely done away in the disputed sphere. It is of the same type. but not so drastic. In the other plan one has not authority to do anything; in this, one must ask per-mission- mot the same thing by any means. This plan is practically in effect at some libraries; it
would probably be regarded as equitable by most department heads-provided their own department were put ahead of the other. The trouble is that it involyes an arbitrary subordination-one that does not exist in the nature of the classifieation. And this suhordination is local and partial; it cannot hold good for the whole department. No one would think of placing the branch department, as a whole, under the children's department, or tice versa. And the objections, although not so strong as those to the extinguishment plan, are of the same kind. The efficiency of one department or the other is bound to suffer, and for this reason I do not consider this the best plan.
4. All department heads in conflicting spheres, may be regarded simply as advisers of the librarian and not as possessing anthority in themselves to give orders. A conflict is thus reduced to contradictory advice from two sources. The librarian then pursues whatever course seems good to him. This plan has attractive features, especially to administrators of the type that like to keep a finger in everr pie. There is doubtless danger in aloofness. The librarian must know what is going on, but I see no advantage in requiring him to decide questions of trivial detail at frequent intervals, as he must do under this plan; for conflicts generally begin in questions of detail and it is at the begining or even earlier, in anticipation, that they must be canght and adjnsted. This plan works, but it reduces the department head to a consulting expert and burdens the librarian with detail. It does not appeal to me at all.
5. The two conflicting departments may cooperate, intelligently and courteously without sacrifice of anthority or self-respect, under the advice and orders of the librarian.

This is the plan that I recommend. It is the most difficult of all, and no regulations or specifications can be formulated for carrying it ont. For this reason it will never be widely in favor. A wicked and rebellions generation demands a sign, and in this plan there is neither sign nor formula except that general prinicple of helpfulness and willingness to place the common whole above the selfish part that is at the antipodes of both wickedness and relellion. It is a personal matter and it adds one important qualification to those already necessary in depart. ment heads-the ability to do team work. This qualification, however, is so important, quite apart from its necessity in connection with this plan, that we may consider it an advantage, rather than otherwise, that the plan puts it forward and insists upon it. On the whole I think that a library with mediocre department heads having this qualification is better manned, and will do more satisfactory work than one with at staif of supremely able experts, cranky, selfrentered and all pulling different ways. The efforts of memhers of a body like a library staff are not to be measmred arithmetically-they are what mathematicians call "rectors"-directed quantities, like force, velocity or acceleration. To know where a man will hring up one must have not only his speed, but its direction. The sum of two equal forces may be anything from zero $\quad$ p to their double, depending on their relative directions, and if the sum is zero, no matter how large the components may be, the result is precisely the same as if those components are small, or as if neither existed. It is this sort of thing that an eminent employer of labor had in mind when he advised, "If two of rour subordinates don't get along together, discharge both of them, mo matter how good they are." In this man's estimation the relative
vahe of team work evidently stands pretty high. I should not follow his alvice, however, without giving everyone a fair chance. I have known the opinions of one department head abont another and their ability to work together to improve greatly on atequaintance.

The part necessarily played by the librarian in this scheme may be requrded by some as all objection. I hare already referred to administrators who, like the late Czar of Russia, prefer to regulate all the details of the kingdom by personal supervision. There is also the precisely opposite type, who like to make a good machine, set it going, and then let it alone. The trouble is that machines will not run of themselves. They need oversight, oiling, cleaning and repairing. The best require a minimum of all this, but all must have some of it. And such machinery as there is in this phan requires a maximum of orersight. It is, however, not the control of details but rather the watching of general methods and results. Is everything rmming smoothly, withont "lost motion" or "backlash," and turning ont a satisfactory finished product? If not, can the tronble le located? Yes; these two coss do not work smoothly together. Let us find ont which is at fanlt and adjust or replace it ; but if our investigation is fruitless, possibly the best plan is to discard both.

I trust I hare misled no one by treating here specifically of two departments. I might have substituted the names of a dozen others. All throngh library administration, and especially in the administration of a systam of bramblibraries, these possibilities of conflict ocour. In branches they are generally between the imanch administration and the central departinents-finance, smpplies, cataboging, bonkortars, tafereman and circhlation.

The handling of this whole matter depends, of course on the librarian. Ho it imst be who is to decide on general policies or go to his Board for a decision in cases so important that he feels therir artion necessary. If the work of departments overtaps in some field where the librarys policy has not yet been decided upon and defined, he has no one to hame but himself if the adjustment is diflicult. And if policies are defined in adrance and patins taken to inform department heads thoromghly of their existence and import, the likelihood of serions disagree. ment will be considarably lessemed.

It must not he forgoten, also, that the sumess of any plan may be increased or diminished by skill, or lack of skill, in hamdling it.

I am ronfident that any of the plans abont which I have spoken minfarably above wonld work better under a gend librarian than the best woukd work inder a had one. lint I forget myself; we librarians are like Kentucky whiskey-some are better than others, but there are no bad ones!

## 

The hmman are is so constituted that it ram see clearly hat a small part of the field of vision at one time. We have learned hy habit to move it about quickly and comprehensively, so that unless our attention is called to the fact we do not realize this limitation; but it exists. In like mamer, it is difficult for the laman mind to take a comprehensive riew of a subject. We are apt to fix upon some one feature and ignore the rest. In recent times we have been devoting our attention to the personal element. We talk about the "man hehind the gun" a good deal. I would not underrate him or what he can do; but it is surely necessary to have the gun itself before the man hehind it can be effective. In fact, the man per se is about the most helpless of animals. His superiority to the mere hrute lies in his ability to use tools; his inferiority in the fact that he call do almost nothing withont them. A man with a gin is indeed formidahle: a widdeat call do mothing with such a tool, but then he is reasomably formidable without it. I have rielded thas to the temptation to depreciate the personal clement somewhat, at the begimning of an address in which it is to be disconsed, hecamse this defect of the hmman mind. that tends to fix it upon one feature to the exchusion of others, has of late apparently led many to think that a man is valuable in himself and by himself, without anything to work with or anything to work on.

A man is making a failure of his job; the first

[^13]thongit is that he must be replaced. Nine persons ont of ten fail to impuire whether anyone at all could have sucreeded under the same conditions. Your cook prepares an inedible meal; you rage and eall londly for a new regime in the kitchen; whereas all the time your competent servant has been struggling with a faulty range, tough meat and bad flour.

Shall we, then, sit down and refnse to do anything at all moless our took and our materials are of the best.? liy no means; one of the ehief distinctions between a capable and an inefficient worker lies in the alility of the former to make the best of unpromising conditions. No one can do as well with poor tools and materials as with good ones; hut the grod worker will turn out a better job with the former than the inefficient one will.

These things apply of course to the library worker as to all others, especially to lihrarians in small towns where tools and materials are apt to be not of the best. Among tools we may reckon bildings, books, and all kinds of lihrary appliances. The material is the communty on which the librarian hy proper use of her tools, aims to produce a certain effect.

Now it is open to such a worker to view her task from any one of three different standpoints-to choose, we will say, from three different kinds of liharianship. She may be a librarian of the day before yesterday, of resterday, or of to-day.

The librarian of the day before resterday is the librarian of a part of the community. Not only does she make no effort to encourage the use of her library, but she distinctly discourages certain persons, and certain classes of perwons, from entering it. This grade of librarian includes as many kinds as there are persons or classes of the communty that
may be disconraged．Some，for instance，exclude all the poorly－dressed，or all of inferior social status； others welcome just these and exclude the well－dress－ ed and well－to－do．The philanthropic donor of a city branth library building once waxed very wroth when she saw a carriage standing in front of the huilding． Her library，she satid，was for the foor，not for＂car－ riage people．＂

These ways of looking at things are sometimes an inheritance from former conditions．A subscription library turned into a free public library hesitates to welcome，all at once，the lower strata that have so long been banished from its doors．On the other hand，a public library that has developed from a charitable foundation regards these as its proper users and looks askance at the well－to－do，as in the （ase of the good lady with her＂carriage people．＂

When I speak of the exclusion of a class of per－ sons，I do not mean that they are formally kept ont or even conseionsly discouraged ；this is why it is so easy to be a librarian of the day before yesterday． That day was a comfortable day；an easy day to be self－satisfied in；it had its libraries for the rich and its libraries for the poor．Some class was always named，even if some were always left out．

It may be that the exclusion operates through features that are in themselves excellent．I have seen，in a suball commmity，a library building so fine，with such an atmospheree of quiet good－taste and so lady－like a librarian，that the great public no more dared to enter therein than if a fieree lion had stood in the doorway．I have known libraries，too，in which the books were too grood．（＇ertain＂laswes in the commmity were not intellectually up to them．

I have also known libraries that were never used by the foreigners in their commmities，or by the
rolored people. These latter, strange to say, were largely in the North. The South recognizes the Negro and pays him much attention-in its way. It settles his status and sees that it is observed. He has the last four seats on the trolley car and he has his separate library accommodations. In the North he is on an equality with the white man-in everything but reality. He is weicomed to the library in theory and he does not use it in practice. I fear that in this respect too many of us belong to the day before yesterday.

I trust that I have made it clear that the librarian of day-before-yesterday is not a had librarian. He or she is just a librarian of day before yesterdaythat is all.

Now we will step into one of Mr. H. (i. Wells' "Time mathines" and take a short spin ahead into yesterday. The limarian of resterday exchudes no one at all from his library; for he is within one step of being up-to-date. He discourages no person nor any class of persons. He stands in his doors with outstretched arms and announces that his library is free to all, that it has books for all--rich and poor, old and young, barbarian, Scythian, bond and free. The selection of books is well thought-out and adapted to the community in which it is. The accommodations are ample and fitting. Everyone is welcome. What more could you ask? Nothing at all; provided yon are still in yesterd:1y. Yesterday this sort of library was regarded as the last word in the popularization of the book, and it is indeed a long step in advance of day-before-yesterday. The librarian's material is before him; he has grod books; is more needed than this? Yea, verily. One may have a nail and a hammer to drive it, also an egg, and a pan to fry it, yato one camot fry the egg with the hammer. Some
selective artion is neressary before wo ran attain the result that wr want. A minister, presiding at a wedding, in which several conples were to be mited at once, read the marriage service and then exclaimed: "I pronomere you mest and wives; now you call sort yourselves." 'The tromble is that things will not "eol't themselves" ; they most have some one to solt them -and this is what is the matter with the libratry and the librarian of resterday. They fail to makr connection hetwern the man and the book, so that part of the fine collection remains wholly of relatively bumsed, and part of the commmmity that it onght to serve remains apart from the libratre, despite the lihrarianis outstretehed arms and his words of worlcome. If he had read his Bihle as his great-gramelparents used to do, he would have realized that to fill the table at the wedding feast of literature and life a simple invitation sufficoth not. We must go ont into the highwars and hedges and comper them to come in. The attitude of passive expertaller, of ahility and willingness to serve those who come was well enough for yesterday, hat not for the new library day that has dawned in those Fnited States of Americat. Apparently the lihrary dawn moves rastward as the physical day mowes westward, for over in the mothere country only a few lofty peaks are yet gilded by its smbshine. Eren in onr own land there are gorges where the dusk lingers; there are even grottoes where darkness will alwals he. lint we are mostly in thr light. We realize that if we have a book on the dyeing of textile fabrics and if there is an mheeding man in our commmoty who would be holped ly that book, all the complacent receptivity that we can muster will not suffice to boint them together. Aud with this knowledge comes an awakening of conkeicuce. Long ago wo stopped rery-
ing out "Sm I my brother's keeper?" We realize that as members of the commmity we must bear our share of responsibility for what is done in the community and that collectively we must take measures for the commmity's welfare. Each of us is a Roman dictator, in that it is our business to see that the Republic suffers no harm. Thus the community appoints special officers to look out for the interests of its members in certain directions. We public librarians are such officers. We are proud of saying that we stand on the same plane as the teachers in onr shooks and the professors in our colleges; nay, even a little higher, for the facilities for education over which we preside are offered long after school and college years are over.

Now the teacher does not stand in the doorway and announce that she is willing and ready to instruct all who may so desire in reading, writing and arith-metic-that she has a well-equipped schoolroom, blackboards, globes and texthooks for all who will take advantage of them. Not so ; the community gres out and compels its members to take adrantage of all these things. In like manner, also, the commmity makes all sorts of laws for its own preservation and hetterment; it does not say "See, here are good laws; come ye who will and obey them." On the contrary it goes out into lighways and hedges and sees that all its members obey.

I would not push this analogy too far. No one expects that the rommmity will require that every one nithin its borders shall use the public library so many times a month, or, indeed that it shall be used at all. The nature of the institution precludes such compulsion. But it should require that every effort be made to see that no section of the books on the library shelves shall lie idle and that no section of the
communty shall fail to use books, either through ignorance or throngh doubt of a welcome.

The librarian should say: Here is all mused look. Is it withont value in this "ommmaty? Then let it make place for a better. Has it value? Then why is it not used? Somewhere, in this community, is the man, woman or child, who, whether realizing it or not, would derive pleasure or profit, or hoth from reading it. It is my hosiness to seek out that pelson.

Again: Here is a man who does not read hooks. Is this becanse mook would appeal to him? Impossible! He may think so, but there lives no one to whom the soul of some fellow ban, speaking through the printed parge, will not bing a welcome message. Is there such a look on my shelves? If so, it is my business to get it into that man's hands; if not, I must buy, beg or horrow it as som as 1 may.

When the librarian has begun to talk in this fashion, lo! the dawn is shining, he is a librarian of today. The librarian of to-day frowns on no one, discourages no one; and he stands not passively at his door with open arms. He walks through his library; he walks through his town. He knows the books in one and the dwellers in the other, and he knows both in their relationships, actual and possible. If there are disused books on his shelves or non-reaters in his community, it is not becanse he has made no effort to bring them together; his faihures are not those of negligence.

The other day, sitting in a stalled trolley carr, my eye fell upon a street-cleaner, and I began to watch him with interest. He was busy-apparently, I was going to say, but that does him injustice. He was really busy. While I watched him-and the car was delared for some little time-he was constantly at
work, pushing over the asphalt the broad scraper that was intended to rid it of dust and refuse. And yet le did not cleain the street, for he took no acconnt of the inequalities of its surface. These required intelligent adaptation of his movements at every instant, and to this he jaid no attention. He went through the motions; his actual expenditure of physical energy was probably as great as if he had mixed a little brain-work with it, but it failed to accomplish what it ought, simply from that lack. And yet it would have been difficult for any overseer to give him orders that would have bettered the matter. It would lave been hard to point out at any given instant, his errors of commission or of omission. The only way in which one could tell that he was not doing his work properly was by the result. He was put there to clean the street-and the street was not cleaved.

So with the librarians of resterday and the dar before. They are hard workers, not idlers. They have the tools, and they go through the motions. They may tire themselves out with their labor. Their library buildings mat be attractive and clean; their technique perfect, their books well selected and in good order, their catalogs excellent. It is hard to point to any one thing that they are doing incorrectly or tlat they are omitting. And yet we must judge their work by its fruits; they are put into a community of actual or potential readers in charge of a collection of books. What are these for, if not to be read? Yet many remain untonched. For what purpose have the schools tanght the townspeople to read? Thousands of them make no good use of that knowledge. To the librarian of to-day the non-realization of this and the lack of effort to remedy it mean failure. In order to make a little more definite our ideas of these three kinds of lihrarians, let us consider one or two
very practical problems and see how each wonld probably view them and act upon them.

First. The library circulates no books on phambing. For the librarian of the dity hefore yesterday, this is no problem at all. Probably his library has no books on plumbing. His library is not for plumbers, and he has never suspected that it rould be. As for the plumbers in his commmuty, they foo have never considered the possibility that they might learn something of their work from books in it public library. They are therefore silent and uncomplaining. Peace reigns and there is a general state of satisfaction all around-the satisfaction of blissful ignorance and of the day before yesterday.

The librarian of yesterday, on the other hand, sees the problem clearly and is concerned abont it. He has good books on plumbing and nobody reads them. Evidently the more advanced srade of the librarian has not affected the plumbers-they still remain in ignorance of the public library. But what is hr to do? Here is the library; herr are the books; here is the librarian, ready and willing to distribute them to all who may come. If the gencration-or any part of it-is so wicked and perverse that it comes not, what is there to do? What, indeed! And sa library and commmoty remain in the twilight of resterday just before the dawn.

The librarian of to-day not only sees the problem and is concerned about it, but he proreeds to do something. Jnst what he does or how ho does it is of far less consequence than the fart that he sees action in the matter to be necessary and possible. He may go personally and interview the plmmbers; he may send them lists; he maly get permission to aldress the plumbers' union; he may to one or many of a thonsand things to remedy matters, amd althongh it is cor-
tain that what he does will not be completely effective, it is equally certain that it will have some good effect, which is the main thing.

Problem Second. Examination of the registry list shows that there are practically no card holders in a certain part of the town. As in the former case, this is no problem at all to the day before yesterday librarian. Its existence would in general not appear to him, certainly not as the result of any kind of statistical investigation. If he were informed of it he would regard the fact with complacency. The library is for readers, and if certain persons are non-readers they had better keep away. Nothing could be simpler. The librarian of yesterday, on the other hand, feels that all is not right. It is certainly too bad that when library privileges are offered free to all, so large a portion of the commmity should fail to take advantage of them. The lihrary stands ready to help these people, if they will only come. Why don't they?

The librarian of yesterday thus stops with a question; the librarian of to-day proceeds to answer it. He finds out why they don't come. He may discover one or more of any number of things; whatever may be the causes, they are sure to be interesting, at least to him, for the to-day librarian is a born investigator. It may be that the non-readers are literate, but take no interest in books; perhaps they say they have no time to read; possibly the library has not the kind of books that they like; they may be foreigners, reading $n o$ English, and the library may have no books in their tongue. Whatever the trouble may be, the librarian of to-day sets aloout to remedy it. He may not succeed; but it is the diagnosis and the attempt at treatment, not its success, that constitute him what he is.

Problem Third. The reading done throngh the li-
brary is trivial and inconsequential. The fiction drawn is of low order, and there is little else read. The way in which this will affect the three types of librarian may be predicted at once. The librarian of the day-before-yesterday heeds it not; the librarian of resterday heeds and perhaps worries, but does nothing. The librarian of to-day finds out the trouble and then tries to remedy it.

And so it goes: you may construct other problems for yomrselfes and imagine their solution, or lack of solution.

Now, it is obvious that there are great and erident objections to being a librarian of to-day and corresponding advantages in being one of the other kinds. In the first place the to-day variety of librarianship involves brainwork and it is always difficult to use one's brain-we saw that in the case of the streetcleaner. Then this kind of librarian must be always looking for trouble. Instead of congratulating himself that all is going smoothly, he must set out with the premise that all camot be going smoothly. There must be some way in which his books can be made to serve more people and serve them better; and it is his business to find out that way. Then the to-day librarian must use his statistics. The lihrarian of the day hefore yesterday probably takes none at all. The librarian of yesterday collects them with diligence, but regards any suggestion that they might be of use somewhat as the lazy wood-satwer did the advice that he should sharpen his saw. "I should think I had a hig enough jol to cut up all this wood," he replied petmlantly, "without stopping to sharpen saws." The librarian of yesterday has trouble enongh in collecting and tabulating his statistics without stopping to use them-to make any deductions from them-to learn where the library machine is failing and where he
shouid use the wrench or the oil can. All these things and many others make it easier for the overworked librarian to drop back into yesterday, or the day before. It should be borne in mind, however, that the difference between the three types of librarian is not so much difference in the amount of work done as it is in attitude of mind. The librarian of to-day does not necessarily expend more energy than the librarian of day before yesterday-but it is expended in a different direction and with a different object. It is to be feared that some librarians of small libraries allow themselves to become discomaged after reading of the great things that have been accomplished by large institutions with plenty of money to spend-the circulation of millions of books yearly, the purchase of additions by the tens of thousands, the provision of exhibitions for the children, the story-telling by professionals, the huge collections on special subjects, technology, art or history. It almost seems as if success were simply a matter of spending and as if without money to spend, failure should be expected as a matter of course.

On the contrary, all that the money does is to make possible success on a large and sensational scalewithout the proper spirit and the proper workers the result might be failure on a scale quite as sensational. And an enthusiastic spirit, a high aim and unflagging energy - these are things that no mones can buy and that will hring success on the small scale as on the large one.

We are fortunate - we who have charge of libraries and are trying to do something worth while with them-that there is perhaps less of the spirit of pure commercialism among us than among some other classes of workers. For this, in part, we have to thank onr inadequate salaries. Persons who desire to work
simply for the material rewarl will select some other field. We are glad to get our reward-we certainly earn it; but I renture to say that in the case of most of us there is also something in the work that appeals to us. And that something is the thing that, pushed to its furthest extent, will bring the darn of to-day into the most hackward libary. It is not a very in spiring thing simply to sit down and watch a pile of hooks-hardly more so, I shond think, than to take care of a pile of bricks or a load of turnips. Interest, enthusiasm, inspiration, come with realization of the fact that every one of those hooks has a mission and that it is the librarian's business to find what it is and to see that it is performed. In the large, wealthy institution this duty may be accompanied by the expenditure of rast sums, and may be performed with the aid of things that only large sums of money can huy; in the small library there may be but a single librarian and only a few dollars to spend. But, just as in the case of a city librarian with an ample salary, she has open to her the choice of those three types of librarianship-the day hefore yesterday, yesterday and to-day.

And how about the librarian of to-morrow? Perhaps it may be as well to leave him or her for future consideration; but I cannot help saying just a word May it not be that in the days to come we shall have enough civic pride to do whatever we may find to do -in our libraries or anywhere else, not with onr eyes fixed only upon the work itself, important as that may he, lint with the broader viewpoint of its effer upon the whole commmity" May it not be that this librarian of to-morrow will ask not, "Will it raise my circulation?" or even "Will it improve the quallity of my reading?" but "Will it better the reading that is done in this community?" That librarian will not rejoice
that his library circulation of good novels has dropped, when he realizes that twice as many bad novels are bought and read outside. He will be pleased that the children in his library have learned to wash their hands, but chiefly because he hopes that what they have learned may react upon the physical cleanliness -and perhaps on the moral cleanliness, too-of the community. Much as he will love the library, he will love it as an areney for the improvement of the commmity in which he lives and works, and he will do nothing for its aggrandizement, expansion or improvement that involves a change of the commmity in the opposite direction. We shall not see one library rejoicing because it has enticed away the users of some other library ; we may even see a library rejoicing that it has lost its readers in Polish history, we will say, when it becones known that they have gone to another library with a better collection in that subject.

I confess that I am looking forward to the day when we shall take this riew-when the adage "Ever.: man for himself and the devil take the hindmost" may be forgotten among institutions in the same town. The policy that it represents makes for high speed, perhaps, but not for solidarity. In a fight such as we are waging with the forces of ignorance and indifference we should all keep shoulder to shoulder. This is why the librarian should say: "I am a citizen; nothing in this city is withont interest to me." That is why he should be a librarian of to-day, and why he may even look forward with hopefulness to the dawn of a still better to-1uorrow.

## SCHOOL LIPRARIES AND MENTAI, TRAININ(

Is it more important in education to impart definite items of information or to train the mind so that it will know how to acquire and wish to acquire? To ask the question is to answer it; yet we do not always live up to our lights.

In the older methods the teacher, or rather his predecessors, decided what it would be necessary for the child to memorize, and then he was made to memorize, while still without appreciation of the need of so doing. We are perhaps in danger today of going to the other extreme. We require so little memorization by the student that the memory, as a practical tool of everyday life, is in danger of falling into disuse. It is surely possible for us to excreise our pupils' memories, to develop them, and to control them, without giving them the fatal idea that memory is a substitute for thought, or that the assimilation of others' ideas, perfect though it may be, will altogether take the place of the development of one:s own. There are still things that one must learn by heart, but since they must be retained below the threshold of conscionsness, it is well that if possible they should also bee acouired below that threshold. The prob)lem of conscionsly learning a quantity of items of any kind and then relegating them to one's subonsciousness in such a way that they will be available at any given time is not, of course, impossible. Most of us have at our disposal many facts that we have learned in this way; but I veuture to assert that most of us have lost a large proportion of what we thus
acquired. Now a man never learns by rote the namea of his relations, the positions of the rooms in his house, the names of the streets in his town. He has acquired them subconscionsly as he needs them. When the human mind becomes convinced of the need of information of this kind "in its business," the acquiring comes as a matter of course. In a language, the paradigms may be learned unconsciously when the pupil sees that they are necessary in order to understand an interesting passage; the multiplication table and tables of weights and measures require no conscious memorization; or at least such memorization may be undertaken voluntarily as a recognized means to a desired end. I say these things may be done; I am sure that they are in many schools; I am equally sure that they were mheard of in my own boyhood; that is, as recognized methods in teaching. Of course, in spite of schools and teachers and methods, a vast amount of information and training has always been acquired in this way. I do not remember ever "learning to read" as a set task. I am sure that none of my children ever did so. We recognized the desirability of knowing how. We wanted to learn, and so we learned; that is all. Of conrse our teachers and parents and friends helped us along.

Is not this what the school is for-to make the pupil anxious to learn and then to help him? When all schools are conducted on this principle, we shall be very happy, but apparently it is not so simple as it would appear.

What we should try to approximate, at all events, is an emancipation from the thraldom of unwillingness on the part of the pupil-to bring it about that he shall desire to learn and will take what measures he can to do so, gladly availing himself of what help we can offer him.

I have : did that what we need is to stimulate the pupil's desire and then to satisfy it. I have known teachers who were competent to do both-who could take an ignorant, mwilling pupil and make of him an enthusiast, thirsting for knowledge, in a few weeks. We all know of the ideal miversity whose facolty consisted of Mark Hopkins on ome end of a log. I am sorry the creator of that epigram put his teacher on a log. There are plenty of logs, and, from this fact, too many persons, I am afraid, have leaped to the conclusion that there are also plenty of Mark Hopkinses. I fear that one trouble with educators is that, hitching their wagons to stars, they hare assumed the possibility that terrestrial lmminaries also are able to raise us to the skies. If we had a million Mark Hopkinses and a million hoys for them to educate, we should need only a sufficient quantity of loge; wa should be forever absolved from planing schoolhouses and making out schedules, from writing textbooks and establishing libraries. As it is, we must. do all these things. We must adopt any and all devices to arouse and hold the pupil's interest, and we must similarly seek out and use all kinds of machinery to satisfy that interest when once aroused. Of these devices and machines. the individual teacher. with or without his texthooks, lectures, recitations, laboratory work, and formal courses, is mly one, and perhaps in some cases not the one to be preferred as the primary agent. Among such devices I believe that a collection of books, properly selected, disposed, and used can be made to play a very important part. hoth in arousing interest in a sulbject and in satisfying it-in other words, in teaching it properly.

And first let us see what it may do to stimmlate a gencral interest in knowledge. Of late I have seen cropping out here and there what seems to me a ped-
anogical heresy-the thesis that no kind of training is of value in fitting the pmpil for anything but the definite object that it has in view. We can, according to this view, teach a boy to argue about triangles, but this will not help, him in a legal or hasiness discussion. We may teach him to solve equations, and he will then be an equation-solver-mothing else. We may teach him to read (Greek and he will then be some sort of a (ireek scholar, but his reaction to other attempts to teach him will not he affected. Anything like a general traming is a contradiction in terms. If this is true, a great part of what I am saying is foolish, lut I do not beliere it. Doultless we have exaggerated the cffect of certain kinds of training. The old college graduate who, having been through four years of Latin, (ircek, and mathematics, "onsidered limself able with slight additional training, to modertake to practice law or medicine or manage a parish, was probably too samguine. Yet I refuse to believe that a man's brain is so shat off in knowl-edge-tight compartments that one may exercise one part of it withont the slightest effect on the others. I camot now write with my toes, but I am sure that I could learn to do so much more quickly because I know how to use my fingers for the purpose.

And it is indubitable, I think, that the best general preparation for mental activity of whatever kind is contact with the minds of others-early, late, and often. It tones up all one's reactions-makes him mentally stronger, quicker, and more accurate. Some children get this at home, where there is a numerous family of persons who are both thoughtful and mentally alert. Some meet at home, besides members of the family, visitors who add to the variety of their contacts. Few get it in school, with much variety. And it is fntile to expect most of our children to get it anywhere directly from persons. This being the
case, it is wonderfally fortmate that wo have so many of the recorded somls of homath beings between the covers of books. With them mental contalets maly be mmmerons, wide, and easy. To interest a man in a stretrlo of country take him up to a height whence he may werlook it. There is a patels of woods, there a hill, there is a winding stream. He will see in imagination the wild flowers muler the trees, the windswept rocks behind tha hill, the tront in the streatm. He will womder, too, what mamasined things there may be and he will long to timl ont. 'To interest at pupil in a subjeret turn him loose in a loon rontaining a humbred books abont it. Ha will browse about, finding a dozen things that he molerstands and a humdred that he does mot. He will get surb a birdeseye view that his stimulated imagination will lomg for (roser açualatance. Sull if yon want to interest him in the world of ideas in general, thrn him loose in a general library. The things that he will get are not to he ascertained by an examinations. They are intangilne, but their rosults are mot.

In an illuminating article on the events just preceding the present European wiar, Irofessor Munroe Smith holds that it was precipitated chiefly by bring. ing to the front at every step military rather than diplomatic considerations. The tronble with military men, he says, is that they, take no account of "im-ponderables"-by which he means public opinion, national feeling, injured pride, joy, grief-all those things, intellectual and emotional, that (anmot bexpressed in terms of men, guns, supplies, and military position. I have been wondering whether some other technically trained persons-educators, for instance, do not tend toward a similar neglect of imponderables, measuring educatiomal values solely in terms of hours, and units, and the passing of examinations. It is a fault common to all highly trained sperialists.

The Scripture has a phrase for it, as for most things - "ye neglect the weightier matters of the law-judgment and faith." These, you will note, are to be classed with I'rofessor Munroe Smith's "imponderables," whereas mint, anise, and cummin are commercial products.

It least one noted educator, William James, did not make this error, for he hids us mote that the emotional "imponderable"--though he does not use this word-possesses the priceless property of mulocking within us misuspected stores of energy and placing them at our disposal. "I thank ther, Roderick, for the word," salys Fitz-Ianes in "The Lady of the Lake" : "it nerves my heart; it steels my sword." One would hardly expect to find educational psychology in Srott's verse, but here it is. The word that Roderick Dhu spoke (I forget just what it was, but I think he (alled his rival a bad name) unlocked in FitzTames an unexpectord store of reserve encrgy, and the result, as I recall it, was quite mortmate from the Gaelic point of riew. We cannot afford to neglect the imponderables ; and it is their presence and their influence that are fostered by a collection of books. If you will add together the weight of leather, paper, glue, thread, and ink in a book you will get the whole weight of the volume. There is naught ponderable left; and yet what is left is all that makes the thing a book-all that has power to influence the lives and souls of men-the imponderable part, fit for the unlocking of energies.

I would not have you think, although I believe this to be at bottom a matter of principles, that it is not possible to apply these principles very directly and concretely in the daily practice of an educational institution. I desire to call your attention for a moment to the testimony of one who has had great experience and practice in the administration of a
collection of books in such an institution and in their use for the purposes already outlined-Mr. Frederick C. Hicks, assistant librarian of Columbia Üniversity, New Lork City, from whose recent review article ont this subject I propose to quote a few paragraphs. Mr. Hicks is writing primarily of college instruction, but, as he notes in the first paragraph that I shall quote, what he says applies with equal cogeney to the secoudary school. He writes:

The general tendency in all instruction today, including even that in preparatory and high schools, is from what may be called the few-book method to the many-book method-a recognition of the power of the printed page for which librarians have always stood sponsor. The lecture, note-taking, text-book and quiz method of mstruction is iast passing away in undergraduate as well as in graduate study: Textbooks are still in use in madergraduate and Master of Arts courses, but they have been relegated to a subordinate position. Emphasis is laid on work done and the assimilation of ideas gathered from many sources rather than upon memorizing the treatise oi one author. Necessarily, references are chietly to easily accessible works of secondary authority, and reading instead of research is the objective.

From the library point of view, the growth of the laboratory or case method oi instruction appears to be an independent phenomenon. It should be noticed, however, that coincident with it i, the general tendency to adopt a policy of teaching each subject with emphasis on its relations to other subjects.

Most universities now give courses for which no textbook is available. For instance, Professor Frederick J. Turner, of Harvard University, announces in a syllabus of 116 pages that there is no textbook suitable for use in his course on the History of the West in the United States. He thereupon gives citations to about 2,100 separate readings contained in 1,300 volumes, and says that his course requires not less than 120 pages of reading per week in these books. Professor James Harvey Kobinson's course in Columbia University on the History of the Intellectual Class in Western Europe has no textbook, and the reading for a class of 150 students is indicated in a pamphlet of 53 pages, containing references to 301 books. Illustrations could be taken from almost any subject in the university curriculum.

This is essentially a teacher's view. Listen now to that of a public librarian, Mr. John Cotton Dana, of Newark, New Jersey. He says:

In our high schools we spend literally millions of dollars to equip laboratories, kitchens, carpenter shops, machine shops, ant what not, to be used by a small part of the pupils for a small part of the short school day. This is partly because so to do is the fashion of the hour, partly also because the products of work in those shops.
kitchens, and laboratories can be seen, touched, and handled, are real things even to the most unintelligent.

For books, the essential tools of every form of acquisition, we spend, outside of textbooks, a few paltry thousands. The things a child makes we can see, and we are impressed by them; the knowledge he gains, the power of thought he acquires-these cannot bc made visible and are not appreciated by the ignorant; they can only' be certified to by the teacher and demonstrated by the student's words and deeds as he goes through life.

Mastery of print is mastery of world-knowledge. Our young people do not have it. Surely they should be led to acquire it, and where better than in the high schools? To aid them in this acquisition the high schools should have ample collections of books, and these collections of books should become active teaching organisms through the ministrations of competent librarians.

Of all teaching laboratories, there is one which is plainly of supreme importance-that of books.

I trust that you are with me so far ; for I am about to make a further advance that experience teaches me is rery difficult, except for librarians. I am going to urge that your collection of books, when you have made it, be put in charge of one who has studied the methods of making the contents of books available to the reader-their shelving, physical preparation. classification, cataloguing; the ways in which to fit them to their users, to record their use, and to prevent their abuse. This means a trained librarian.

In all departments where expert knowledge and skill are necessary it is difficult to explain to a nonexpert the reasons for this necessity and exactly in what the expert knowledge consists. We are so accutomed to acrept the fact in rertain departments that it passes there without question. Unfortunately that is not the case with the selection and administration of a library. Most persons understand quite well that special training is necessary before one can practice law, or medicine, or engincering. No one would undertake to drive a motor car or even ride a bicycle without some previous experience; but it is quite nsual to believe that a collection of books may he administered and its use controlled by totally untrained and inexperienced persons-a retired clergy-
man, a broken-down clerk, a jauitor, perhaps. I once asked a young woman who came for advice about taking up library work what had inclined her toward that particular ocerpation. She was quite frank with me; she said: "Why, my father and mother didn't think I was rood for anything else." This estimate of the lihrary is byo means confined to the parents of would-he library workers. And ewen where it is recognized that some training and experience are necessary in atministering a large public institution, there is a lingering feeling that a comparatively small collection, like that in a school, needs no expert superrision. The fact that there are in a school plenty of experts in other lines seems to lave been not. without its effect on this attitude. "Why, Professor Smith is one of the best chemists in the state; Miss Jones is an acknowledged anthority on oriental histors ; do you means to tell me that either of them would not make a perfectly satisfactory lihrarian?" Which is something like saying, "Mrr. Rohinson is our foremost banker; should he not be able to superintend the dyeing department in a textile mill?" (Or, "Rer. Mr. Jenkins is om most eloguent pulpit orator; he ean surely rin the $2: 15$ express!"

Are my metaplors too violent? I think not. We are dealing here with imponderables, as I have said, but the most imponderable thing of all, and the most potent, is the human mind. To wield, concentrate, and control our battery of encrgies we want a correlated energy-one whose relations to them all are close and one who knows how to pull all the throttles, turn all the ralves, and operate all the mechanism that brings them into play. It takes two rears of hard work, nowadays, for a college graduate to get throngh a library sehool, and it should not be necessary to argue that during these two vears he is work-
ing hard on essentials and is assimilating material that the untrained man however able, camot possibly açuire in a few month's casual association with a library or from mere association with books, no matter how long or how intimate. You will pardon me, I am sure, some further quotation from Mr. Hicks's illuminating article. After calling our attention to the fact that the eflort to meet changing conditions in instruction is purely technical, he goes on:

The librarian stands in the position of an engineer to whom is presented a task which by the methods of his profession he must perform. Numerical growth, expansion, addition of new schools and new subjects, and the introduction of the laboratory method by which books are made actual tools for use, all mean to the librarian more books, larger reading-rooms and more of them, a large staff specialized and grouped into departments, the supervision of a complicated system, and capable business administration. These are all technical matters and are of sufficient magnitude to require all of the time and strength of those to whom they are entrusted.

In a reference library, open shelves, whether in department libraries or in the general library, require much high-grade library service. The reference librarian becomes a direct teacher in the use of books and gives constant assistance not merely in finding separate books but in dealing with the whole literature of a subject.

The whole development from the few-book method to the manybook method presupposes a system of reserve books. By this expression is meant the placing of a collection of books behind an enclosure of some kind from which they are given out by a library assistant for use in the room. The reserve collections, continually changing in accordance with the directions of instructors, are in reality composite textbooks.

The mere clerical work of maintaining an efficient reserve system is large, its success being dependent upon intelligent co-operation between the teaching faculty and the library, but it involves also a technical problem to be solved by the librarian. What relation does the number of copies of a given reserve book bear to its use? To put the question concretely, how many copies of a book are required to supply a class of 200 students, all of whom must read thirty pages of the book within two weeks?

1 like so much one of Mr. Hicks's expressions that I desire to emphasize it at the close of what I am saying. A library, used for teaching purposes in a sehool, is indeed, "a composite textbook." It insures contact with a composite instead of a single mind.

The old idea was that contact of this kind always resulted in confusion-in mental instability. There was a time when the effort was to protect the mind throngh life from any such unbalancing contact. The individual was protected from familiarity with more than one set of opinions-religions, political, social, philosophical, scientific. He was taught facts as facts and no emphasis was placed on the more important fact that there are degrees of certainty and points of view. The next step was to give the individual a free head after the formal processes of education had terminated. Getting out of college was like escaping from a box, where one had been shat up with Preslyyterians and Free Traders and Catastrophists and Hegelians-or their opposites, for the contents of all the boxes were not alike. Now, we set the hoy free when he enters college and we are bewiming to give him a little fresh air in the high seliool. Why not go back to the hegiming? Why not, at any rate, avoid the implication that there is the same backing behind all that we teach or tell." Some teachers. and some parents, hase made this pan suceed. One of them is Mr. H. K. Wialmsler, who writes in the Tolt" Revien (Washington. April. 1915), on "Поw I Tanght My Boy the Truth." Says he:

I pondered over these things, and determined that I would never tell a falsehood to my child; that I would tell him the truth upon every sulject, and that I would not evade or refuse to answer any question. I kept my resolution and have obtained most excellent results. The child doubted nothing I told him. He knew that as far as I was able I would reply truth fully to any question he might care to ask. In answering him I was always careful to qualify my statements thus: "This is so," "I believe so." "It is believed to be," "It is claimed to be," "Those who should know say," ctc. So he knew the basis from which I spoke. Throughout his life, when he was told anything that looked doultt ful, he would say, "I will ask father."

This plan is practicable from the childs earliest years. As soon as he learns to read we may begin to supplement it ly reference to original documents.

This means a library at the very beginning, and at high sehool age it means a large library. It need not all be in the school. In the smallest towns there are now respectable public collections; the school may confine itself to the subjects in its own curriculum. Lint whatever we do, let us not teach the child, with the implication of equal authority, that twice two is is four, that material bodies are composed of molecules, and that the Tories in the Revolution were all bad. Tell him that there are other aspects, if they exist, and as soon as he is able let him examine those aspects. He will be able far sooner than some of us are willing to admit.

We librarians feel somewhat strongly on this matter hecause our own institutions possess by their very nature that form of neutrality that exposes both sides without advocating either. It seems to be assumed by some persons that neutrality means ignorance. Of comse, ignorance is one methof of insuring it. If a fary story opens with the announcement that the King of Nowaria is at war with the Prince of Sumboddia, you ramot take sides until you know something about the quarrel. The tronble is that we do not live in fairyland. In my home city the school authorities have heen trying to cultivate this kind of nentrality by cantioning principals not to discuss the European war with their pupils. What is the result? One of my branch librarians says in a recent report: "I have been greatly interested by the fact that the high-school boys and girls never ask for anything about the war. Not once during the winter have I seen in one of them a spark of interest in the subject. It seems so strange that it should be necessary to keep them officially ignorant of this great war because the grandfather of one spoke French and of another, German." With this I thoroughly agree. I am not sure
that I do not prefer a thorongh and bigoted partisanship to this nentrality of ignorance. Hetter than both is the opportunity for free investiration with renlightened gruidanre. The public library offers the opportunity for the fullest alld freest contact with the minds of the world. We try to give ernjance, also, as we ran ; but we have not the opportunities of your teathers. (inidance is your business and your high privilece; and if some of you have in the past grided as the jailer eruides his prisoners-for a walk around the prison yard with ball and chain-let us be thankful that this oppressive view is giving place to the freer idea of a guide as a commselor and friend. Such guidance means intellectual freedom. Freedom means choice, and choice implies a rollection from which to choose. This means a library and the school library is thus an indispensable tool in the hands of those teachers to whom education signifies neutral training, the aronsing of nentral enereies, and a control of the inponderables of life-those things without physical weight which yet connt more in the end than all the masses with which moleconlar physices has to deal.

## THE LIBRARY AND THE BUSINESS MAN*

The elertricians have a word that has always interested me-the word and the thing it signifies. It is "hysteresis," and it means that quality in a mass of iron that resists mationtization, so that if the masnetizing forer is a moving one the magnetism always lags a little belime it. We see this guality in many other plates besides magnetic hodies- the almost miversal temdency of efferets to lag behind their caluses. I like to watch it in the pepmlar mind-the failure to "cateh on" quickly-the appreceiation that comes just. a little after the thing to be appreciated. Lage evererwhere, in apprehension, in knowledge, in the realization of a situation. Everywhere hysteresis. Of course, sometimes the lag is areat and sometimes it is slight. It may be alfered by phesical distame as when the European thinks that Indians camp in the suburbs of I'ittshurg and that the ditizens of Indianapolis lome the buftalo of all weming or it may he a function of mental distance. as when the Wall street financier fondly imagines that this comotry is still populated chicily hy lambs, as it madoubtedly was fifty years ago. I like to watch it as it affeets the idea of the publice libuary as some people lowd it. Now of comser, withont progress, chathere, motion of some kind. there could be no lag. In a permanent magnet there is no hysteresis. If the Indians and the bulfalo were still with us, the European would he thinking the truth. If we had not learmed that the gold-brick and the greent goorls were fratuds, we could still be fleeced. And if linaries were still what the were fifty years

[^14]ago, there would be no lag in the ideas that some people hold about them. Libraries have changed. Some of you know it and some of you do not. Libraries have changed in the kind of printed matter that they collect and preserve; in the kind of people to whom they make their appeal; in the way in which they try to make the former arailable to the latter. They have ntterly changed in their own conception of their status in the community, of what they owe to the community and how they ought to go about it, to pay the debt.

The old library was first and foremost a collection of material for seholars; the new is for the busy citizen, to help him in what he is busy about, to make it possible for him to do more work in less time. It has taken some time for the library to see itself in this light, but it has taken the great body of our citizens still longer to recognize and act on the change-else I should not be talking to you to-day abont the library and the business man. The modern library is concerned, much more largely than the old, with contemporary relations, with what is hapening and what is just going to happen. It sympathizes with the men who do things. It tries to let them know what is going on about thom, and to assist them in what they are attempting-whether it be to achieve a world-wide peace or to devise a new non-refillable bottle.

The libraly has placed itself in a position where it can do this better than any other institution, for it is essentially non-partisam. Probably it is our only non-partisan institution. Mr. Bryan's impartial government newspaper has not yet printed its first number. The school must take sides, for its deals solely with children. The library alone can store up material on all sides of every mooted question and offer it to him who reads, without in any way taking sides itself. It may run the risk of misconception. We

## LIBRARY AND THE BUSNNESA MAN ンTl

had a big exhibit of war pictures last year. The Pacifists protested. It was very dreadful, thay satid, w see a lihnary cmemraging the militaristio spirit. This year we have a peace exhibit-prepared hy the linion Against Militarism. The Prepareduess people are horrified. They hate to see a library sidling with those who would drag our country in the dust of hmmitiation. The trouble with all these goord people is just hysteresis-hag. It may have beron fifty vears ago that a portrait of a monarela in a library meant that the institution was for !im, berly and soul. Now it mealls simply that he is an interesting contemperaly
 Wilson doing something diserateful does not imply on our part detestation of the president, hat only at willingless to let the publie see a good bit of draw. ing om perhatis to show them low some part of the commmity is thinking and feeling. It is all a part. of our efforts at up-to-dateness-our strugerles th brush off the dust and sweep alway the cobwehs of medievalism.

As an incident of these struggles, we have discovered the existence of the Business Man. We have tried to find out what he is driving at and to help al little-to stock the kind of information that lie wants and to help him grat at it. An obstacle in the way has been the fact that much of what her wants is to be obtained best from material that the older libararies knew nothing of and would hase despised had they known it-partly, printed matter that had hat existence in those days, like the hage trade cataloge and the informative rallway folder ; partly matorial that was ignored berease it had no comnection with scholarly pursuits-time tallese, statistical sehomales, directories, lists of mames and addresses, commerrial publications, manc, information regarding trade-
routes and conditions. If the seholan of fifty years aso wanted to be set right about a direek preposition or to find tike color of Hemry VIls hair, he knew where to go : the libary was the proprer and ine vitable place for such data. He hershed the dust from a pile of books and procereded to look them up. But if he wanted to know the quickest way to ship goods to Colombo, Ceylon, or the comparative exports of coreals from Kussia during the last decade, or the design of the latest machine for efferting a given result, did he go to the library? Remember that this is supposed to be fifty years ago. I am afraid I must confess that I don't know where he went. I fear that in most cases be didn't go at all, for busimess men as well as libraries have grown in the last half century-but I am quite sure that he went mowhere mear the library.

The reason was that printed information of this kind rither did not thein exist or was thonght improper for collection by a scholarly institution. If anyone had asked for it I know what the libarian would have said, for the same thing is occasionally still said by librarians, and I hear it at depratment stores and everywhere else where there is distribution of objects necessary to our lives. They would have sald"There has been no demand for it, so we don't need to keep it." Demand for it! Of comse not. Is there any demand for fish in a sand-bank or for free-trade argmments in a stand-pat Republican newspaper? Jeople go for things where they know the things are to be found; and they knew well fifty years ago that none of these things wore to be found in a hibrary. The sad thing is that althe the libraries have reformed, hysteresis is still getting in its deadly work. There is a lag of apprehemsion and apprectation among our business men, many of whom think the libary is still the same old dusty, cohwobly institution of 1850.

Take my word for it, it is mot. It stocks all the things that the librarian used contemptumsly to call biblia abiblia-books that are mo books-aty directorimes hy the lumdred, trade matjs, commererial information, trade catalogs, advertising folders, rallay amomber ments, humdreds of thinge that will answer the duestions that every hasiness man Wants, or onght to want, to know. We, or ally other lihary, may not have predisely what you want. Weare not yet perfect and we have much to learn. But we are buying and putting at the business mam"s disperal the kind of material that will help him in his hisiness.

The mondaru library is democratic, mot antereatic. It does not hamd you down a volmme from a rery high shelf and tell you that is exactly what you want and you mustu't ask for allything obse. It salys: we are the agents of a co-operative concern. For comvenience sake, just as in the casse of the public schools, you conclude to tax rourselves to maintain a public (onllertion of hooks, instead of having to form private collections of your own, smallem and vastly more expensive Wo are in rommmacation with arey one of you by telephone. The madhene for whel you haw paid is all ready to work-stoked and reamed and oiled. Why don't you press the button? Those who don't are just suffering from lysteresis-lag of apprehension. They think the library is what it was in 1850. They are hehind the times.

Am I not afram that if all the hasimess ment should press the hatton at oure the library would be swamped? There would be a little swearing at first, I featr. But ultimately there would be a reatization that a libary lmilt and stor kon and mamed to serve prohaps 50 hasiness men at oner ramot
 lequistatme: we shombl hate the neeresary fumbe amd
in short order we should be serving our 5000 as smonthly as we served our int.

Now let us get down to something concrete. Just what information are we prepared to give to business and industrial houses? Here are some actual questions asked lately and answered in our reference de-partments-many of them by telephone:
The uses of lye in baking powder.
History and development of the plow.
Substitute for such commercial products as dyes, sealskin, fertilizers, etc.
Receipts for preparing in the wholesale manner mustard and saladdressing, and ior bottling olives.
Methods of installing a refrigerating plant:
Addresses of the manufacturers of toys in the United States.
How far from the curb may vehicles be parked in St. Louis.
Names of manufacturers of bottled buttermilk.
Dates of traffic legislation in England.
Names of the officers of the Wabash R.R.
How to calculate the depreciation in shop fittings in taking inventory:
Change in prices in Wall Street for the last year.
History of speculation in the 16th century.
Examination of the State Board of Pharmacy relating to the laws of the State of Missouri on the sale of narcotics.
Pictures for advertising posters, such as "a Pullman porter," "Hops," used in a Bevo ad.
"Two dogs playing" for the title-page of a piece of music entitled "Puppy love."
Designs for book-covers, posters, letter-heads, by the million.
I think I hear someone say-"Do you call that library work" One man at a telephone and a pile of circulars at the other end?" Yes. I do; didn't I tell you that liharies had changed? When Archbishop (xlemon first visited our new lmilding, he walked into the magnificent central hall and, looking around him said: "Where are the books?" The books were all in their places, but they were not in the delivery hall. The hooks in a library are guite as important as ever. There conld be no lihary without them. They are the library. Put we are laying more and more emphasis om the man beltind the book. In nine cases ont of tem be is a woman, and increasingly often he
is at the emd of a telephome wire. Wie find that ine

 times it sames our :assistant from hearing all about the business man:s last attark of sciatian. Not always; for sufferers hase beed kiow to seek s.matatherem bey telephone. The more the? du it, the more tronk lines we have to pay fors, so the telophome company doesn"t mind.

Rint it is from that in meeting the hasimes. manis needs the library is assimilating itself more amb more to a huge information hureall. This is the calse experially at oum Mmaicipal Reforence liranch in the City Hall, where we hate few books, properly so called, many reports, pamphlets and clippinge, poperly indexed, and a great deal of mammeript material, gathered by eorespondence in answer to pureries and wating for more gheres on the same suldert.

It matteres little whether what rom want is bound between (overs, or slipped into a paluphlet case, or slipped into a manila empelope; it really matters little whether it is printed at all, sol lomg as it is indexed so that it ran be fombl inickly. Wra may perhatps look forwand to the day when all the homend berks in the library will be for home hse and will give infonmation at seemed hamd, tom late for the besiness man to act promptly on it. The real someres of up to date knowledge will he, as they often are mow, manuscript letters, circulars, mewspaper rlippings and trade catalogs. With their inevitable inder they form : huge ellewelopedial, absolutely up to date.

 do for rome prate libary where the skilful : went has induced ren to put it. lant it is wothless in the finsiness lanise collection. exerept on the rate wera-
sions when he wants the life of Epictetus or the location of the Dohrudja. For the Business Man we want this morning's material. Shall we deny it, collectively, the name of a libary just becanse the bookhinder has not been at work on it, and in many cases will never get the chance?

Not that the Business Man may not read books if he wants them-books on commerce, the industries, transportation, salesmanship, advertising, accomoting. He may have them sent to his home if he likes, with no more trouble than sitting down agatin to his telephone. We nse Uncle Sam's mossenger service-his parcel post. The only annoving thing about it is that he will not deliver (.O. D. and we are accordingly foreed to ask for a postage deposit in adrance-anything you choose, from the postage on one book one way to several dollars. We will notify you when the money is used up. This combination of telephone and parcel post seems to me the ideal of library service when yon can mane the book yon want and don't care to be merely browsing along the shelves. If the book is ont, you will be put on the waiting list and will get it antomatically when your turn comes. Why does not every citizen of St. Louis avail himself of this easy service? Hysteresis, I suppose; thinking of the old library of 1850 and neglecting that of 1917 . Or perhaps it is that provoking little advance payment. Pay beforehand may be a poor paymaster, bont those who work with Thele Sam have to make his acquaintance.

So much for the information to be obtained from the library by business men. Yon are advertising men. Your business is the dissemination of information. Your boast is that it is your business to tell the truth, and I belice it. How can the Library help you tell it? Well-I helieve the Library to be the

## 

Greatest pmblicity field in the world - larerely a virgin field, for sou mest, like evervondy else. hawe got the hysteresis-you are sulfering from hoain lar-mot brain face. Von think the library is bate where it wats in 18.) any same man would or for pmble ity. It was : place to hide. 'They tell the story of a lihatry in lhilarlelphia, a heatiful old mansolemm, Where ala 心s
 for there datis before the police fommd him. Wre don"t rovet that reputations. 'The momeral librarer, I repeat, is the very hest pmblicity field in the world. V'irst, as we hate sedr, it is alsolately nom-partisall. If you get four publicity material into the library it is be(:anse the library thinks it is good for something, not becanse fom lave some kind of a pull. Next, the people who freguent the library are intelligent. Publicity there is like that obtained from al hagh-elass periodical: it is oilt-edged. Last and not least, thr publicity wiven hy the library is incodental. It acrepts your publicity material and makes it avalalale, mot becanse it wants to boom vour product at the expense of some other, but becamse it thinks that vour material eontalns something of value to the business mant. In most cases its pmblicity is wemeral, mot sereafic. Yom know that splemdid Eastman ad-"Therers a photograplare in your town." That makes athrill ram down my spine whenever I ser it, just as Tselatikossky's Nixtlı symphony does of Homerés description of
 —it is a product of gemins.
 Why comldu"t we hate seen it before? For the same reason that we cant all write plass like shakespearess


smith：whef inleat of adrertisin！e was to tell what trask Jonne was making athl Joness to assure prople that nothiug eroed eomblame out of simith＇s store． What was the result？The same that indued the darky to say after he hat heard the political orators： －If bofe dese fellers tells de trufe，what a pail of ras． rals they mast he．．＂The net effect was to put people＇s minds on the worthlessmess of the pronluct．instead of its excellence．Xintadays simith and Jones are get－ tine torethor．aren if the haren＇t been gobblen up by the Trinst，and are assurines people that shoes are good thinge to hare－that we ought to wear more of them； more kinds and better qualits．The result is to fix the public mind on the exerflence of shoes and both smith and Jones sell more of them than under the old methoul．The librares is willing to hoom shoes for sous． and labor－saring machinere，and food－prodncts，and textiles and seeds，and lighting and heating derices． It dues this to some extent without your comperation． be the books that it places on the sholses：hut no one who knows will go to a book for mp－to－late informa－ tion of this sort．If you Want a description of the very latest device for any purpose， 2 ，to the publicity material of the concern that makes it．

We trust to you addmen and your eampaign for trutl！in adrertising，that it is no falke．Here is where fou can help us and help your clients hy so doing． We stock asery hit of soond，informative pullicity that we tall find．We miss much of it．You can help us get it all．Four chents mill mot more public－ ity and hettor publicits for mothing than the have often bomgh for hmodreds of dollars．Perhaps it is another effect of hersteresis that makes nes afraid of anything that is offered free．You remmber the stors of the man who all dar long，on a bet，offered sover－

## LIBRARI AND THE BCSINEぶ MAN こで：

eions masuccessfully in exthangre for shillings on Lom－ don Sridge．

If we were allowed to rhatere for onr privilueres I believe we conld turn onrselves into almonelonaking institution on this count of publicity alone．I helieve that it would be profitable for pulbishers to pray us for putting their books on our shelves．If we elatred for the space we are giving to trable（alalogs，cireulars and other pmblicity material the issuers． 1 am sure， would not wait for us to ask for what they print．We havo heen trying for several frars to get framed pir－ tures of St．Lonis imdustroies to han！in our Business amd Industrial Koom．If we had asked sjo per，for the privilege of msing spare on the walls of a puble institution 1 ：an sure we conld hale had it．But since We offer that spatce absolntaly free of chatron－a sor－ ereign for a shilling－we rant get what we want．

This is special publicity too，mot wemeral．Theres are some other cases where something aloont a piece of special publicity makes it so valnable to ms that we display it，letting the adreotiser erpt his advallatre as al side issue．Within the last few years we hawe put uf boldly in our art room，hig whring foster ads of
 one of you have extorted from an alvertisere if yon hand made him beliere that rou had some kind of a pull that would enable you to plac：urd his waldes not on smithos fence or Jomes̊ barro，lut actmally on the in－ side of the St．Lonis Public Library？Now these post ers were displayed，of comse，not as inducements to smoke Fatimas or to drink Natamet，lint beranse they Wrere good and interesting commeredal ant．Wre beliowo that more people sere the art on the femeos than that in the Art Museum，and We wiant to do omb part．

late, as I think you will acknowledge. But answer me this: was not that valuable publicity for these products? Will not the knowledge that similar pablicity may a wait the mannfacture who gets out a good poster, work out to the advantage of all concerned?

You know those articles in System, of course, telling what the writer would do if he were an undertaker, or a droggist, or a farmer. Well, if I were an addman 1 would get up an exhibition of St. Lomis-made commerial art, advertising St. Louis products, and offer it to the Public Library. We will display it, our only condition in each case being that it is artistically worth display. Your clients will have their products advertised gratis, in a place where space could not be bought for a million dollars a square foot. You will gain in reputation as a man who puts over big things: we shall get an interesting display of commercial art, and better than all else, an impulse will have heen given toward improved quality in the poster art of St. Louis. This is only one instance of the fact, which I believe to be a fact, that there is almost no kind of advertising that camnot be done in a live, modern public lihrary, if one only goes the right way about it. Many go about it quite the wrong way, and do not succeed.

We do not assist Mrs. Smith to get piano pupils by placing on our bulletin boards a scrawled announcement. We are not willing to distribute by the million, small dodgers amonncing that Jones's clotheswringers are the best. We do not allow Robinson to lecture in one of our assembly rooms in order to form a class in divine healing from which he, and he alone, will profit.

Publicity furnished ly us must be incidental, as I have said; or it must be general, but I believe it to

## LIBRARY AND THE BUSINESS MAN シ̊i

be all the more effective for this, and 1 invite your attempts to make more frequent and better hise of it in such ways as 1 have sugesested. Sthdy the business and industrial material in mur Applied sidenere Room, or the commercial art material in our Art Room. Examine the collection of tratvel folders on display in our delivery hall. Sere our bulletin of daty attractions in st. Louis, entered months ahead when we can get the information--ind see whether you do not agree with me.

Now let me remind you that you are paying for all this service, whether you make nse of it or not. Lou are members of the best club in sit. Louis. I don't mean the Advertising Mens: Club, good as that is; I mean the hibrary (lub). The taxgatherer colleets the dues: if you are not a taxpayer you pay just the same, the burden being passed along to yon in some of the many ways familar to eronomists. The dues amonnt to about three cents a month for each inhal)itant of St. Louis-not excessive. The club has the finest club house in the city, the most comfortable reading and study rooms, the tinest and most useful hooks, the most intelligent and helpful attendants. lou may have to belong to other chubs that you do not use; this, at least it would be folly to neglect.

## POETS, LIBRARIES ANI) RFALITTES*

We are met to dedicate a temple of the look on the birthday of a man who did more than any other American, perhaps, to bring the book to the hearts of the masses. All poetry, all song, begins with the people, in the mouths of humble singers. Elaboration, refinement, mintelligent imitation, carry them both away from popular appreciation, until finally someone like James Whiteomb Riley brings them hack. Great poetre is always about familiar things. Homeric epics tell of the kind of fighting that every Greek knew at first hand. The shepherds and shepherdesses of the earliest pastorals were the everyday workers of the fields. It was onls at a later day the epic and pastoral grew artificial becalnse the poets did their best to keep then mehanged while the things of which they told had passed away. Only when the poets forget the stilted symbols which oner were real and diseover that they themselves are surrounded by realities worthy of rerse does poetry again hecome popular. It is this phenomenon that we are witnessing today.

Everyone who has had occasion to keep in tomeh with popular taste will tell you that the increased love for poetry shown in the publication of verse, the purchase of it, the study of it, the demand for it at public libraries, is nothing less than astomndine. That this represents any sudden change in the pub) lie, I cannot believe. The public has always loved verse. The child clants it in his games; he drinks it

- Address at the opening of the new building of the Indianapolls Publlc Library.
in greedily at his mother's knee. He begs for it, even when he camot understand it, just for the joy of its rhythm, its lilt. But when the great poets go to the abodes of the gods, or to regions as far away in esthetics or metaphysics, for their subjects, they carry their product beyond public appeal. When our great verse is all remote and the familiar things are left to folkfore and rag-time, then folk-lore and rag-time will monopolize public attention and fill the heart of the people. It is this feeling, on the part of many poets, that the familiar things of life are beneath their notice, that has made poetry so long mpopular. The freling is quite mujustified. All the great elemental things are also among the most familiar-birth, death, love, errief, joy, in hmman experience: in the onter world, day and night, winter and summer, storm, wind and flood. And affiliated with these are all the little everyday things of which Riley sings-the bathing urehins, the ragged farm hand, the old tramp, the little orphan girl with her tales of fright, the rabbit under the railroad ties. When the modern reader first read in verse about such things there was a rosh of red bood to the heart, with a recognition of the fact that verse had come down from Olympus to earth, and that after all, earth is where we live and that life and its emotions and events are both important and poetical.

I am not denying the poetry of romance, but we should remember that this too, has its roots in reality. Even the most imaginative works must be based, in the last analysis, on the real. Take for instance such works as Poe's. Poe despised realism. His best work is about half imagination and half form. Yet when he succeeds in rousing in us the mingled emotions of fear and horror on which so many of his effects depend he is msing for his purposes what was
once a defensive mechanism of the human organism, causing it to shrink from and avoid the real thingswild beasts, enemies, the forces of nature - that were striving continually to overwhelm and destroy it. Without the survival of this defensive mechanism of fear and horror, Poe's tales would have no dominion over the hman mind. In fact, the main difference between what we call realism and romanticism is that while hoth have their relations with the real facts of life, the facts on which romanticism depends are unfamiliar, distant and distorted. while realism deals with that which is near at hand and familiar. Kinights in armor, distressed damsels, donjon keeps and forests of spears were once as evergday atfails as aerophanes are now, or gas attacks, or the British tanks. These all have in them the dements of romance; and when they too have passed, as God grant they may, they will doubtless take their place in the equipment of the poetical romanticist. Not these realities that pass, but those that are with us always, are the ones that inspire verse like lileys.

Those who love to study group-psehology, and who realize that we have in the motion-picture andience one of the most wonderful places to ohserve it that ever has been vouchsafed to mortals, may see every night the hold that this kind of realism has over the popular mind. Armed losts may surge arross the screen, volcanoes may belch and catastrophe may be piled on catastrophe. The eares of the spectators may bulge and their months may gape, but they remain montoneled. But let a little dog appear with his tongue ont and his tail awarg let a small habe lie in its cradle and double up its tiny fists and yell, and at once yom have evidence that the picture has penetrated the skin of the house and got down to the quick. Homely realities make an appeal
that neither the knights in armor of the fourteenth century nor the tanks in armor of the twentieth are able to exert. Gilbert, who wrote many a truth in the guise of jest, never said a truer thing than when he made Bunthorne proclaim that in all Nature's works "something poetic lurks"-

Even in Colocynth and Calomel.
That is the poet's mission-to show us the poetry in the things that we had never looked upon as within poetry's sphere. Thes are all doing it now-Noyes, Maseficld and all the rest, and the public has risen at them as one man.

If James Whitcomb Riles were here today I should take him by the hand and say, "Beloved poet, you have known how to tonch the great heart of the people quickly and deeply. That is what we must all do, if we are to succeed. We librarians must do it if our libraries are to he more than paper and glue and leather. Teach us the way."

Our libraries are eloser, far closer, to the people tolay than they were fifty years ago. They can never get as close as an individual voice like Riley's, for they are a combination, not even a harmonious chorus, lint a jumble of sounds from all regions and all ages. Yet we must not forget that in every instrument of music there is a potential mass of discord. The skilled player selects his tones and prodnces them in proper sequence and rhythm; and lo! a sweet melody ! So the librarian may play upon his mass of books, selecting and grouping and bringing into correspondence his own tones and the receptive minds of his community, until every man sees in the library not a jumble hit a harmony, not a promoter of intellectual confusion but a clarifier of ideas. In some such fashion it is allowed him to get close to
the minds and hearts of his commmity as Riley did to his readers．

We are realizing today，we of the library world， that it is a poor instrument that yields but one tune， and a poor player who is able to produce only one． The librarians of the carly days were of this kind； so were their libraries．The the they played was the tume of scholarship－a graind old melody enongh，and yet with the right keyboard one may play not only fugues and chorals lout the waltz and eren the ome－ step．The scholar will find his refuge in this great huilding，but here also will be a multitude of func－ tions madreant of in the early library day－－the selec－ tion of literature for children and their supervision while they use it，co－operation with the sehools，the training of libura workers，the publication of lists and other libray aids，helpful cataloging and index－ ing，the provision of books and assistane for spectial classes，such as engineers，hasiness men or teachers， a staff and facilities for all kinds of extension work． filling the space aromod the lihrary as a magnets field of force surromds its material bodry．A modern library is a city＇s headquarters in its strife against． ignorance and inefticiency；its working fore is a den－ eral staff－books，ammmition for the fighter and food for the worker．

Of the poet I have said that his ability to gain the public ear and to reach the public heart is closely bound up with the pertrasal of realities．This is troue also of the library Every step of its prouress from al merely scholarly institution to a widely pop－ mar one has been marked by the introduction of more red hood，more real life，into its organism．The freduenter of the older libiary went there to find books on the pure sciences，on philosophy，in the
drama, in poetry. These we of today in no wise neglect, but we entertain also those who look for books on plumbing, on the manufacture of hats, shoes and (lothing, on salesmanship and cost accounting, on famping and tishing, on first aid to the injured, on the products of Sonoma county, California. Our assistants take over the telephone requests to furnish the population of Bulgaria, the average temperature of Nebraska in the month of Jme, plans for bungalows not to cost more than $\$ 1750$, pictures of the Winter P'alace in Petrograd, sixty picture postals of Baltimore for a reflectoscope lecture, a copy of a poem begiming " () beanteous day!" the address of the speaker's uncle who left Salem, Massachusetts, for the West twenty-six years ago. Everyone of these queries throbs with the red blood of reality. Few of them would have been considered within the library's scope fifty years ago. Books are written nowadays abont all such subjects, whereas in the earlier day the knowledge of these things and the ability to write of them did not reside in the same person. So the library's progress toward the realities is but the expression of that same progress in literature, using the word in its widest sense to signify all that may lurk between the covers of a book. The contemptuous name of biblin abiblia-books that are no bookswhich the earlier writers bestowed upon dictionaries, directories, indexes, lists and the like, is disregarded by the modern librarian. He prizes a list of all the grocers in the United States; he points with pride to his collection of hundreds of telephone directories; he has names galore in alphabetical array-indexes to phaces, persons, pictures, events and books. All these things are as much a part of his library as the Iliad of Homer or the dramas of Calderon.

But the librarian does not stop here. He con-
ceires that it is his duty to deal not only with books but with what we may call adjuncts to books-things which may lead to books those who do not readthings that may interpret books to those who read but do not read understandingly or apprectatively. Some of our hrothere heyond the seal have criticized us American librarims for the frectom-nay, the abandon-with which we have thrown ont selves into the searel for such adjuncts and the zeall with which we have striven to make use of them. It has heen our aim of late years, for instance, to make of the library a communty centerto do everything that will canse its nembors to feed that it is a place where they will be welcome, for whatever canse and that they may look to it for aid, sympathy and appreciation in whatever emergence. If the life of the commmity thas centers in the library, we have felt that the community camot fail ultimately to take an interest in the liharys contents and in its primary function. The branch libraries in many of our cities are such local centers. Here one may find the neighors romed about holding an exhibition of needlework, the children dancing, the young men debating questions of the day, the wo men's clubs discussing their programs, the local musical society rehearsing a cantata, Sunday schools preparing for a festival, the ward mecting of a political party. In one of our own branch libraries, in a well-to-do neighborhood, the librarian said to one of the young men at a social meeting, "I am curions to know why you come here Sou could all afford, I know, to rent a larger and better hall: or you could meet in four own homes." The foung man looked at her with surprise, "Why," he said. "we like this place We all grew up in this libary." I confess that this ane doter semds a little thrill of satisfaction
throu me every time I tell it. What could a librarian desire more than to have his neighborhood "grow up" in his library-to have the hooks as their room-mates-to feel that they would rather be in that one spot than any other? On what a point of vantage does this plate him! How much more readily will his neighbors listen to the good genius of a muchloved spot than to the keeper of a jail! Just here, of course, is the strong point of the so-called Gary system, whirch hats so much in common with omr modarn library ideas. Whatever may be its fanlts, it at least makes of the school what we librarians have longs sought to make of the library-a place that will le loved by its immates instead of loathed. This once gained there is hatrdy any result that we may not hrine about.

And now let us consider at least one thing more that we maly gain from this intimate contact with the life of the community around us.

Formalism has been the death of art, of literature, of science, in many an age. It has atrophied an entire civilization, as it did in China. It paralyzed Egyptian art ; it would have paralyzed Greek art, if the (irceks had not had the vitality to throw it off. Art, literature and science are never sufficient moto themselves. They must all drink continually at the fresh springs of reality. To move up to date with our metaphor, they most all get fresh current from the f"eders of nature if the trolley wire is to be kept "live" and the motor rumning. Those peremnial currents that impere conceived of as chasing themselves round and ronnd the molecules of matter could keep going only in the absence of resistance, and that is something that we may imagine or talk about, but that does not really exist. Every electric current will stop unless a contimuons electro-motive force is behind
it; cever river will dry up unless fed ly living springs. All art, all literature, all science, will shrivel ont of existence, or at any rate out of usefulness, if thosi Who practice it think that all they hase to do is to copy some trick, some mothod, simm symptom perhaps of real genins, of their prederossors. Aristothe was a real scientist, tho his outlook was not ours. But those who kept on coplying Aristothe for centuries and would not believe what they salw with their own eyes unless they could coufirm it with a passage from his writines-they were nowentists alf all. We have recovered from their formalism as dreek art recovered from the formalisin of the lions of Ayeenate.

Who shall say that James Whiteomb Riley did not do just this when he chose to abandon the stock in trade of the stamdard poets and put into verse what he saw about him lorere in ludiana? It is mot beyond the possibilities, of comme, that his own fresh point of view may one day succumb to formalismthat his little Orphant Amies and his raggedy men may berome familiar to posterity through the work of a school of copyists who prefer to write about an Indiana that they never saw in a period when they never lived, instead of going themselves to the fresh inspiration of the realities about them. Now, of course, the current or the river of art or poctry must run a little while by itself; it cannot he all spring. Only, the fresli inspiration must not be delayed too long, lest the current or the river be dried.

In a recent article on eurrent British moselists. one of onr own most gifted writers. Mrs. Gerould, says with some truth that the stories of the fomger realists in England-Compton Mackenzie. Oliver Onions. Hugh Walpole, Gilbert Cannan and their kin -are so similar in subject, treatment and style, that they might almost be interehangeable. She wittily de-
velops the idea of a symdicate-the British Novelists, Limited-in which one writer is told to do the deseriptions, another the character-drawing and a third the thrills. Mrs. Gerould is hardly fair here. These roung men are almost the first writers in the English language to do just what they are accomplishing. They are by turns engrossing and boresome, but they are like the boy who has, all by himself, picked out a succession of chords on the piano. The harmony thrills lim, but he is in danger of keeping it up so long that he will drive his hearers daft. When our British realists have orer-worked their new rein, their sales will fall off and their publishers will see that fresh ore is brought to light ere more of their work reaches the public. How shall we ensure that this new ore shall be at hand-the jungle cleared so that there may be a fresh rista?

I maly be taking too much upon my chosen profession; but I cannot help thinking that this is one of the tasks with which we librarians shall have to grapple. We have ourselves, as we have seen, come lately into more intimate touch with the realities about us. Can we not put into literature what we are taking from life and so act as the feeders that shall keep civilization from drying up or turning to stone? This is perhaps a startling idea. A book is a record. In the nature of things there is no progress in a record. And we are the keepers of the records of civilization; how thell shall we be also founts of inspiration?

In this way; records stand, hut the things that they record progress. We must go to the library to find out where hmmanity stands on the road and what lies before us. If our public comes to us naturally to read these records and if our writers know this and write for a public interested in reality, the library has done its part. Before this linkage can function
trols, we must have anthors who realize that there is a special library public and who write for it. W"e are told that the Engrish puhlishers, before they atrept a mannscript ask, "ILow many will the circulating lihraries take?" They moan the sreat commercial sulseription libraries like Mmblés and smith's. Tha pratronate of these lihraries is more important to them than that of the pmblite at latrere, or at any rate, they feed that they ean rely upon it as an indication of what that of the pmble at larese will be. There is a lihrary public that they recognize and respect. We have nothing in the [inited states to correspond to Mudie s and smith's. Onr great rirculating libraries are otir free public lihraries. lon anthors or puls. lishers or hooksellers recognize the pmblic library as a fore to be reekoned with, either apart from other readers or as indiative of what other readers will think or do. I once made an insestigation of this question and I was compelled to acknowledge, as I all still forced to almit, that there is no such reconnition. Neither author nor publisher conscionsly does anything different, becanse there are publice library readers, from what he would do, if all onr pulslic libraries were wiped off the face of the earth. My hope for the finture lies in a justified suspicion that though neither is comseionsly affereded, both do reeongnize the lihrary puhlie meonscionsly and indirectly. Both would admit that their output has heren affeected by the reat extemsion of the reading public and its consequent alteration in quality: A disconssion of the exact riferet would lead us too far atield. The point is that the literary mroduct has been elanged by a change in the mumbers and quality of the reading pub)lice and that this change has beron hromsht ahout in no small degree by the estahlishment and popularity of public libraries. Possibly it is mot too much to
expect that this unconscious recognition will give place to a conscious one, and that the producers' mutual influence hring each other into more frequent rontact with reality.

Now, there may le some here who, wondering at my classification of the Hoosier poet, are saying to themselres, "Whas Riley also among the Realists?" And lask in turn, why has Realism come to connote a proportion of things that do not enter at all into the lives of most of ns? We have all known and loved the old swimmin hole; how many of us are familiar with the man who rommits snicide, not to end an intolerable sitnation, not in a frenzy of grief or remorse, but just to see what will happen? Yet when a Russian writes about such anomalies as this our critics say, "What wonderful realism!" If realism is anything, it must surely be real. There is morhidity in life; we camot avoid it or overlook it. But is there anything in life that corresponds to ninetynine per cent of morbidity? Not in my life, nor in yours. For yon and for me, Riley is a realist. God forthid that he may ever be anything else.

There is something in the situation of this city in which we are assembled, that encourages men to look life straight in the fare. Those who dwell amid rocky heights and caverns may be excused for looking behind them when they walk and for trembling at shadows. The sailor letween whom and eternity there stands only a two-inch plank may live largely among unrealities. But the man of the open prairie, with God's solid earth stretching away north, south, rast and west, and God's free air ahore and about lim, stands firmly and sees elearly. What interests him is the present and its necessary relationships with the future, with only so much of the past as is
able to consolidate these relationships and illumine them. Here, as one would expect, is growing up a school of representative artists, working some with the pen and others with the brush, whose aim and whose high privilege it is to record those relationships on cansas and on the printed page, eath in his whin fashion, of comse, for a lowe for the onter realities can never do away with that supreme immer reality, a man's own self that which looks out upon the world and sees that world throngh its own spertacles. It is the trimmph of all art that faithfully as it may repesent what it sees, its representations will still be, in large part. functions of the artistes own mood, so that the salme serene, the same event, portrayed be different writers or different paintros, may aromse in me motions as varied as jog, grief of mere restfulness. And of comrse, althongh we may praise James Whitcomb Riley portraying What he saw about him there would be little to praise if he were not at the same time portraying James Whitcomb Riley and if that portratal were not worth while.

1 like to think that what we limrarians are doing is in some measmre alkin to the work of the artists of pen or himsh, thongh permps in a secondary way. The writer interperets reality; we interpret the writers themsedres. Here is a case where we camot have too many midhlemen, for each, instead of piling up cost to the comsumer, piles up the value of the product. How many men conld sit in a combtry churchyard at "reming and see maided what dimy saw? Gray in his Eleg. remords that churdyaral and himself as wedl. Liat how many mon does (iray fail to reach? How many, whom he would rejoice or comfort, never heard him". And to (iraly in this gucres, let us add
the manes of all the good and great in literature. Here is where the librarian steps in. He presents firay and (iray's fellow artists in words, to his public.

Jears ago the library was merely a storehouse and the librarian the custodian thereof. Today the library is a magazine of dyamic force and the librarian is the man who exerts and directs it-who persuades the community that it needs books and then satisfies that need, instead of waiting for the self-realization which too often will never come. Does not the libnarian in some fashion interpret life and mature to his public, through books in general, even as the writer interprets them throngh one particular book?

This may seem fantastic, but I like to think that it is true. The October air in these antumn days is full of megaphonic voices, each insisting on its right to le heard above all the others. We are urged to enlist in the British arme, to buy Liberty bonds, to build huts for the Y.MI.C.A. and the Knights of Columbus, to work for the Red Cross, to buy tobacco for the soldiers, and at the same time to support all our local charities and pay our club dues as usual, not neglecting to respond to the calls of the tax collector. We librarians have ourselves used the megaphone to some purpose, having as yon kuon, raised a million dollars to establish and maintain camp libraries, giving our soldiers the same public library facilities that they enjor at home.

But in the midst of all this distracting chorus let us not forget that our normal lives must function as nsmal, despite the abnormalities that surround and interpenetrate them. The opening of this noble library building and the character of this assembly are proofs that we intend to live as usmal, even amid so much that is unusual.

I see no limit to the usefulness of this building
and of the institution whose home it is to be. The house is new but its ocrmpant has been long and favorably known to your citizens. Indianapolis has library traditions, and is what we librarians call a "good libary town." lour library has had good leadership and it is to contime, adding the force and freshness of the new to the strength and experience of the old The memory of your dearly loved poeet will be hronght to the mind of each library user-ber the children's room that bears his mame, by the lam that he gave to enlarge its site, by this enduring portraiture-by a thonsamd and one things, nome the less cogent for being intanginde. I look to see this libary, in the home city of James Whitcomb Riley, mow into a place in the publie heart comparalle with that which was attained ly Rile himself. It should he loved for its broad minded humanity, for its sympatly with mankind, especially with little children, for its readiness to "rejoice with those that do rejoice and weep with those that weep," for its quick response to the personal and spiritual needs of every reader, and above all for its firm hold on the realities of life and its appreciation of life as something that is lived on the farm, in the city street, in the office, the school and the club, not in the clouds, not in fog and mist, not with the improbable or the impossible. That it will do and be all these things we may be confident. Riley the well beloved is sone. His memory lives on ; let it live with peculiar fore and vividness in this lihrary, in its attitude toward those whom it serves-in the affection which they in turn feel toward an institution that has long been, and will long continne to be a center of literary, civic and intellectual forer in the city where Riley lived and wrote.


## THE CHURCH AND THE IUBLIC LIBRARY

The years immediately succeding the great war are to witness great progress in team-work. The war is teaching us to get together, and it is impossible to believe that the lessons we are now landing will be suddenly and totally forgotten with the advent of peare. The world is full of institations, associations. corporate hodies of all kinds, founded on a knowledge of what may be accomplished by the ewoperation of individuals; but the cooperation of these bodies themselves, one with another, has been fanlty until recent19.

The public libary is cooperative in its very es sence. Its business is to help, others. Were there no public for it to serve, its rery nowessity for existence would go. In the older days it merely sat with folded hands, ready to serve. Of later yalts it has hecome a compelling force, reaching ont into the commanity ly a thonsalld tembrils and attarching them to whaterer individual, om body of individuals, seems to be in meed -oftell without knowing it-of library service. The public librarys relations with the selools, with the husiness man, with the industries, with the military service-you will find these all disernst orer and orer agatin, mot only in the terlmical magrames dewoted to lihare work, hat in the publie press.

And yot we look in vain for al dischssion of the public librarys relations with the Clumeh. Why is this? The Church itself is in the cooperative class with the librar?. It exists to help, mankind. Withont
a humanity to help, and a hmmanity weak and fallible enough to need help, its mission would be over. In studying this question I find an maccometable timidity on both sides. On the one hand, librarians and libraries seem to be shy of religion. They rarely purchase religious books in ally systematic way. They are afraid of denominational literature, both books and periodicals, apparently on the ground that those presenting the view of one religions body might be objected to by other bodies. Some libraries refuse to subseribe for any denominational papers, but will accept them as gifts. Many librapies refuse to allow the holding of religious meetings in their buildings, probably for a similar reason.

On the other hand, the churches, as churches, seem often to ignore the existence of the public library, even when their members use it constantly. They maintain libraries of their own in their Sundayschools, for their young people, and these libraries, I am sorry to say, are often far below standard! They rarely show interest in the public library's collection of books, not seeming to care whether the library does or does not contain their own denominational literature.

There are some noteworthy exceptions. The Roman Catholics are aware of the library and seem to appreciate its value as a publicity agent and an educator. They are concerned when it contains books of which they disapprove, and are anxious to put on its shelves works that will interest their own people. Of late they have published in several of our large cities lists of books in the public library written by their coreligionists, or, for some reason of special interest to them. These lists have usually been prepared with the assistance of the library staff and paid for and distributed either by a special committee or by some
denominational body surbla the Kilients of Columbus. That they have a sympatherte attitude toward the library is shown not only by these facts, but by the fart that libraries insereal cities, orerallized specifically as elarell librarios, have heraturned wer to the local public library as brancoles.

Another religions hody that alyroreiates the atid of the public library is that of the Chrostian seientists. This Chureh has committeres speroially charered with seeing that public libraries arr supplied, fres of charere, with its literature.

Inring the present Luther ambibersaly there has been some activity on the part of the Latheran churoles to see that lihraries are supplied with material bearing ou their oreanization and doctrines. With these exreptions l have not mot, during my library experience of a duarter of a century with the slightest interest on the part of reliwious bodies regarding the book-collection of a public Iibrary-either about what it contaned or what it did not contanin. Occasionally, however, a rhmoly lihrary has beell transformed into a public library brancla. In New York there are three branches that beanan their existence as parish librarios of Protestant Episcopal churehes. Doubtless there arre instances in other cities of which I have no knowledere.

I ann sure that more active coosperation hetween the public library and the vorrons relisions bodies would bemefit both and, thensh them, the public. lat the first place, the library should devote more attention to its collection of religious books, and it would doso if those interested showed theib interest actively. There is morl material of wrat value to tealehers in sunday-schools that should find a resting-plator in the library. la a town where there are say, a dozen Smmar-sehools, it may he quite impossible for
eath to buy several sets of commentaries, comeordances, works of travel and deseription, \&e., but they might well club together for the purchase of this material and give it to the library or deposit it there, where it would be at the service of all. In larger towns, where the libnary fund is greater, mited effort on the part of the churches would doubtless result in the expenditmre of part of the hook-money for this purpose. Librarians are anxious to serve the public. If they can be shown that the public wants books of one kind rather tham another they are only too glad to respond. They do not like to buy books in the dark, but the apparent indifference of the public: often forces them to do so.

Such works as these are of common interest to all Christians. IBnt in addition every library onght to contain a certain amount of denominational material. The library is not, except possibly for some occasional reason, interested in propaganda, lut facts about the Methotists or the Baptists are surely of as much value, and should be preserved with as much care, as facts about a constitutional convention in Nebraska or the proceedings of a phmbers association in Salem, Mass. Every good library shonld have one standard work on the history of each of the prominent religions denominations, especially those that are strong in its home town. It should inchade the biographies of its principal divines and laymen. There should be also its year-book, renewed annually, its official confession of faith and statement of organization, its liturgy, if it has one, its official collection of hymms. Its chinf periodical shonld be on tile.

I do not know of any library that makes a specialty of obtaining this material and seeing that it is all up-to-date. Most librarians would exclaim that their meager funds would not stand the strain, and that,
besides, there has never heen the sliwhtest manamd for such material. Thero is at dmand for all the latesit novels by Harold Bell Wrisht, Robort WV. Chatmbores, and Marie Corelli, amd so these are pmochasid. Herro is where the juditferente of most of whe religions bodies toward what the libratry does or does not ronstain is bearing legitimate fruit.

Does fomb pablic library contain reference-matedial that is af interest, of owoht to ho al interest, to your (o)-religionists: If mot, whose fanlt is it: lixtending one inguiry lesomd refarencomateriald, we maty mext assert that thero ate mathy semiperphlar books of at demominational fharaleter, spromons lọ a favorite divinc, alviee to youme people, worls of eomfort to those in trouble, whirl it is to the intrrest of (Mhestian peophe to see more widely read. The libratries will never Waste their money in the purchase of these if they are to rematu idly on the shelres. They will har forely in response to al demand. Whose fallat is it that the demand does not materialize?

1 halre sath that surfl a demand mioht casily divert part of the librar! s book-fund wow dewoted to athero purehases. lint the ehare hes combld allord to buy these books and present them to the library if thes Wonld reake to duplicatr the libraly work in direr.

 There was gool reason for it in the daty, now far dis. tant, when the puhlie libualy wis mon-existent and the
 books. Exen in that day the sumdar-seloon library largely bought trash-the kind of wishy-w:ashy. mock-pious stuff turned out by hack-writers at thas rate of several volumes per day.

The rapid rise of the publice library is denbthess due. in part, to the meghet of its ratry "prorlmatios
by the sunday-school library. Fat no one can say that the public library has not risen to the occasion. The very hest part of its collection, the most carefully selected, the most conscientionsly distributed, is that which contans its books for children. We have schools for the training of childrens librarians, and we give their graduates special charge of roms for children in our library buildings. There is no reason now why any church should maintain a library of general literature for any purpose whatever.

I have alluded above to the library's value as a publicity agent. As a matter of fact, both the Church and the library are the greatest and most valuable means of pulbicity that we have. Both are mpurchasable. Both reach selected elements of the community, partly the same, partly different. To have an event amonnced from the pulpit, especially with commendation, gives it a prestige that it could attain in no other way. Similarly, to have something published on the library's bulletin-beards, or on slips inserted in each circulated hook, or in any one of a dozen ways that have been practised by libraries gives publicity of high value. Both the pulpit and the lihrary utilize these methods for themselves and often for outside bodies, but not often for each other. It is rare for a clergyman to mention the public library from his pulpit, altho it is occasionally done. It is also rare, tho not totally monown, for a library to give pulnicity to a chured in any of the ways that are proper for this to be done.

In particular, every library, espectally in a small city where there is no local guide-book, should be a repository of local religions information. Any one should be able, not only to ascertain there the location of any particular church, but to consult its literature, if it issues : mury ; if not, to find on file authentic information about it correspouding to that nsually
put into print-the names of officers, a list of parish organizations, de. Such things can be had for the asking, and there is usually no one place in a town where they are all assembled. There should be such a place, and that place may well be the public library. Large libraries quite generally collect this material; the smaller ones should follow suit. They will be apt to do so if the church people manifest in interest. If the collertion and continual "following up" of the material involve more work than the smaller staff of the library can do, it onght to be easy to divide it among volunteers from the different congregations, this being the church's part of this particular item of cooperation.

It is safe to say that the Church and the public library may help each other in at least six ways:

1. The stibstitution of the librarys ehindren's room for the Sunday-school library in the purveying of gemeral literature.

2 . The more careful and more generous provision of religions hooks in the library, with increased interest on the part of the church in the character of this part of the collection.
3. The offer by the library of facilities for religious meetings.
4. Vtilization of religions gatherings in the chureh to call attention to the library and its willingness to aid and advise.
5. Publicity given in and by the library to the churehes and their work.
6. Publicity given in and by the Chureh to the library and its work.

As a hasis on which cooperation of these and other kinds is to rest there must be personal adquaintance and confidence between the clergy and the librarian. This is something of which increase will hring further
increase, as in the accretions to a rolling snowball. For instance, the pastor of a church must have a certain degree of confidence in the librarian's good-will and ability to venture to rerommend the purchase of a look; the librarian must have the same to be willing to entertain and act upon such a recommendation. Bint the contact once made, the loook once bought, there is gromed for increased contidence and acquaintance and for additional adviee, and so it goes.
lt will be noted that this comsel hays a greater bmeden on the libmarian than on the clergy. It is no great task for any clergyman to make the arquantance of the librarian; it is quite another thing for the librarian to do the same he each and every clergyman in lis city. If the city is large and the clergy of various denominations are numbered by thonsands, it is practically impossible. Recognizing this fart, the clerge should take some staps toward making collective take the place of individual acpmantance. They shomld invite the librarian to their meetings and he on his part should be ready to attend and to address them if requested to do so.

It sloould hardly be medessary to warn both parties to suclu cooperation as this, that the obtrusion of considerations of personal adrantage, where this conflicts with pullice service, will be fatal to its suceess. For instance a clergyman who is preparing an address on some rather musual subject must not experet the librarian of a small city to expend public money for hooks which will aid him, and him alone, in his work. Fortmately, this particular issue can generally be avoided, owing to the growth of facilities for interlibrary loans. Altho the librarian might properly refuse to buy these particular books, he would doubtless offer to attempt to borrow them from some larger lihrary, and this attempt would have a ! erod rhance of
suceess. laterlibratry service of this kind is bomble to increase largely in the finture and offers a most promising field for the remdering of aid her the smaller librarias to the seloblar, literary workro, and investi-


The getting-together of pollic lihral? allal rlancols has possihly heren hampereed in the jast lỵ an inlea,
 ligions hodies and their work onsht to be ighored fye

 ministration, It is, of rourse al featore of that addministration to treat all reliwions boties with absolnte impartiality: but that dors not involve isuoring their existemee any more than treating all citigens with impartiality involves the ignoring of the individual.
 batek equally mpon all, but that is mot the omly way. One may treat omes childrem alike by starving all of theme equally, but omb ideal of impartial treatment would be better satisfied by all equality of atemate supplies.
 stopt the starvation treatment and began to mete ont to earch oflere a supply of the aid amd wool-will that rach has at its disposal. Eatch has its fight to make agranst the foreres of darkness; meither is in a position 1o) norglect an ally.

## THE FVTTVKE OF LIBKARY WOORK

When a railloatd train is on its waly, its future hise tory depencls on which way it is heatling, on its speed, and on whether its direetion and its speed will remain unchanged. With these premises, one mat confidently predict that a train which left Chicago at a given hour on one day will reach New Vork at a siven hour on the next. Of course, somethiner may happen to slow the train, or to wreck it, or even to send it back to Chicago, in which cases onl predictions will come to naught. This is what the wather man finds. His predictions are based on very similar data. Onr weather conditions travel usually across the continent from west to east at a fairly miform rate. If that rate is maintained, and the direction does not change, and nothing happens to dissipate or alter the conditions, we can predict their arrival at a given place with a fair degree of acouracy. Those who rail at the weather man`s mistakes are simply finding fanlt with our present inability to ascertain the canses that slow up storm centers, or swerve them in their course, or dissipate them. When we know these things, and know in addition what starts them, we can wive up making forecasts and write ont a pretty definite weather time-table-as definite and as little subject to change, at any rate, as those issued by the railroads.

My business at this monent is that of a forecoaster. We know just where and what the library situation is at present, and some of us think we know where it is headed. If it shomld keer on in the simme direetion and at the same rate, we ought to be ahle to describe
it as it will he, saly, in i950. (of comse, it may get laseded in some other direetion. It may slow down or sperel mp; it may melt away or strike a rock and be irreeoverably wreeked. If I see amy (rhaneres of any of these things, it is my msiness to mention them. If my foreeast should turn ont a fallme mo one (an prove


To bergin with the necessary merliminaries of our forereact-what and where are we mow? I have sad that I know: probably pou think that you do; but as a matter of fact our knowedge is meither romprehensive nor arourate. We need a wentral library surrey. We have, as a sort of statistical framework, the figures now printed ammally in talman form in the A. L. A. Proceedinss, but probably no one wonld maintain that these do, or possibly could, give an alemuate idea of the ehamater or extent of the work that our libraries are doing. Those of us who think we know something of it hare gatned omr knowledge by experience and observation and neither is extensive enongh in most cases to take the plate of a well-considered and properly-managed survey of existing conditions and methods.

In defanlt of a surver, we mast, as I have said, fall batck upon observation and experiomer. I can certainly elaim no momopoly of these, and what I say in this ragard is, of eombe, largely persomal. But it seephs to me that the distingishing marks of library Work, as at present conducted, inchale the following. As yon will soe, they are all commerted and overlap more or kess. They are all growth-products. They are:

[^15]First, library work in our combtry to-day is large and costly. Extemsively it covers a great trritory and reaches a huge population. Intensively it embraces a large variety of arotivities-many that one would hesitate, on ereneral principles, to class as "library work."
serondly, a large amomat of this increase of activity has been of a kind that we are now apt to call "so-
 temels to treat these people as the dirert sbjects of the librarys attention, instean of dealiner primarily with books, as formerly, and only indirectly with their readers. In firet, the persoms with whom the library now deals may mot be readers at all, exrept potentially, as when they are users of elub or assembly rooms.

Thirdly, librarians are besimming to think of themselves as members of a profession. At first sight this may seem to be a fact of interest only to library workers, and not at all to the public. Its significance may appear if we compare it to the emeraence of the mod(roll surgeon with his professional skill, traditions and pride, from the medieval barder who simply followed boorletting as an avoration. Professionalism is a symptom of a great many things-of achievement and of conscionsmess of it and pride in it; of a desire to do teamwork and to maintain stamdards; to make sure that ones work is to be carried wh almel adralmed hy worthy sulceessors.

Fourthly, libraries are now conducted for the mans; not for the few. It is our aim to provide somethin! for every one who rath reald, wo matter of what arre. sex, or condition. We do not even limit ourselves tor readers, for we provide picture books for those whor are too vomme to read. We are transferring the emphasis of our work from books to people. This charateteristic is clasely connected with what I have called
"socialization," but it is not the same thing. An institution maty deal with all the people without dealing with them sorially or in groups; and it may deal entirely with groups without dealing with everybody. The library now does both.

Fifthy, the library is now a national institution, at least in the same sense as is the public school. It is national in extent, mational in consciousness, if not national in administration. Our own association has played its part in this development; the present war has given it a great stimmlus. Those who see no nationalism withont complete centralization and who say that we are not yet a nation because all our gorernmental powers are not centered at Washington, will doubtless deny the mationalization of the library. They take too narrow a view.

We may now combine two or more lines of inquiry. In what direction is the library moving in each of these respects? Is it speeding or slowing up? Is there any reason to look for speeding or slowing up in the future?

As regards size and cost, our development has been swift. We camot, it seems to me, keep up the rate. Twenty years ago the institutions now constituting the New York Public Library circulated a million hooks. They now circulate ten million. Does anyone believe that twenty years hence they will circulate one hundred million? There must be further increase, becanse we are not now reaching every person and every class in the community, hut it will not and cannot be a mere increase of quantity. We must do our work better and make every item and element in it tell. We must substitute one book well read for ten hooks skimmed. In place of ten worthless books we must put one that is worth while. There are already signs of this sulostitution of quality for quantity in our ideals.

Extension, as opposed to intension, has appealed to many enthusiastic librarians as "missionary work." Perhaps the term is well chosen. Some of it is akin to the missionary fervor that sends funds to convert the distant heathen when nominal ('hristians around the corner are vainly demanding succor, material, mental and spiritual. We have too much of this in the library; attempts to form boys' clubs with artificial aims and qualifications when clubs already formed to promote objects that are very real in the members minds are ignored or neglected; the provision of boresome talks on "Rubler-culture in Peru" and on "How I climbed Long"s Peak," when members of the community would be ememinely interested in hearing an expert explain the income tax; the purchase of new books that nohody wants when an insistent demand for old standards of sterling worth has never been adequately met; all sorts of forcing from the ontside instead of developing from the inside. This kind of thing, like charity, berins properly at home, and the real missionary takes care to set his own house in order before he goes far afield-to fill the nearby demand, when it is good, hefore attempting to force something on those who do not want it.

It is in this direction that onl promise of contimed progress lies when we cannot see gromends for expecting great future increase of income.

This leads us maturally to discuss what I have called our socialization, which is just hegiming. It is running strong, but there is room for a long course, and that course, I believe, it will take. In the first place, we are functioning more and more as community renters, but there is enormons room for advance. We are straggling all along the lime, which is one sign of an early stage. Some of us have not yet awakened to the fact that we are destined to play a
great part in community development and community education. Others are reluctantly yielding to pressure. Others have gone so fast that they are in advance of their communities. Take, if you please, the one item of the provision of space for community meetings, regarded by some as the be-all and the endall of the commmaty center idea. It is really but one element, but it may serve an a straw to show which way the wind hlows. Some libraries are giving no space for this purpose; some give it grudgingly, with all sorts of limitations; others give quite freely. None of us give with perfect freedom. I suppose we in St. Lonis are as free as any. In 15 assembly and clubrooms we house 4,000 meetings yearly. Our only limitations are order and the absence of an admission fee. I incline to think that the maintenance of order should he the only condition. If an admission fee is charged, part of it should go to the library, to be devoted to caring for the assembly and clubrooms and improving them. There are many community gatherings that can be best administered on the plan of a paid admission. These ought not to be excluded. Most of our restrictions are simply exhibits of our reluctance to place ourselves at the complete social disposal of the community. A commonity is not a community muless it has political and religions interests. If we are going to become socialized at all, why halk at these any more than we should exclude from our shelres books on politics and religion? I look to see socialization, in this and other directions, proceed to such lengths that the older library ideals may have to go entirely by the board. Some of them are tottering now. I have said that I consider this matter of the use of assembly rooms only one item in what I have called socialization. It may all be summed
up by saying that we are coming to consider the library somewhat in the light of a community club, of which all well-behared citizens are members. Our buildings are clubhouses, with books and marazines, meeting rooms, toilet falcilities, kitchens-almost everything, in fact, that a good, small chab would contain. If son say "then they have ceased to be libraries and are something else," that does not affert me any more than when you show that we are no longer speaking Chancer"s langage or wearing the coothes of Alfred the Great.

When we were trying to explain to the architects of the New York branch bnildings exactly what we wanted in those structures and met with the usual misconception based on medieval ideas of a library, one of the most eminent architects in the United states suddenly sat up and took notice. "Why, these baildings are not to be libraries at all," he waid, "they are to be reading clubs." He had learned in afew minutes what many of os still see throngh a glass darkly.

An even more important manifestation of what I have called socialization is the extension of occupation groups to which the library is riving special attention and special service. The library has always had in mind one or more of these gronps. (Once it ratered almost entirely to a group of scholates, at first belonging predominantly to the elergy. In later years it added the teachers in schook and their pupils, also the cibildren of the community. These are definite groups, and their recognition in the rendition of service is a social act. Other groups are now being added with rapidity, and we are recognizing in our service industrial workers, business men, artists of varions kinds, musicians and so on. The reeognition of
new erroups and the extension of definite library service to them is progress in socialization, and it is going on steadily at the present time.

Just now the most conspicuous group that we are taking in is that of business men. In adjusting our resources and methods to the needs of this group we are changing our whole conception of the scope of a library's collection. As Mr. Dana has pointed out, we now collect, preserve and distribute not books alone, but printed matter of all kinds, and in addition records of other types, such as manuscripts, pictures, slides, films, phonograph dises and piano rolls. Some of these of course are needed to adapt our collection to others than the busiuess group-to educators, artists or musicians. We shall doubtless continue to discover new groups and undergo change in the course of adaptation to their needs.

The recognition of special groups and the effort to do them service has proceeded to a certain extent outside the pubic library, owing to the slowness of its reaction to this particular need. The result has been the special library. I am one of those who are sorry that the neglect of its opportunity by the public library has brought this about, and I hope for a reduction in the number of independent special libraries by a process of gradual absorption and consolidation. The recent acquisition of some formerly independent municipal reference libraries by the local libraries is a case in point. There must always be special libraries. The library business of independent industrial and commercial institutions is best cared for in this way. But every group that is merely a section of the general public, set apart from the rest by special needs and tastes, may be cared for most economically by the public library. If its service is not adapted to give such care, rapid and efficient adjustment is called for.

In a library forecast made several years ago, Mr. John ('. Dana stated his opinion that the library, as it is, "all mimportant by-product," is to be of importance in the future, but will then have departed from the "present prevailing type." Without necessarily agreeing to our present insignificance, we may well accept, I think, this forecalst of future growth and change.

Professionalization, too, has by no means reacherd its limit. As has heren pointed ont, it is a sympon, rather than the thing itself. It is like a man's elothes, hy which you can often trale the growth or decaly of his self-respect. Pride in ones work and a tendency to exalt it is a healthy sign, provided there is something back of it. The formation of staff associations like that recently organzed in New lork is a good sign, so is the multiplication of professional bodies. The establishment of the A. L. . . in 187 if was the begimning of the whole library adrance in this comotry. lt was only a symptom, of comse, but with the healthy growth of libraries I look for more signs of our pride in what we are doing, of our mowillingless to lower it or to alter its ideals.

The familiar question, "Is librarimship a profession :"" reduces to a matter of definition. We are being frofessionalized for the priposes of this discrssion if we are growing sufficiently in group conscionsness to let it react favorably on our work.

One of the earliest developments of a feeling of professional pride in onees work is an insistence on the adeguate training of the workers and on the establishment of standinds of efficiemey both for workers and work. Here bolongs a forecast mot only of lihary school training, hut of otticial inspection and rertification, of systems of service, rete. Standardization of this kind is on the increase and is bomed to be
enfored with greater strictness in the future. In our professional training as in other professions the tendency is toward specialization. With us, this specialization will doubtless proceed on the lines of facilities for practice. An engincering school cannot turn out electrical engineers if the only laboratories that it has are devoted to civil and mechanical engincering. A specialist in abdominal surgery is not produced by experience in a contagious disease ward. Similarly we onght not to expect a school remote from puble libnary farilities to spectalize in public library wom, or a school in close commection with a public library to produce assistants for the work of a university library. fncreasing professional spirit among us will demand specialization according to equipment.

Populariaation, some may think, has already gone to the limit. How can we be more of the people than we are to-day? Are we not, in sooth, a little too democratic, perhaps? Personally I feel that a good deal of the librarys social democtacy is on the surface. Any member of a privileged class will assure you that his own class constitutes "the people" and that the rest do not matter. The Athenians homestly thonght that their conntry was a democracy, when it was really an oligarchy of the most limited kind. England honestly thought she had "popular" government when those entitled to vote were a very small part of the population. A library in a city of half a million inhabitants honestly thinks that a record of 100,000 cardholders entitles it to boast that its use extends to the whole population. We cannot say that we reach the whole number of citizens until we really do reach them. The school anthorities can go ont to the highways and hedges and compel them to come in; we ramot. Herein donbtless lies one of our advan-
tages. Our lmildings are filled with willing users. It is our lmsiness to miversalize the desire to read as the schools are miversalizing the ability. lint we have not yet done so, and popularization proceeds slowly. I camot saly that I ser many indications of speeding up in the rate, althongh our increase in the recognition of groups, noted ahove, may have an influence here in finture As groups develop among that part of the population that uses the library least, ome opportunity to extend our influmbe over that part will present itself. One such group is ready for us but we have never reached it-that of mion labor. Thae rece ognition of the mions by the library and of the library by the unions has been unaceountably delayed, despite sporadic, well-meant, hot-ineffective efforts on hoth sides. No more important step for the intellectual future of the commmonty can be taken than this extension of service.

Nationalization has just hegm. It is speeding up and will ${ }_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{o}$ far, 1 am sure, in the next twenty years. Our libraries are getting used to acting as a mit. We shonld not like administrative mationalization and I see no signs of it; but nationalization in the sense of improved opportmities for team work and greater willingness to arail oursoles of them we shall get in increasing measure. For instance, one of our wreatest opportunities lies hefore us in the inter-libraty loan. It knocks at our door, hat we do not heme it hercause in this respert we have not begun yet to think mationall! Sat having beroun mational siorvier in the various activities brought to the front by the war, we shall mot, 1 am sure, lag hehind mueht longere. The naltional organization of the A. L. A. has lone provided us with a framework on which to build onr mational thoughts and our national deeds, hut hitherto it has remained a mere seaffolding, conspicuons through the
absence of any corresponding structure. The war is teaching us both to think and to act nationally, and after it is over I shall be astonished if we are longer content to do each his own work. Our work is nationwide, in peace as in war and our tardy realization of this fact may be one of the satisfactory by-products of this world conflict.

Now it is not beyond the possibilities that the library movement, headed right and rumning free, may still fall becallse it meets some obstacle and goes to pieces. Are there any such in sight? I seem to see sereral, but 1 believe that we can steer clear. If we split on anything it will be on an unseen rock, and of such, of course, we can say nothing.

One rock is political interference. The library hats had trouble with it of old and some of us are still struggling with it. It is assumed by those who put their trust in paper civil service that it has now been minimized. This overlooks the undoubted fact that in a great number of cases the civil service machinery has been captured by politicians, and now works to aid them, not to control them. The greatest danger of political interference in public libraries, now lies in well-meant efforts to turn them over to some local commission established to further the merit system, butactually working in harmony with a political machine.

Inother rock on which we may possibly split is that of formalism. Machinery must be continually scrapped and replaced if progress is to be made. It will not grow and change like an organism. The library itself is subject to organic growth and change, hut its machinery will not change automatically with it. If we foster in :ny way an idea that our machinery is sacred, that it is of permanent value and that conditions should conform to it instead of its con-
forming to them, our whole progress may come to an end. I have called this a rock, but it is rathor a sort of Sargasso sea where the library may whirl about in an eternity of seaweed.

Another obstacle, somewhat allied to this of formalism, is the "big head"-none the less danderons" becanse it is common and as detrimental to an institution as it is to an individual. Just ass soon as a person, or an institution, sits down and begins to appreciate himself or itself, to take stock of the serviees he or it is rendering the community, to wonder at their extent and value, those serviers are in a fair way to become valueless. The proper attitude is rather that of investigation to discover further possible kinds of service, with the exercise of ingenuity in devising ways to render them effectively.

We have occasionally heen accused of taking the attitude of self-laudation, hut I really do not think there is great danger of an epidemic of this malady. We do not receive enough encomagement. Once in a while, to be sure, someone tells us, or tells the public, What ar great and valuable institution the public librarey is lont the treatment that we receive is generally mildly hmorous when it is not "haracterizod hy downight indifference and neglect. Whenever a hook comes into my hands telling of some movement in which 1 know that the library has borme an homorable part 1 allwas turn first to the index and se:meth for recognition under the letter L. Cencrally it is not there; when it is, it is ahmost always inadergmate. If we are attanked by the "hig heall," it will hate to be a case of anto-intoxication.

Exploitation is another possible rock. I have already alluded to the danger of capture by a political mathine, but there are other interests more subtle and quite as dangeroms. Many a useful institution,
intended to be nompartisan, has becal captured and used hy some interest or other while remaining nonpartisan on the surface. Our safety, so far, has resided in the inability of most interests to see that we are worth rapture. When the drive romes, as I believe it will, our continued safety will lie, not in resistance, but in an equal yielding to all-a willingness to alct as the agent for all isms, religions, economic, political and industrial without exulting one above another or emphasizing one at another's expense. something of this we are already doing, and in so far as we sucered in it we are placing onrselves in a position of vantage from which it will be very difficult to dislodge us.

Assuming the truth of all this-and it is something of an assmmption, I grant you-what then, is our library of 1950 to be". An institution not very mucl larger or more expensively operated than our present maximum, althongh with a ligher minimum. carried on with a more careful eye to economy and watching more jealously the quality of its output. It will have two mits of service, as at present, the book and the citizen, but it will tend to regard the latter as primary, rather than the former and will shrink from no form of service that it can render him. The higher quality of its work will be reffected in the mreater pride of the worker-in a spirit of professionalism that will insist on adequate training and proper compensation and possibly will use organization to enforee these ideals. It will reach ont somewhat further among the people than it does now, although not so much that the difference will be notahle. Finally the teamwork between different libraries will be more frequent and effective, assistants will be exchanged freely, readers cards used interchangeahly and interlibrary loans will take place easily and often.

What effect will these rhanges hate on the desire ability of library work as a profession: 'The only conclasion can be that it will be wreatly increased. By this 1 mean that it will hr more interesting, more likely to give pleasure to the worker as a by-product. I do not mean that it will meressarily bay very murla better. The most interesting and pheasurable orempattions arowemerally, I think, those that de mot pily well in moner. Ome should not expert fall payment in hoth (ash and pleasure. The exeretion is where the atyuisition of money is itself the feature of the ocernat-
 those who phase this form of pleasure, but they erertainly halve bo besiness to he lihrarians or teachers, or artists or anthors, or to rasage in ally ocreppation Which in itself constitutes to the worker the fullness of life and its ilhmanations. The library profession will make its appeal in $1!50$, as it doses today, to men and women who like to work with and among and through books; who also like to work with alld among and throngh people; who enjoy watehing the interplay of redations between the mant and the hook and using them for the advancement of rivilization. This is an intellerthal and spiritual apleal, and it is not likely to be replaterd hy that which wlitters on the metallice fate of the dollar.
 our opening simile of the railroat train. 'The tlies that reateles New Vork is the same train that loft. Chicago: its passengers have not wroatly rhanged, and fet its enviromment is wholly different, so that the outlook of those within it has totally altered. It is in some such fashion that the libray of 1950 will differ from that of today. It will be the same institution with the same staff, but it will have traveled far on the rails of time. Its enviromment, its ontlook will
lee different, and in its response to that variation it must needs do different things and render a different service. May its motive power never fail, its machinery be kept well oiled, and the erew maintain their strength, intelligence and samity !

## POI'ULARIZING MUSIC THIROI(iHE THE 

The purchase of music by a puhlie library is justified by the assmmption that its mse is to be somewhat analogoms to that of printed sperech. The analogy is, in fact, somewhat closer than most prisoms realize, and its consideration revals some mistaken ideas about the use of music in a libury and may give rise to suggestions for the imporement of that nise. A patge of musire like al pate of writan latnghate, is a record of something whos primary expression is obtained throngh somble Angone who maderstands the motation in either (ase may reproduce the somuds. In one calse this is "reading alond"; in the other it is a performanme of the music. In the case of the music the somuda may he made with the voice, or with an instrmment or with one or several of both at once, bat this is onls an apparent complication and does not affect the principle. The reader, of comrse, may learn the language, or the musie, hy heart and then dispense with the written record. In practise there are important dificre ences between the treatment of reorrds of speech and music. As sound is readily imagined as well as actually produced, both sperech and music may he enjoved hy a reader withont making a somod. If the reader of a book camot do this, he is mot regarded as at all skilled. Most of ms, I think, 小o not consider that a person knows completely bow to read when he is not able to read "to himself", but

[^16]finds it necessary to make the actual sounds of speech, whether loudly, or only under his breath. In the (ase of music, however, only the skilled musician, as a general thing, is able to read a page of music "to himself", as he would read a page of written language. This is especially the case with instrumental music and with music where there are several parts. An accomplished musician, however, may run over an orchestral score and hear the performance "in his mind", with the quality of each instrument brought out, the harmonies and the shading of intensity.

We may go a step further as a matter of curious interest. Language is not necessarily connected with sounds at all. A deaf mute, who has never heard a sound, and is incapable of monderstanding what sound is, may nevertheless learn to read. He is, however unable to appreciate a page of written music, and I do not know how it would be possible to explain to him what it is like, except the rhythm of it, which may be made to appeal to the senses of sight and touch, as well as to that of somud. In general, however, the reader of music must at least imagine the somme represented by the notation before him. This is not the case with the reader of speech. Anyone who can read fast and well enough may, like the deaf mute, understand what he reads without even imaging the somnd of the words. One may evell read so fast that the mere speed forbids any thought of the corresponding oral language. Skilled readers may take in a sentence, a paragraph, almost a page, at a glance. This is the sole point of difference between reading language and reading music; and it does not greatly concer'n us here because all that it practically affects is speed of appreciation.

Something that is of greater importance is the difference of purpose usually found between those who read words and those who read musical notes. When we say of a child that he is studying music we nsually mean that he is learning how to sing or to play on some instrument with the special view of being able to perform before some kind of andience. A masic-teacher in like mamer is one who teaches his pupils how to play on the piano or the violin, or how to sing.
but when we teach a child to read we are not primarily concerned with his futme ability to read aloud or to recite so ass to gire pleasure to an andience, what we are thinking of is his ability to read rapidly to himself so as to understand what is in books. Looked at in the same way the matio thing in musiad instruction would be to teach rapid sight-reading so that the reader should get the ability to berome arquainted with as large a momber of musical masterpieces an possible. Ghe leams to talk by talking; one leams to read ly reading ; and the same is trme of reading music. And as the omnivorons reader of books alluats wants to express his own thonghts in writing, so the ommivorous reader of music will want to compose. Neither the one nor the other may produce anything great, but the effort will aid in mental development. As a mateer of fact, the child begins to put his thoughts into words before he knows how to reatl. He is encouraged to do so. No mother exer tried to stop her bably foom learoing to tatk because its first offorts were feehle, halting and mintelligible. How differently we treat the childs attempts at musical expression-for that is the explanation of many of the ermole haby moises that we hear. As the child grows, its expression in this direction is discomraged,
and seldom is any effort made at emcouragement or development. Is it not a wonder that anyone succeols in composing original music? How many great poets or novelists should we have if every baby were discouraged in its efforts to express itself in Words; if it were never tanght to talk and never to read?

IBy the time we librarians are able to exert an influence on the reader, this period is past, but it is still possible to do something. Our first job is to disabuse the public of the jdea that enjoyment of music has necessarily something to do with mastering the techmique of some musical instrmment. The phonograph has done good work in removing this impression, lut we shonld never be content with the phonograph any more than we shonld consent to do away with all printed books and rely wholly on works "read aloud" on the victrola. There will always be pleasure and profit in doing one's own reading, whether in speech or in music. One must understand musical notation of course, just as one must know the notation of written speech before he can read hooks. He must also molerstand a little of some instrument, preferably the piano; thongh only enough for sight-reading, his object being to understand and appreciate the music himself, not necessariby to bring understanding and appreciation to others.

I think I have gone far enongh along this train of thought to show the principle on which I shonld select the music for a public library collection. I should form such a collection in precisely the same Way as my collection of books. A very large proportion of the books in a public library are properly intended for those who will read them for their own delectation, enjoying and appreciating and
profiting personally by what they reat. A much smaller proportion are books for study and research. A still smaller number are dramatic or other selections intended principally for recitation or dece lamation. So, in selecting my music I womld acquire chiefly selections for reading. I do not mean elementary reading-ome does mot limit his langrage books to primers. I should huy works of all grades of difficulty, lont 1 should have always in mind the primary use of these for sight reading. Comparatively few would be piecers writtel solely for display-to daz\%le the hearer or to show off technique. Few would be pieces whose interest is chiefly historical or academice. I do not say that I should exelnde either of these kinds, but I eertainly should not inchade them in greater degree than I should include analogons material in buying ordinary books. Bear in mind also that I am speaking of an ordinary public library, of average si\%e, not of a miversity library nor that of a music school; nor a public library so large that it may properly have some of the functions of both of these.

Just as it is a conspichous duty of the library to raise and maintain the level of literary taste in its commmity and to keep this fact in mind in the selection of its books, so it is the business of its musical collection to ralise and maintain the level of musical taste.

My own opinion, which some may regalld ats heretical, is that taster can mot be cultivated, in literature, or art, or music. to any comsiderable extent by study. The study of these things must have to do largely with history and techaque, and while a knowledge of these is desirable it can not affect taste, althongh we maly imagine that it does. We may reduce this matter to its lowest terms by
thinking for a moment of something that depends on the memplicated action of an elementary sense -phrsical taste. If one does not like an olive when he eats one for the first time, that judgment can not be reversed ly studying the history of olive culture. If he dislikes cheese, it will he useless to take him into a cheese factory and explain to him, or teach him the technical processes of mannfacture. The only way to make him change his mind is to induce him to keep on eating olives, when one of two things will take place-either his dislike of olives will be contirmed, or it will disappear. As most people like olives when they become accustomed to the taste, the latter result is to be expected. Now suppose that someone does not rare for Beethoven's "Moonlight sonata". My contention is that he cannot be made to like it by studying the lastory of music, or that of this particulat selection, nor by analyzing its structme, hot that he may be led to do it by listening to it repeatedly. Is persons familiar with good mmsic do generally enjoy this piece, it is probable that this result will follow.

I know that I must now justify this comparison. When I make it I am accustomed to indignant protest on the part of some of my students. Is it not unworthy to compare the mosic of the Moonlight Sonata to a mere phrsical sensation like the taste of an olive? Only as it may he considered morthy to compare the great and the small; the complex and the simple. Both the taste of the olive and the sound of the sonata, have a physical origin and impress the brain through the agency of the sense organs. And as a matter of fact I doult whether the sensation of the music is much more complicated than that of the taste. We know that an aconstie sensation is a unit. When a chorus is singing with orehestral
arcompaniment the result is not. a hundred somed waves, lat one? it strikes the ralr drum as a mit, and that vilurates as a mit, so that the impression on the brain, about whose merlanism wre are ignorat. must also be a unit. 'The popularity of the phemograph enables us to ilhstrate this familiarly. Examine with a microseope a record of at complicated masical performance, with man! voices amd many different kinds of instruments, and rou will tind a single ways line. When the needle eanses the disk to ribrate her following this lince, it vibutes as a mit, just as the earedrom does. There is but one disk, yet its vibation enables us to pick out separately the different roiee parts, and torerognize the separate guality of the stringed instruments, the woodwinds and the brasses, with the droms, hells, and what mot. When we taste the olive, we get a sort of chemical effect. We do not know what happens as definitely as we do in the case of a musical somud, but the varions atoms, each vibrating in its own way, art mon the taste-huds of the ongue so that a semsation is tramsmitted to the brain-transmitted as a moit, just as the somol is. I want to be fair, so 1 will arknowledge that instead of comparing a single selnation of taste to a seguencer of somuds, I should have likened it to a musical chord. To get a taste allaloge with a somatal we shomblave to use a sequence of taste semsations. pessihly that presented hey a course dimuer. I submit, howerer, that this does mot affect my argment.

Let merepeat my romviction, then, that art is primarily a matter of the hearta amo mot of the head -of the feelings and not of the intellect, and that the feelines are trained beremonal experience, not by study. Gne camot learn to appreciate a poem. or a pidture or a piece of musid ly examining it his.
torically or structurally, only by experiencing it and others like it again and again, and also by experiencing in life the emotions that the art is intended to aronse. Of comrse I do not mean to say that knowledge of history and technique is not interesting and valuable. It is highly interesting to know the recipe for the pie and to watch the cook make it; but this does not affect the taste.

Knowledge obtained by study does affect ability to reproduce or create. One must know how the pie is made before he can make one himself. One can not write a poem or paint a picture or compose a song, without preliminary study. This should be understood, but it is outside the pale of our present discussion, which relates to the chief purpose of the music collection in a library and of its chief uses. My contention, to repeat, is that it is related to musical art precisely as the purpose of the book-collection is related to the art of literature.

Now the present status of the music collection is precisely what that of the book collection would be in a community where the percentage of literacy was small, where a considerable number of persons did not understand the language of the books, even when spoken or read aloud, where those who knew the language muderstood it only when spoken or read and where readers were obliged to read aloud before they conld appreciate what they were reading. A community, moreover, where teaching generally meant solely teaching how to recite or read aloud acceptably to others, with only enough ability to read to get the sense of an extract and enable the reader to commit it to memory. A librarian set down with a collection of books in such a community would not be true to liis rocation if he did not attempt to better this state of things, while admitting
the elements of good that it contaned. For instance. the imaginary situation that I have described would be fuite comparable with a real apprectation and love of good literature.

In the first place, the librarian would wish to see that all the members of his commmity were ahbe to muderstand the languge of his books, if not to read it. To remember our analogy for a moment. he would practically fit his books to his people. If they were predominantly French, for instance, he would buy many French books. lant one can mot do this with music, for music is a language by itself, for the most part untranslatalbe into any other. W'口 must assume that in the world to which our imaginary commmity belongs there is but one language, and that to moderstand the books those who do not know that language must be talught it. School instruction in language is largely limited to reading. Childrell who go to school understand and talk their language already, having been tanght it at home. It is to the homes, therefore, that the librarian would have to look for this instruction and he would have to bring to bear on parents whatever influence might be at his disposal to make them siee its value and uses.

Secondly, he would have to see that as many ac possible were tanght to read the language. This would be the function of the schools.

Thirdly, it would be necessary to see that facility in reading proceeded so far that readers would not find it necessiry to read aloud, hut could when ther desired, read rapidly "to themselves". It would be necessary, of comse, to show many of the teachers and almost all of their pmpils, that reading is primarily not to emable the reader to recite to others, but to make an impression on his own mental equip-
ment. It is quite possible for one to learn to read out lond after a fashion, in a foreign tongue, without moderstanding a word of it, lut so that listeners may get a fair idea of it. The effect on the reader in this case is absolutely zero.

Musically, this kind of community is precisely the one that public libraries have to deal with. Many of our clients do not like or molerstand music at all, or they care for only the most elementary melodies, harmonies ind rythms-comparable to the literature that one gets in a rhilds primer. Of those whose range of apprectation and love is farly wide, comparatively few are familiar with musical notation, and can not read music. Of those who can read, few can read lapidly and with assuramee, and fewer still can read withont andible utterance; that is, they can not read to themsolves. It is common to hear persons who fan sing or play on some instrument with a fail degree of suceres and taste say "Oh, I ean"t rearl ; I have to pick out the motes anu! get my teacher to hely me," 'This is exactly as if someone who had just recited an oration or a poem with some feeling should proclaim complacently: "Oh, I can"t rally read. I had to pick ont that piece word fox word, with my teacher at my elbow to help me ont."

In the face of surb a sitnation the librarian should feol and ant precisely as he would feel and act if the sitnation existed with regard to books, as it has already been imagined and described.

First, he should try to influence the mowth of musical appreciation through the home, so that all the children in a family shall come to moderstand and nse musical language as they do the langmage of the spoken worl.
secondly, ho should try to inflnence the schools
so that they shall tearly the randine of masian motal tion as thoromshly as the do the readiag of the printed word, and to persmade teanchers of musie to teach masid really and mot simply the art of performing on some musianl instrument.

Thirdly, he should point ont to his masical alients that musid may be reald "to umeself", just as langlage rall, and mombage them to try it, bewinning with easy examples. Note that reading to onse self ean be done only by those who alreatly know how to read alomb, and only bratise. There is no way in which it can be tanght.

Fourthly, he should have in his libuary a salece tion of masic picked out to a great rextent to further the ends outlined alowe. Nurh of it should be for readers, mot for performors. His lists should be made for readers and the comments on individual titles shomld be for readers. Horeover, they shombd at present be surf as will help, the begimmer: for a very large proportion of our monsical readers are begimeres althometh the maty be in the amomaloms position of the realer who knows and apperefiates his suhjert matter very thomghl! while her rall rean abont it only hesitatingly and haltingly. Jmacrine a well-informed amd intelligent stubent of history who has completely forgotten to reall, wwing to some folmonssion of the beath which has mot impaimed his knowledge in any other waly, and yon have the situation of mamy masir-lowers.

There were doultless perets before the insention of aphabets, and one may appreciate a symphons roncert without knowing his musical alphabet on being able to nse it: but we are acenstomed now to considering therongh ability to read as a prepergi-
 I do not sere why as complete all alsility tor real masio
should not be a prerequisite for such a musical education as all persons ought to possess.

The analogy between the reading of mosic and that of language is very close, as we have seen, and we may be guided lyy it largely; lont there is one respect in which it fails. Musie and poetry may both be bad in the semse that they are noly, of fanlty construction, or trivial. But poetry may also be bad because it comsers a bad moral lesson or causes one to accept what is false. I can not see that it is possible for music to do this, except by association. A tune that has always been associated with improper words may in time come to be considered as itself improper, but there can be mothing objectionable about the music in itself. Again, music may be improperly used. Anyone would say that a largo in a minor key was out of place at a wedding, or a jig at a funeral. Association may have, hut does not necessarily have anything to do with this; but here again the music in itself is not objectionable. This simplifies the selection of music for a library for it excludes at the outset almost all the prohlems of censorship. Music is rejected usually for negative reasons-because it is not worth buying; not for any active evil influence that it is likely to exert.

This question (omes up especially in comection with certain adjumets to a music collection-pianola rolls and phonograph records. These are both of great aid in assisting the public to understand the language of music, which they must do before they learn to read it. They may be profitably used, of course in connection with reading, and yet the pleasure of following a piano player or a phonograph with the printed score seems to he known to few. Every library must judge for itself whether it can
afford to put money into these aldjuncts bit in most cases it is mmeressary to do so, it being casy to gret the rolls and reeords by domation. In doing this at my own libary I have been struck with the trivial or so-called "perpular" character of most of the rolls received. I ant told, also that those who borrow them (and they have gome ont "like lont (akes") are largely persons who have not visited the library before. I believe that this sort of masic is permar not beranse it is trivial or "trashy", but hecanse it is easy to moderstand. There is some musie that is both grood and ease basy to moderstand and easy to read. Schmamms Alhmm for the gome will orcur to anyone. The compesitions of halwige selhytte are modern examples. bint the general impression that sood musid is diftient hoth to read and appre-ciate-is "high-brow", in fact; and that easy music is ahways trivial and poor, is ad deduction, I am afraid from experience. It is certainly not in the nature of things. However, so loig as we want easy music, both to hear and to mead, and ingood deal of it is trasliy, I ean see mothing to do but to use the trashy music. With the misie rolls triviality is all we have to object to-the reaseless repectition of the same phrases athe harmonies. Whe must remember, howerer, that these are not boresome to the berinner. It takes a good deal of repetition to make one tired of a musial phrase. Amb them is absolutely no question of active hathess here-only of worthlessuess.

Whell we come to phomoraph reodrls, however, we emombter something different. So far as these are purely minsical, what has heen satid of the masic rolls applies to them also, but many of them are wo cal, and the words are often far below libuary stamd
arrd. When a record is rejected for its words, the musice of comme, must wo with it, althongh as music it may be quite mexceptionable.

The location of the music collection is afferted hy the purpose for which it is maintamed. I rollection for scholars alone should certainly be in a separate room, with an expert custodian. But when we resard the collection as a means of popalarizing musie and of improving popmlar musical taste, the matter takes on amother aspect. A person who comes to the liburar for the purpose of visiting the masic roonn will find it. no matter where it may be, but the reader who needs to have his attention called to it or in whose case it must compete for use with other looks, will never do so. Going hack to our analogy with general literature we may note that when a librarian wishes to promote the circulation of some sperial chass of literature or call attention to some particular book or books, the last thing he wonle think of doing would be to set them apart in a sperial room. What he does do is to patee them romspicuonsly in the most frequented spot in his lihrary.

This is, of course, only one side of the guestion. No one call hrowze in a collection of hooks maless he knows how to read ; and so long as music readers call not read "to themselyes", the reading of instrumental pieres can not be done withont the aid of the actmal instroment. Even when one can read music to himself well enomeh to piek ont what he wants it may aid him to be able to perform the piece on the instroment for which it was writtem. Now the most frequented spot in the library, where I recommend that the music collection shall be displayed, is mot the place for a piano or for its use. This must neressarily be in a separate room.

These are not, howerer, absolutely irreomeilable requirements. It is not neecsialy that the masio and the instriment should be in the same room. A sombl-prof or a distantly-located room, for the instruments, may be used hy those who wish to perperform pieces before selecting them, aron if no music at all is shelverl in the romin. This rown should preferably be as mear as possible to the musio shemes. and if it is it mast of comse be sommel foref.

Going back again for a monkent to chr amaloge the provision of as sombl poof masic room comter spends to the ereation of a similar room for the ordinary reader, where he may take his books amd read them aloud to see how they sombl. The mere statement. shows us how far behind our alility to prad language is our ability to read music.

When 1 first began to present these ideas, which seemed to me to be ahsurdly selfeevedent, it was gradmally borne in upon me that most prophe considered then mew and strange, hoth those whon agreed with me and those who disagreed. Lint withont going into the question of what masic can and can mot conrey to the hmman mind, it seems clear to me that hoth music and lamgage suceed in combeying something to the hmman organism, and do it primepally by somdewates. In the eatee of both, there is a way of writing down what is to be comsered, so that the record may he used by another person who wishes to compey it bermad, or su that a person, sufticiemtly skilled, may comey it to limself, without making
 lish sor complete all amaloge that we maly treat music in a libare precisely as we treat ordinary hooks. both in selection, distribution and use. If to commplete the almakger we mast insist on errtath chatheres in the attitule wwand masie of beth relucators amd
readers, this kind of missionary work is after all no more and no other than that which the modern librarian, especially in America, is often called upoll to do.

I am a believer in the mission of music. The public library can do no more helpful thing to our modern life than to assist the public to understand and love it. The fact that it is not a representative art makes it all the more valuable as a means of detaching the mind from the things of this earth and transporting it to a separate world. A beantiful picture or statue or poem is anchored to the ground by the necessary associations of its subject matter. Musie has no such anchor. It is free to soar, and soar it does, bearing with it the listening soul into regions that have no relations with the things of every day life. It may rest or it may stimulate; it mas gladden or depress; but it does so ly means of its own, not by reminding us of the stimulating or depressing things of our own past experience.

In the multifarious mission of the Public Library, as we Americans see it, surely the popularization of good music is to assume no unimportant place.

The sins of which I purpose to speali arr Dupli(ation and Omission. 'Ther are pectuliar to not ont - lass of persons, to ho one hasiness, profession of in-
 Those who use the Look of Common l'atror aldonoledge them when they confess that they have donne those things that they woht mot to have kome amd have left modone those thimes that they onght to have done. This statement covers other sins, both of commission and omission, than those that I have specified above, but it inclades both of them. The peculiarity of Duplication and Omission is that they are complementary so far as the labor and expense involved in them is conceraned. Their existenere is like that of a surplus amd a debt in the samm purse. To bewail them is like eomplaining beratuse fon have a thousand dollars that ron know bot how to invest and at the same time beeanse yon owe a thonsamd that you can not pay. Ther whole world is out of joint becanse it is doing twior things that med to he done only once, and at the same time is not doing at all things that omght to be dones. Thoe man with the thonsand-dollar surphes and the deht of the same amonnt may obtain quick relief hy paring his indebtedness with his halance. The world will be relieved When it takes the energy and the money now expended in wasteful dupliration and puts it into the doiner of those things that are mow left momene lexallse
 pended wastefully. It is very reasy, is it mot? Is

Casy as arding plus 10 to minns 10 and getting zero. The surphes and the debt, the duplications and the onissions, extinguish rach other and neither of them bothers us any more. Cnfortumately there are pratetical obstacles that do not present themselves in the case of the algebraic: sum. These difficulties might ocenn in the ease of the man with the surplus who owed money, if he could be supposed ignorant both of his balance and of his debt, while suffering the inconveniences due to botlı. This ignorance is the rule, rather than the exception, in the case of ordinare duplications and omissions. Either the duplication is not noticed, becanse at first sight it does not appear to be a duplication, or when recognized as such, its existence does not seem to be of any consequence. Besides this, both duplications and omissions seem to some to be part of the natmral order of things ordained for us and not to be disturbed by the hand of impiety.

One hardly knows when to begin with illustrations where there is such a wealth of material, whether we seek it in civics, or history, or science, or business or in domestir ecomony. As you have donbtless summised I intend to take the Public Library as my chief field of researeh, but I must maintain or at least justify my thesis of muiversality by a preliminary trip throngh a much broader field.

First let us take the age-old miversal grievance, the unequal distribution of wealth, which from our present standpoint we may simplify by saying that one man has two dollars where he needs only one and another has no dollars at all-omission in his case where there is duplication in the other. I know there are some people who fail to see two sins in these simple and well-known filcts, but most of us now:adars are recognizing that it is at least an un-
satisfactory state of affairs. Where we disagree is that some feel that howerer masatisfactory it maly bo there is nothing to be done about it ; that others who agree that it is matisfactory are mathe to agree on what they would consider satisfantory ; and that even those who think they know this are mathe to get together on a methorl of attaining what they desire. These varions kinds and degrees of disagreesment constitute the reason why these two particnlan sims of duplication amd omission contime to be committed.

Now let us take a rery hig jump, from the gemeral theory of socialism down to the golfermbs of Midullefield, Mass.-a real place, thomgh I have taken the liberty to change its mame. With a population of about a thousand, this model village supported until recently two of these institutions for no other reason than the general tendency to wastefnl dupliration, already noted. The links on the West side and those on the East side had both their ardent partisans. Each club considered the existence of the other a shame and an outrage and each was only ton willing to abolish duplication loy consolidation, alWays provided its own particular links should be the ones to survive.

For years this small phace supported these two chubs, each with its club-house. gromods, dues and assessments. Those who were not partisams had to belong to both, to keep the peace. Meanwhile, the town greatly needed a small social whh where the retired city merchants, professional men and artists who largely made up its population could assemble occasionally, have a game of pool or bridge and drink a 'oup of tea. Bat their inemmes were not large and they had to keep up those two golf cluls. The sitmation is so tepical that I am molarging on it a little.

I wish that the outcome were trpical too. That outcome was that after years of discussion the clnbs were merged, one of the links was discontinued, and the village now enjors the little social club that it needed. An omission has been filled ly doing away with a duplication.

The church history of many a small place is very much to the point. We see three or four denominational bodies struggling with small congregations, inadequate buildings and general poverty when by uniting they might fill all these lacks simply by saring what they are now spending on duplication. Doctrinal differences are said to keep them apart; but to the non-theological mind these differences are not greater than these that must always exist between thoughtful men in the same religious hody. It is pleasant to see an oceasional lapse into sanity, shown by the union of such churches and the consequent strengthening and growth of a town's religious life. Probably it is not too much to say that the whole problem of Christian Cnity is but a phase of this general question of duplication and omission.

In the business world our two sins flomrish like green bay trees. Small villages have two groceries and no hardware store; large cities may be orerrun with one trade while there is lack of another. These things ought to adjust themselres, but they do not. One can pick ont duplication and omission in the stock of a single institution. On asking for something at a department store recently I was met with the remark. "Inn't that funny"? You are the fifteenth person who has asked for that in the last three days!" The fact was noted as merely curious and interesting and there was apparently no intention of remedying the omission, even by cutting out some of the superfluons styles of neckties.

The most flagrant example I know of dupliation in the business and industrial world is the duplicate telephone company. A telephome company is a grood example of a mutual enterprise: its value to any sub. srriber depends on the existence of all the other subseribers. If a man could atford to buy up the company and discontime all the telephomes but his own, the ralue would disappear. Two companies are simply a nuisance, involving duplication of plant with no resulting convenience. The same is not trme of gas or water companies, beraluse here one user does not depend on the others. Yom womld get just as good service if the electric company (ondended to serve rou, and you alone. There is, to be sure, wasteful duplication in these cases also, hut in the instance of the telephone it is accompanied with necessary deterioration of service.

I suppose I need say little about the existenere of our two sins in the houschold. We are honeycombed with them from the rural dimmer table where there are no soup and three kinds of pie, to the honsewife who gields to the temptation to hy another evening dress and "call not afford" all outing costume. What we need everywhere is some kind of a board of Equalization, with autocratic powers, that will rigourously suppress all our duplication and with the money saved supply our omissions for us.

We may learn something from the efforts that have recently been made to minimize these two sins in charitable work and sorial sorvice. Every dity contains numerous charitahb bodies, all trying to relieve want and alleviate sulfering. They are frequently the prey of unscrupulous prepons who manage to get their wants alleviated by there or four sor cieties at once-by each, of combers withont the knowledge of the others. The result is that there
are no funds to relieve many worthy persons who accordingly suffer. The two sins in this case are being aroided by the simple establishment of a cardindex at a central point. When an application is made for relief the index-office is informed by telephone, the index is consulted, and if it is found that the applicant is already receiving aid from some other source his request is politely but firmly refused.

The present production of hooks gives us an instructive example of the existence of duplications and omissions on a large scale; and the elucidation of these will bring us a little nearer to the application of our principles to the library, toward which we are tending. I know not which is the more striking fact in connection with the publishing business -the contimual issue of useless books-fiction and non-fiction, or the non-existence of works on vital subjects regarding which we need information. Of course this is due partly to the fact that the men who know things are also the men who do things. They are too busy to write them down. It is also due to the almormal appetites of the semi-educated. which create a demand for the trivial and fatuous. The semi-educated person is intellectually young; he has the peculiarities of the child. Foremost among these is the love of repetition. The little one would rather hear his favorite fairy tale for the hundredth time than risk an adventure into stranger fields of narrative. There is something admirable about this when it leads to the adult's love of re-reading great literature. But in the semi-educatd it appears as an unlimited capacity for assimilating unreal fiction with the same plots, the same characters, the same adventures and the same emotions, depicted time after time with slight changes in names and attendant circumstances.

An African explorer told me recently that the events attending the southward progress of the French through the Saharat and down into Central Africa were the most thrilling and the most important, from the standpoint of world history, among those of recent times. The story of them remains unwritten, except for a few episodes in French that have not heen thought worthy of tramsation into other tongues. Yet in this period how much trivial incident, how much banal reminisernce, has heren thought worthy of enshrinement in bulky octavos, selling at four dollars each! The money spent in putting forth the same idle stuff that has oppressed the world for centuries would have supplied great gaps in our catalogues of history, travel alld science and have given us vital literature that we may now have lost forever.

In fiction, the sin of repetition is lareely due to the substitution of imagination for ohservation. No two actual things are alike and no two erente happen in the same way. Ohservation and accurate description will never result in duplication. But the semi-educated imagination sees always the same things and sees them in the same way: and its use in the writing of fiction results as we have seen.

Would that we had, to-day and here, realism like that of Turgenief in his "Memoirs of a Sportsman" -the detailed account of every-day happenings; the hardest thing in the world to write interestingly. When we try it, which we seldom do, we seem to revert at once to the dreary side of life, which doubtless exists but surely not to the exclusion of other things. Turgeniefs hook helped toward the emancipation of the serfs. I will not dwell on that, for Mrs. Stowes Cucle Tom's Cahin-a very different sort of book, performed a like office for us. I will rather
insist that Turgenief wrote simple, vital descriptive literature; something that you will look far to find in our modern fiction.

Our books of reference are full of duplications and omissions. Search the commoner dictionaries and cyclopedias on the library shelves and you will find countless instances of items of information given twice or thrice and others left ont altogetherof words entered nnder more than one form and completely defined under each, while cross-references lead the seeker to nothing at all. After working a good many years on books of this kind I am convinced that the art of making a perfect dictionary or cyclopedia is the art of avoiding duplication and omission. This can not be done until publishers are willing to allow sufficient time to elaborate a plan before beginning work on one of these books. This, so far, has never been done, and the two sins contimue to be committed, here as elsewhere.

It is doubtless time for our application of these principles to the library. We have not to look far to begin.

Take any city of average size and inquire how many libraries it supports. Is there any necessity in a town for more than one library? I am open to conviction, but I doubt. There are excellent reasons for the duplication in each case, I know, just as there were for the two golf clubs in our little town. The duplication in buildings, staff and books is very costly, and the selvice, no matter how good it may be, is not bettered by this duplication. The trouble may be minimized by co-operation, but it still exists. Take, if you please, the one item of book-purchase. I shall not speak here of private owners, though they must bear their share of blame and of punishment for our two sins; but add together the
hook funds of the two or three large libraries-pub. lic or subseription-and of the dozen small onesspecial, denominational, associational-in a rommunity, and see to what a considerable sum it amonnts. If it could be administered and expended as a unit, is there any one who will mantain that the preeise books would be bought that artually are bought? We find all these libraries huying eopies of the same book when one cony is all that the commonity needs, pach ignoring the others and eath lat menting the insufficiency of its funds. I have not forgotten such conspicuous instances of co-operation in book-purchase as that of the three lare libraries in Chicago, but I also do not forget that it is rare. and that even in Chicago it has been fom diftioult to carry it ont in the perfection in which it is to he found on paper. If we add private purchasers to the libraries I have little hesitation in saying that the money spent on books in any commmity is quite enough to buy all that the community needs. The lacks are due to the fact that the sum needed to supply them is spent on useless duplicates.

I an not proposing plans, here or elsewhere, to perform the addition of phas and minns quantities that is so easy in pure algehra ; I am merely printing out their existence. From my point of view the ideal situation in a commmity is the alministration by a single body of all its library activities, even private owners co-operating to a certain extent. Lat us refresh our memories with a bit of library history. There are at present a wreat many separate libarimes in greater New lork. That is. from my point of view. a bad thing. But there were oner a great many more. New York and Brooklyn wor full of small circulating libraries-demominational, charitable and assoriational; and many of them had succerded ia
obtaining small subsidies from the city. The sum of these was considerable-or would have been considerable had it been administered as a sum, instead of in separate driblets. All the considerations noted above applied in this case, but the Board of Equalization for which we have been sighing actually existed here. It was the eity goverument, which bestowed and controlled a large part of these institutional incomes. A city comptroller with a businesslike mind saw all this and proceeded to act upon it. The small libraries became branches of the public libraries of New York and Brooklyn. The city subsidy, in a lump sum went to those institutions. If there is any one who now wishes to return to the old system of separate control and duplication of effort, I an unacquainted with him; notwithstanding the fact that 1 know many trustees of the consolidated institutions who were filled with rage at the summary action of the city. That action was in the nalture of both a threat and a bribe-a threat to discontinue the appopriation of city funds for a library that should refuse to consolidate and a bribe in the shape of a hint of additional favors to come if it should not refuse. Mr. Andrew Carnegie's offer to buid branch libraries, coming at about this time. made it possible to reinforce this hint very effectively.

Our federal government is being held up as the model for a future world federation, and its successful operation confutes the fears of those who doubt the workability of any such plan. In like manner I beg to point to the library consolidations in New York and Rrooklyn as an evidence that such removal of duplication elsewhere would enable us to supply omissions in library service. All we need is a motive-if not the threats and bribes that forced the

New York consolidation, then something of equal ef fect. But as I have said I am not proposing plans. The abolition of this kind of duplication requires pressure from an outside body or agreement among those concerned; no one of ns, acting alone, call do away with it. But there are duplications and omis. sions in the work of every library that it is in the power of the librarian to remerly. Many of these are the result of growth. I know of mo profession whose members are more continually and consistently looking for more work to do thath that of libarat anship. This quest is rarely carried on coopmatively in a library. The head of each department araspes every opportunity to enlarge her shere of influence, with the result that her sphere finst tomehes that of another department and then interserts it, so that they possess certain parts of the field of service in common. The departments concernod maty not know of this duplication, or the may realize that it is going on and be mwilling to stop it for varions reasons. Each department-head, like the golf-clubs mentioned above, maly be willing to abolish duplication by driving her fellow-worker ont of the field, but not otherwise: and her fear lest she herself may have to be the one to retire maty induce her to keep silence. Sometimes the libarath him. self, observing the interferences contents himself with seeing that individual items of semice are not duplicated, leaving the two departments to do. in part, the same kind of work, thongh not in precisely the same items. This is but a partial atomement for our two sins. Althongh there is, perhals, no lomer actual duplication of work, there is duplication of administration, duplication of thonght and planning. All this is waste of effort that should be devoted to doing some of the things that every library
leaves undone. I have elsewhere treated of what I call "conflicts of jurisdiction" in libraries. This comes under the same head, though there may be no actual clash of authorities.

Sometimes we have cases resembling those of the applicants for charitable aid from various sources. Members of the public entitled to library service, the amount of which has been limited by the rules to ensure proper distribution and to prevent monopoly, manage to get two or three times as much as they should get, by applying to different departments, or to the same department under different names. There has been much removal of restrictions of late, in libraries, with the intent to give fuller and freer service to the public. There should be no restriction that interferes with such service. But many restrictions are intended merely to check those whose tendency is to hamper service; and removal of these will evidently injure the public, not benefit it. Traffic regulations are a great bother, but their removal would not be in the public interest. Neither would the removal of necessary regulation of library traf-fic-the free distribution of books through the appointed public agencies. I sympathize with our modern desire to let Mr. A have as many books as he wants and to keep them as long as he wants; but this sympatlyy changes to indignation when Mr. A proves to be a library hog, taking advantage of his privileges simply to keep away from Mr. B and Miss 0 the books that they want. Now and again we find a reader who understands increase of library privileges to mean taking a book away from someone else and giving it to him. There could be no more flagrant example of the double sin of duplication and omission-giving A more than he can use and thereby depriving $B$ of what he needs.

The expenditure of time is a domain in which
our two sins become especially noticeable. If one has plenty of money he may waste a good deal without serions effects; but waste of time is different. The total extent of time is doubtless infinite, but not its extent as available to the individual. He has only his three-score years and ten, and astronomical happenings have chopped this up for him into rears, months, weeks and days, any one of which is largely a repetition of those that have gone before. So many of our duties, for instance, are daily that the average man has only a few hours out of the twentr-four to deal with emergency work, "hurry calls"and all sorts of exceptional demands on his time. If he gives ten minutes to something that requires but five, he must often neglect a duty, and this constitutes duplication and omission of time, to be remedied by taking the unneccessary five minutes from one task and bestowing it on another. Here again, however, our algebraic addition is simple only on paper. We are hindered not only by our own propensity to waste time but by those whose own is of no value and who therefore insist on wasting ours for us.

This is a subject on which most executive officers can speak feelingly. Such officers are troubled with two kinds of lieutenants-those who keep them in ignorance of what is going on and those who insist on putting them in continual possession of trivial details-more omission and duplication, you see. One special kind of time-waster is the assistant who comes to her chief with a request. Foreseeing refusal she has primed herself with all sorts of arguments and is ready to smash all opposition in a logieal presentation of the subject callenlated to oceupy thirty minutes or so. But the request, as stated, appeals to her chief as reasonable, and he grants it at once withont hearing the argument. Do you think
the petitioner is going to waste all that valuable logic? Not she! She stands her ground and pours it all out, the whole half hour of it; and when the victim has granted a second time what he had already granted without argument, she retires flushed with triumph at her success. And while this duplicator was duplicating, the other simner, the "omittor", was performing some innocent and valuable administrative act without her chief's knowledge, causing him to give wrong information to a caller and convict himself of ignorance of what is going on in his own institution.

Time-wasting, of course, is by no means confined to the library staff. Much of every ones time, in a library, is consumed in fruitless conversations with the public-the answering of trivial questions, the search for data that can do no one any good, efforts to appease the wrath of someone who ought never to have been angry at all, attempts to explain things verbally when adequate explanations in print are at hand. All these things consume valuable time and thereby force the omission of public services that would otherwise be performed. Some of them are unavoidable. We must always charge up a little time to the account of courtesy, the avoidance of brusqueness, the maintenance in the community of that tradition of library helpfulness that is perhaps the library's chief asset. This we can not afford to lose. But without sacrificing it, can we not eliminate some of the bores, cut down our useless services for the sake of performing a few more useful ones, and increase the amount of library energy usefully employed without enlarging the total sum expended? This is one of our most vital problems, did we but realize it.

We have gone far enough, perhaps, to realize that
our two sins are indeed cardinal and fundamental. The authors of the Prayer Book were right. We have done those things that we ought not to have done and we have left undone those things that we ought to have done; and we are all miserable simers.

If I had nerve enough to add a new society to the thousand and one that carry on their multifarious activities about us, I shombld fomm a league to suppress Duplications and Supply ()missions.

## 


#### Abstract

History may be leseribed as an aneome of tha conflict between the temdeney of thinge to move and efforts to fasten them dewn so that they will keep still. Where they have herel moving in the wrong direction these efforts have been pratiseworthe: bat in too many instances motion has heen resisted simply becallse it is motion, gniescence being lookron ur on as the supreme goom . In his interesting "Itistory of Fiji". Dr: Alfred Goldsburongh Mayer motes that the difference betweren the savage and the eivilized man is not one of content of knowledge, for the saw age often knows far more than wo do, but is due to the fact that the sarage is boumd hand and foot ly tradition-he is a slave to his imagination, and to that of his forefathers. The contlict in his case has ended definitely with the trimmph of the fastening down process. There is mo more motion. He can not fall bark, but meither eall he mowe forward. He is locked in ome position-that of the particular generation, five, lifty or tive lombled rears aco, when his fight for progress was lost.

With the efvilized man the fight still goes on. It is not yet won nor lost and the story of it, as I hate said, is history. Read it in this light and it will as sume for yon new signitianace W:ars, revolutions, changes of dyasty, racial migrations, limgustic chamges, the arhievements of att, the trimmphs of science, the exolution of social systems, the develop ment of justice, the rise of literature and the dramas -everything that marks the story of what has been


gring on in the world-is but a phase of this agelong struggle between forces and obstacles of whose origins, at bottom, we know little. So far as the olstacles have won, there are still savage elements lurking in us; so far as we have throst them aside, we are advancing further toward civilization. The one title that we have to call omselves civilized is the fact that no set of traditions or enstoms-no in-stitution-has yet become crystallized into the fixity that ohtains with the savage races;-mot the Church, not govermment, not sience, nor art nor literature. All these are changing, despite efforts to pin them down. Our language, our social customs are altering; our fashions of dress change from year to year. Our old people, for a man often reverts to savagery in his old age, pass away with words of regret on their lips for the good old days of their youth, when things were different. A savage has never to do this, for the days of his routh and his age are precisely the same-custom, speech, habit, observance, tradition, all are locked up into fixity.

The education of the savage is directed toward perpetuating this fixity; that of the civilized man should be a forre in the opposite direction. Recoga nizing that change is the life-bood of civilization, it should be devoted to controlling and directing that change, leading the mind of the pupil to anticipating and welcoming it and bracing that mind against all feeling of shock due to the mere starting of the machinery of progress. I say this is what education should be. I believe that it is temding in this way. But a large part of it is still savage-an effort to keep our customs, thonghts and actions to standards set up by our ancestors.

The Public Libary, we are fond of saying, is an edncational institution; which kind of education
shall it dispense". Shall it be a motor or a brake? Shall it look lack into the past or forward into the future?

To many persons, the idea of a forward-looking library seems absurd. It is cssentially a repository of records, and records are of the jast. Vou will find somewhere, muless ohlivion has owertaken it, an address by your lecturer on "The Inblic Library as a Conservative Force". Such it donbtless is and such it should be-but its comservatism is that of control, not of stagnation. It is the skilled driser who keeps the war in the road - not the ignoramus who stalls it in the ditch. Records are assuredly of the past; but the past and its reeords may be looked upon in either of two ways-ats standards for all time or as fommations on which to build for the future. The civilized man rejoices in foundationshe builds them deep and strong, and erects upon them some moble surestructure. The savage futs up his great stone circle, mighty and wonderful perhaps, lout complete in itself and of no manner of use.

So I ask you, what is oum collection of records to be-a stone circle or a foundation?

Now the records themselves-the beoks-can never determine this any more than the great monolith can determine whether it is gong into a stomehenge or into the fommation of a I'arthenon. It is what we do to the books-to and with them-that matters.

The world would never move on withont remods of the progress that had abready been made. Just as surely, it would never mowe on hy reliance on those records alone. What we have accomplished brings us merely to a mile stome in the path of progress. To reach a given point, one must pass the mile stones on the way: but they must be passed
and left behiud. We shall never get anywhere merely by sitting down upon any of them. To make a personal application to yourselves, you will never make good librarians unless you master what good librarians before you have learned and taught. But just as certainly, you will never be good librarians if you regard this as a definite stopping point. The trouble with most of our education is that it is static and not dynamic ; it looks backward, not forward; it teaches what has already been accomplished and fails to equip the student for devising and accomplishing something further, on his own account.

I am warning you in the midst of a course intended to fit you for librarianship that the course alone will not so fit you. But it will start you-and a start in the right direction is of great value-nay, it is indispensable. When the fielder throws the ball directly into the baseman's hands there is a preliminary motion of his arm. At the end of that motion the ball begins its flight; its start has enabled it to go straight. Your library course will be the throw that enables you to go straight to the mark, but you must not forget that the whole flight remains to be made. My metaphor is a bad one. The ball has no power to adjust or alter its course. You have that power; you can better a good start, or you can nullify it. You may even hit the mark after you have been started in the wrong direction; but to say this is by no means to recommend a wrong start.

All this is a series of platitudes; but to insist on the obvious is often useful. There are so many obvious things that we are apt to neglect some of the most necessary, just as we may fail to see a sign on a building because it is all plastered with signs. Nothing is more common than to assume that a period of formal education, general or special, makes its
subject "fit", either for life or for a vocation. Some never get over this idea and fail in consequence; some discover their mistake and hlame their training becanse it does not do what it can not do and was mot intended to do. Formal training trains one to start; it makes one fit to rme the race. The race is not won when the trainine has ended; it has not even hegun. The man with a B. A. dearee is not ready to tackle the problems of life and vanquish them. The graduate in law or medicine is not a trained lawer or physician, and when you have compheted yom library comrse yon will mot be trained librarians. You will have been started right, the rest of sour training will depend on four reation to the forces, the stimuli, fhat surround yon on all sides.

What the execotive otficer is looking for all over the world is initiative, guided by common sense; but it is rare. Possibly our education fails to derelop it ; possibly no system of education could develop it. Pat it exists; and we are all happy when we find it. Throwing out of consideration the really lazy, ignorant or incompetent assistant, competent subordinates may be of three kinds-first, he who has been trained to do certain things in certain ways and contimes to do only those things in only those ways, not realizing the possibility of change or improvement; secondly, he who does realize this possibility hat has heen tanght, or at any rate helieves, that it is not his place, hut only his superior's, to take active steps toward something more or better; and thirdly he who both realizes and acts, who does what he can to see that such steps as he can properly take to improve matters are taken and that such as he can not take of his own accord are surgested, in a proper manner, to his superior. If I were asked to
sum up, in a few words, the things that differentiate a well run from a poorly run institution I should say, first, the existence of a staff composed of persons of this third rariety, and secondly a chief executive who appreciates and uses them. A progressive executive with a staff of assistants who faithfully ober orders and do nothing more will not go far. His institution may make no mistakes; it may ruu like a machine, but it will have the faults of a machine-its product will be machine made. With a live staff and a poor executive there will be a maximum of mistakes, absurd and ill-judged plans-a failure to co-ordinate effort in different lines. With plenty of initiative in the staff, and with an executive to select, restrain, encourage and control, we have an approach to the work of a single living organism, the most perfect tool of evolution.

While this means the encouragement of suggestion it also means rejection and selection. It means that while the staff will have to bear disappointment with good nature and without diminution of initiative, the executive, on his part, must realize that a hundred impractical suggestions do not disprove the possibility, or even the probability, that the assistant who makes them may ultimately offer some plan, method, or device of great value. Some of the greatest improvements in library service are due to persons with an imagination and an initiative especially prone to run wild in impractical suggestions.

I realize that I may be regarded as tossing a firebrand among you when I tell you to derelop your initiative. An unwise or uncontrolled initiative may do harm, but I fervently believe that greater harm is done every day by the lack of all initiative. Better than any stagnant pool is a running stream, though it break bounds and waste itself in foam and spray.

There may be those who will say: Let the student first learn to obey without question; when he has done this it will be time to talk to him about initiative. Alas! that will also be the time when he has lost the chance to develop it inteligently. No, the accepted standards and the ways of progress must be assimilated at one time. Rather than muquestioning obedience to an order, a rule or a formula, let us have appreciation of the reason for it and disoberlience whenever a hreaking of the letter may keep us more closely to the spirit.

I can assure you that fou will make hetter assistants if this is rour temperament, that librarians are looking earnestly for more of this kind, rejoicing when they see the spark of life among the dead wheels and cogs of the libraty mathindry, determined to give any one who shows it an opportunity to show more of it. by promoting him to a place of sreater effort and of higher responsibility and service. When such a promotion comes, perhaps ofer the heads of others with better training and longer experience, there is often wonder and a disposition to explatn it all he "faroritism". And riewed fom the proper angle, this is correct; every chief librarian has his favorites; they are those om whom he has learmed that lee can depend, not only for solid and accurate knowledge of facts and methods hut also for quick and ready response to the slightest change of condi-tions-for appreciation of what is needed in a given set of umsual ciremmstances and resome fofluess in devising new methods or modifying old ones to meet the emergency-what I have already summed up in the one word initiative.

Every teacher, and every student know: that a good arithmetician may fail utterly when he comes to state and solve frobleme in algehta. His suceess
has been due to the memorizing of rules and their application. When he is confronted with the necessity of putting into mathematical symbols the fact that $A, B$ and $C$ can do a piece of work in 3,4 and 5 days, respectively, he is stumped because an entirely different sort of demand is made on his intelligence. And when his teacher explains how the statement may be made, althongh he has learned how to state that particular class of problems, he is just as much at sea when he is confronted with the question of how soon after 12 oclock the hands of a watch will again be together on the dial.

In other words, he has left the land of rules and entered the region of common sense. If he is bright, he very soon realizes that all mathematics is common sense; that rules are very useful indeed, but only as short cuts to mechanical processes.

So, at least so I trust, all the methods and tools of library work are based on common sense-catalogues and charging systems and classifications are very useful indeed, but only as short cuts to certain results that would otherwise not he achieved or would be arrived at too late or too confusedly. We must learn all about these, but the time will come when we shall leave the library school and enter the library. Here no sort of rinle, formula, method or process will suffice for us, essential though they all are; if we are to make good we must add common sense, adaptability, resourcefulness, initiative.

Possibly you think that I have been applying the principle of conflict between progression and stagnation somewhat carelessly-now to your own training as librarians and again to the service rendered by the library itself. In truth these are intimately connected. Progressive assistants make a progressive library. A staff that does its work mechanical-
ly will operate a library withont intiative. If your habit of mind has grown to be a halit of regarding all the technical detail of library work as part of natures law, you will be shocked at a surgestion that the libary of which vou are a part should undertake some public service that a library never undertook before.

You may know already-you certainly will know soon-that this question of the extension or limitation of library service is still a bmong one in many minds. Libraries to-day are doing a thousand things that no one of them would have thomght of doing fifty years ago. That some of these things are foolish or ill advised I have no doubt. We now oceasionally hear it said that there should lee some anthoritative statement or agreement on what puhbic libraries, at any rate, ought to do and what the ought not to do. But we Americans do not take kindly to limitations of this sort, althongh they are familiar in countries where service of all kinds is more standardized. We read in a recent magazine article of the trials of Mrs. James Russell Lowell with English servants, when her hashand was American minister in London. Wishing to have a loose comer of carpet mailed down, she called on one after another of her domestic staff, omly to be told that the clearly-defined duties of each did not admit of that particular item of service. She finally lined them uIf on ome side of the room, tacked down the carpet herself and then discharged every one of them. This sort of thing does not seem to Americ:ans like aflicience. If some needed hit of service in an American town remains nudone, and chureh and selool and library all look the other way becanse it does not fall within a care-fully-limited sphere of duty which cach has assigned to itself, we shall combt them all bameworthy, es.
pecially if it shall appear that one of them is equipped to perform that partionlar service easily, cheaply and well. The charch and the school have both taken this view, and the modern extension of the library's functions shows that it has been doing likewise. It has gone further than either of the others, probably, because it finds itself in many ways better equipped for the doing of civic odd johs. It is related of a railway manager that an employe whose work was over once asked him for a free ticket home. The manager refused, saying: "If you had been working for a farmer you would hardly expect him to hitch up and drive you home, would you?" "No", said the man, "bout if he had a rig already hitched up and ready to start, and he was going my way, I should call him darned mean if he didn't take me along."

In many cases the library has been hitehed up and standing at the door when the necessity has arisen, and it has been "going the same way"-in other words, the need of the community is nearly related to the work that the community's support has already enabled it to do. Under these circumstances it is in the position of Coleridge's Wedding Guestit "can not chuse but hear".

When we look at the library's recent history, we shall see that it is in precisely this way that it has taken on all its additional functions. The old libraries lent no books. But home use of books seemed presently desirable. After experimenting with separate institutions for this kind of service, we have all come around to considering it a legitimate function of the Public Library. Libraries gave no attention to children. When this became necessary, another function was added. These and other duties were very closely related to the library's older functions.

Soon there was a further step, in makiner whirla the library took wer sorviees whose comalection with its primary hasiness w:as not so elaats. 'To draw all rxample from what is most familiar to me at presernt, in the sit. Lonis I'mblie Library fou will find a room for art exhibits, collections of post-ands and textile falbries, a calrel index to current lectmres, exhihitions and eomeerts, a publie writing-romm with fres motre
 ing, like fomselres, to lo lihrarians; meeting-places for all sorts of clubs alld montis, civic, "flucational, Social, political and religious: : photographic copying mathine, plated at proble disposall at the cost of operation : lunch-rooms :and rest-foums for the staff ; a garage, with automobiles in it, not to speak of an extensive telephone switchboald, a paint-shof), : c:arpenter shop, and a power-plant. Not one of these things, I helieve, wonld fou have fomm in al larea libraty fifty ? (ads aco, and pet they are probably all, in one shatpe ow another, to he fommb in all latere modern Ameriatalloraries. They arrextensions of fatetion ; in manly (ases it womld le latrd to justify thent
 Young jeronde to dance. of mern to labla a politiral meating or the meighbors to exhibit lowal prodnets, in its building? Our Emghish friemes hold that it is the laselet of absurdity to do so. bouhtless we should he alsiurd if we should attempt to formulate
 erly be arlmitted to the librally amd shomld incolalo such things as these. Fat that is mot the wily in which it all callor aloont. There was somble eront of
 cial to themselves allad to the commonnty They Watuted a plate formert. 'hareh and selonol, for whe

the question, and they came to the library. The Library latd an unoceupied room, heated and lighted. It had the choice of locking ont citizens of the community that were supporting it ont of the public funds, or of admitting them. Put in this way the library's duty seems clear enongh. But there is a step further still. Some demands for help are so old that the knocking at the door has passed out of the consciousness of both those who knock and those who lieal. In this case it becomes necessary for the library to moderake what a recent scientific writer calls the "re-education of its attentive control". When an institution reaches the conclusion that it is doing all that it can, or all that the community can properly ask of it, the chances are that it is losing its ability to concentrate. Its duty is to fix its attention on one element of community life after another and ask itself whether it is not overlooking some really insistent demand for help.

I well remember when, in the New York Public Libnary we nsed complacently to explain our failure to purchase Hungarian hooks for circulation hy saying that there was no demand for them. But the time cane when we put in a few hundred books in that tongue. It once it becance evident that we needed not hundreds but thonsands. Hungarians came to us from far distant parts of the city only to find empty shelves. This overwhelming demand had been present all the time; only it was latent. It lacked active expression, simply because our lack of Hungrarian books was a well known fact. Since then when librariams tell me that their libraries have no books in Ruthenian, or on sanitary plumbing, no out-of-town directories or 110 prints for circulation, becanse "there is no demand for them", I am inclined to smile. No matter how near vou may be to dying
of thirst, yon will not be likely to visit an obviously dry sand-hank in seareh of water.

The intelligent search for these latent demands requires the kind of interested ability that 1 have already spoken of as one of the libarys chief needs. The library must keep on mrowing if it is to live. It must take on new functions, and when it assumes some new duty, some enroup in the community must exclaim "Of comse! that is just what we have been wanting all the time". And at the salme time there will always be some outworn function that may be dropped off quietly to make room for the new.

Only the libratan must mot mistake minterligent imitation for initative. Imitation in itself is umobjectionable. If what someone else has devised is obviously the very thing you have been looking for to solve vour problem, you would only waste energy in trying to devise something else. But if you think you can create in your commmity a lihrary as good, we will say, as Mr. Dana's in Newark, or Mr. Brett's in Cleveland or Mr. Jennings in Seattle, simply by ropying every detail of those institutions, you are as foolish as if you thought you could make yourself look like your well-deressed friend simply by horrowing his clothes. The library mast fit the community; also, in some resuects, the librarian. I hate reerently visited Miss Hewins office in the Hartford I ublic Library. I thank it is the most fascinating oftice a librarian ever ocempied. But I rertainly shall mot go home to St. Lonis and try to make mine look like it.

This warming applies partioularly to the added functions of which we have been spaking above. They should be assumed in response to a demandexpressed or latent. The demand may be obvions and insistent in one libuary and non-existent in an
other. If you suspect a latent demand, experiment will gencrally reveal or disprove its existence, just as those few humdreds of Hungarian books brought out the demand for the present thonsands. We have on the east side of our library a broad terrace, halustraded, elevated above the street, paved with brick and stome. It is shady on summer afternoons, and swept by the south breeze. What an ideal place to read in the open air, instead of in the stuffy build ing! We erfuipped it with tables and chairs, relaxed the rmes to make it easy to take books and magazines there, did everything in our power to encourage terrace readers. The public press saw and approved. Everything worked well, except that mobody came! A failure, do you say? Not at all. We had tried our experiment, tested for our possible latent demand and fomud that there was none. We had asked our question and received onr answer. There are no tables and chairs on that terrace to-day, but we are not discouraged: why should we be". A real experiment never fails: you always get your answer-yes or no. of course if your experiment is a sham, and you have assumed that the answer is to be the one that you want, you may be disappointed.

It is always a pleasure to watch things grow, to be able to keep them on and guide their growth in useful directions. A library is no exception to the rule. Even growth in size-the simplest kind-has its satisfactions, but extension of service is still more interesting. It is well that there should be a little mystery between the librarian and his pmblic-a conscionsness of problems ret to solve, of service yet to be rendered. It is well that he should be on the lookout for latent demands-those hungers and thirsts that he knows must exist somewhere and that he is eager to satisfy; it is well that his community should
resard the library as a place with oplowtunity amel Willingess for serviee yet worevoaleal as a reservoir of favors yet malestowed. This is a liviner relation. not one of mere juxtaposition. I mever ellvied the kind of service that ohd Atlas did the world, in stand inge eternally with it on his shoulders. That was ant image of dull, hurdensome despalif. How mond hetter our modern vision of a sphaniner erlolue. circling through space, with all its brother and sistar alabes dancing around it: And howrocer miratenlons it seems, we know that whenever we ort mp ind wallk across the room there is a tiny aljustment of halancer thronghout the whole vast sisterm. There are social] balances, too, as well as relestial, and wherl the lihralry puts ont its font to take a forwarl step. I hulice that they all respond.

These things that libraries are doinor have their part in the rast sorial adjustments in the midst of which we live. sume day a social historian will arise to describe them and set them in their place. I am frerguently disappointed when 1 take $\quad$ th some bouk describing a movernent or an apllication of raterey in which I know that. the library has borne a part. to find that its share has been alsonlutely withont recognition; that the word "lihrary" is mot exen in the eopions index. We have been lanse doing thines -here in the serolusion of the libralel family we may say that they have heers thiness worth the doiner.
 torl.
 tive of my principle that lihrary servier, like erow

 somewhere else. Af the elose of at ree ent noter one of the most thonghtful of auremt Ebelish writers. Mr.
J. 1). Beresford, states the issue thus (I quote from memory) : "Virtue is only continued effort; a boast of success is really a confession of failure". Of course, continuance of effort, virtuous though it may be, will be of little avail without ability, intelligence, common-seuse-at least a modicum of those qualities whose complete combination makes up that wholly impossible creature, the Perfect Librarian. Training will not give you these-the Almighty bestows them at our birth-but it will develop such as you have already-and none of us lacks all of them.

Keep on moving, then, and when you score a point, rejoice ouly because it proves that scoring is one of your possibilities, and that you are likely to score many others before your race is run.

## LC゚CK IN THE LIRRAR

"It is better to be born lurky thall rich", says the old provert. "Is he hucky". Nitpoleont used to ask when anyone was recommended to him. Literat ture is full of allusions to luck; histery is full of the belief in it and of the influence of that belief of the course of events. Do I believe in luck? Most as suredly, if sou will allow me to frame my own definition. One of the most important and fascinating branches of modern mathematios-the theory of chances or probabilities, deals with what may he called luck, and with its latws. (latmer, we are told, is "the totality of molonsidered ramses". When an event is comditioned entirely lyy chance we say that it came about by "luck", thongh the muconsidered causes are there just the same. I trant, we will say, stakes his victim's life on the cast of a die. Whether he perishes or mot is solely a matter of good or bad "luck". When a hasket contains ten marbles, of which fire are hatek and five are white we know that in the long ron the mmber of hark and white mathles drawn at ralldom temds foward equality, and we express this bey sang that fhe chance of drawing either black or white is mon in two, or $1 / 2$. Whether bark of white appers at ally single drawing is purely a matter of luck. In this sense, luck confronts us at exery turn, and no whe (:and deny its existemer. Now let us so a litule further: May chate happenimgs he athered ber ciremmstances that have no apparent combertion with them: Wombtless; but so far ats they are they are mo longer sub)
ject to the laws of chance. It is because we know this that we are able to study nature by experiment. If in a long series of drawings, from a basket containing an equal number of black and white marbles, we draw chiefly black, we recognize at once the fact that some cause, distinct from the mass of slight and unconsidered causes whose combined action we know as "chance", is aeting. We try at once to get at that cause by varying the conditions. If we find, for instance, that by plunging the hand deeper into the basket we get white balls as well as hlack, we conclude that the white balls were heavier and so settled to the bottom when the mass was shaken. So it may be that a particular series of happenings may be affected by locality, by personality or by season. So far as this is true, chance or "Iuck" has ceased to act and we must look for the cause. These, however, are precisely the circumstances in which many persons are accustomed to infoke a luck of higher grade and more potent qualities, a luck that clings to person, place, or time. If in a series of happenings more turn out to the adsantage of a particular person than pure chance would warrant, he is said to be "lucky". In other words, the necessity of assigning a cause is recognized, and it is easier to call this canse "luck" than to search for it and to identify it. I am not sure that we are right in objecting to this procedure. We do not object to humping together the totality of unconsidered causes and calling them "chance". It is legitimate to do so when it is impossible to discover and treat them separately. In like manner it may be considered proper to call a man "lucky" when the causes of his success evade detection, though we may be sure that they exist. It is in this sense that it is better to be born lucky than rich. This was what Napoleon meant, I have
no doubt, by his question, "Is low lucky"." He might have said, "Is he muiform! successful, for reasons that do not lie on the surface? If so, we must as sume the existence of calnses, though we canmot dotect them. Doultless he will continue to suceed, even if we can not always tell why. That is the kind of man that I prefer:"

Just a little philology here may throw additional light on our subject. I have said that Napoleon's question was, "Is he lucky"." Now of course Napoleon did not use these words, because they are Ener. lish words, and he spoke in French. What he said, doubtless, was "E'st-il heurens?" Wie translate hemrcux in two ways, "happy" and "fortunate", but they are really the same, for happy means "of good hap". or good fortune. When we say "by a happy chathee", we go back to this primitive meaning. The word heureux is derived by the French lexicographers from the Latin ungurium, so that its hasic meaning is "of good augury." I think you will anree with me" that there is something more here than mere wance. The angur's business was to aseertain the will of the gods, and all thromgh we have the idea of some impelling force that makes things turn out as they do. If this force, whatever it was, wats on the side of the candidate, Napoleon wanted him.

As for our word "luck" itself, it is purely Tentonic and our lexicographers do mot trate it hevond its earlier forms. It should be moted, lowerer, that in many of these, as in the moulern (imman !llum, it meams happiness as well as chance This wide ats sociation of ideas may be taken to mean that happiness was regarded bey our forefathers als always the sport of chance; but I prefer to regard it as all evidence that at life in wheh everythine is for the bext -where no mistakes are made and where all is fair
sailing and successful outcome, is dependent on some fundamental cause.

These "lucky devils", that we see all about usthe ones who "always fall right-side-up"- the men whose touch turns everything into gold-the college students who pass examinations because the questions happened to be the rery ones they knew-all these are people whose "luck" can usually be depended on to last. It is all right to explain their success by calling them "lucky", so long as we do not forget that this is merely a word to cloak our ignorance of the real causes.

The trouble is that this is what we do often forget. We have been forgetting it since the dawn of civilization, and we inherit our forgetfulness from the twilight of ignorance that preceded it. If the canse of a man's success was not immediately apparent, he must, it was concluded, have effected it by magic or sorcery, or he was in league with the Devil, or Fortuna or some other goddess guided his hand. If he was a consistent failure, someone had hoodooed him, or blasted him with the evil eye, or worked upon him some magical charm, or the fickle goddess had turned her back on him. Nowadays we simply say "lucky dog!" or "unlucky dog!" and let it go at that; but the words carry with them the meaning that something occult is at work-a meaning quite as umreasonable as the specific supernatural causes assigned in earlier days, and possibly still more objectionable.

I am quite willing to recognize that Jones is "lucky". His success is due to something that I can not detect; in fact, he seems to me rather an ordinary young man. He may possibly not understand, himself, why he gets ahead so fast. He may believe that there is something occult about it. Plenty of
successful men have beliewed in their "stars" amd trusted them, and this worked well motil it ancomp aged them to be reckless. Latck ambl stars are all very well as symbols, lat they will mot perform impossilitities.

So far I have not openly mentioned the public: library, but I have been thinking of it a good deal, and I hope that you have also. It is one of the beanties of publice library work that the points at which it tonches life in general are many. He who in given the honor of addressing libmaians, as 1 am moing at present, may talk about pretty much what he pleases, when he begins, serene in the confidence that its application to library work will not only be reached in good time, but will even oltrude itself prematurely on his hearers.

In the first place, I believe we librarians should ponder that question of Napoleon":-"Is he lucky?" and should make it part of our tests for employment and promotion, asking it in smbstance of the ramdidates themselves, of their sponsors and of the institutions where they gained their training and experience.

Extending Shakespeare a little, we may say with Cassar, "Let me have men ahout me who are fat"fat with achievement. Those who are lean and humgry with failure are not for me. Where the ealuse of achierement or failure is obvious, this attitude meds no defense. I believe that it is justifiable where the success or failure is generally attributed to "luck". The general feeling that an "unlucky devil" will probably contime to be unlucky is fomoded on the idea that his ill luck is due to something more than chance. Whatever it is, it is somethine that wormst and should reekon with, whether it is visible or not, even whether it is thinkable or mot-certainly wheth.
（ri the person concerned is responsible for it or not． He may be in no sense responsible for his＂bad luck＂ any more than he is for a physical defect such as blindness or one－leggedness；hut all these things must be weighed in estimating the probable value of his work．

I am conscious that such an attitude as this may， in theory，do serious injustice to the man whose＂ill luck＂is really due to pure chance，just as in the case of the man who throws tails ten times in succession after betting on heads．Such a run as this may hap－ pen；it does happen in fact on an average once in 1024 trials．The fact that there are 1023 chances against it justifies us in neglecting to take it into account very seriously．I suppose that the chances against a man＇s persistent＂bad luck＂being due to pure hazard are very many millions to one．I am not going to waste any tears over the injustice that I or you or anyone else might do in this way．

I once heard a man of great intelligence，the ex－ president of a small college，firmly maintain that if one had a basketful of letters of the alpliabet，writ－ ten on cards，and dumped them all out on the floor， it was absolutely impossible that they should be found so arranged，we will say，as to spell out Mil－ ton＇s＂Paradise Lost＂．Now such a happening is ex－ tremely mulikely，but the chance that it should oc－ cour can be calculated mathematically and expressed in figmres．The arrangement in which＂Paradise Lost＂is spelled out，however，is no more unlikely than any other possible arrangement，and some one of these armangements is bomal to ocenr，no matter how unlikely any particular one is beforehand．No one of them，therefore is impossible，including Para－ dise Lost．bint I admit that where chances are so ad－ verse，we may use the word＂impossibility＂in a
rough sense, and so I use it in asserting that it is impossible for persistent "bad luck" to be due to pure chance.

Just here we may consider whether a man may rise above ill-luck, may conquer it, may turn it into good fortune. The ancients evidently believed that he could; that is why they represented Fortuna's wheel as turning. Its rotation may not only "lower the proud", as Temyson puts it, hut may also elevate the humble-change a run of ill-luck into a "lucky strike". The Psalmist ascribes both these functions to the Amighty himself. "Depmesuit potentes de sede, et cxultavit humiles". All this was occult to them of old time; it need be so to us only in the semse that occult means "hidden". If the hidelen caluses of a man's ill luck may be revealed to him, wholly or partially, by study, or even if he can make a plansihe guess at them, and if he times that they are with. in his control, he can of comse mitigate them or perhaps abolish them. I ereatly fear that in most mases of this kind they are beyoml his regulation, either because they are congenital or becaluse they are dur to habits so ingramed that dhanging them is impossible. The very fact that he attributes his failures to "luck" shows that he has made some effort to get at the camse and hats failed in that, as in other things. The use of the word "hack" enables him to keep his. self-respect. It does not, howerer, make him a more valuable assistant, and his superions must not fail to take it into account in an estimate of his work.

I believe that some impury into possibhe physical causes may repay us. Teachers tell us of cases where incredible stupidity turned out on examinat tion to be due to deafness. I personally knew of a maid servant whose apparently idiotic actions wern cansed by near-sightedness. She did not know-pone
girl-that her eyes were not perfectly normal. In all such cases treatment of the physical cause, if it is treatable-alters the "run of luck" at once. All of our libraries should have medical officers, as the New York Public Library has, and the members of the staff should be periodically inspected. There should be a rigid physical examination on entrance.

I ask you to comsider, in this comnection, the career of Ulysses s . Grant, which has always seemed to me one of the most remarkable in our history. As I walked down the Gravois Road in St. Louis the other day, along which Grant used to drive his loads of wood from the farm, to sell in the city, it seemed as if I could see the stumpy figure clad in its faded army overcoat seated on the load and urging his slow-going mules towird St. Louis, then far away. If there ever was a man who was "down and out", it was Grant at this time. He had been uniformly "mulucky". He had had his chance-a good oneand had passed it byy. Opportunity, which we are falsely told knotks onls once at a man's door, had sounded her call and he had made no adequate response. A graduate of West Point, with creditable service in the Mexican War, with good connections by birth and marriage, here he was, living in a log cabin on a small farm, hauling wood to city customers. Yet just three years later this man's name was the hest known in the country and had gone around the world. He was a victorious general in command of armies. A few year's more and he was President of the United States. He was miformly "lucky". His "luck had changed". What made it change? I can not find that firant the successful military commander was a different man in any way from Grant the farmer and teamster. He was supremely fitted for military command under a particular set of condi-
tions. When those conditions arosir, his grenims took the line of least resistance. Such a career is not unique. We learn from it that ill hek maty be simply negative-due, not to active canses that forer ons back, but simply to the absence of the conditions under which alone one may move forward. Vocational gnidance may help us here-or it may not. It would not have helped Grant. If he could have been subjerted to some miraculous series of tests that would have brought out the fact that, failure as he was, he could achieve brilliant suceess at the heall of an army what would that have availed? There was no army for him, and there was no war in which it conld fight. If the question "Is he lucky?" is to be answered "No -hat he might become so, if he were at the head of the U. S. Steel Corporation". I ann afraid that the result would be the same as without that qualifying statement.

When a libatrian was leaving a large tiold of endearor to enter mpon a still harger one, his oftice-toge hearing some speculation regarding his suceessor, was heard to say, "I could hohld dewn that joh myself. I've watched everything he does amd there isnt a thing I couldnt do". What he had watched were the motions and they looked easy. lint. we should not langh at this kind of confidenee. An old stager satid to me once "Oh, theser yomer men: They think they ean do it all ; and the tronble is that somertimes they are right." A romer man is a montral in luck. His good or bad fortume is set to be revealed. The complete rocational test would be one that combl tell whether the oftice boy were really titted to be lihrarian, and if he were, wonld see that he ultimately became librarian. Sow we must rely mot only on the boy's own ability to estimate his powers but on his figliting strength to realize his vision. Anll thern
is more to it than this. A worker may have the ability and may know that he has it, and yet he may distrust his own estimate and so fail to follow it up. This is one of the saddest rarieties of "ill-luck". We often licar it said "He can do that, if he would only realize it". Too often, however, the man or the woman does realize it perfectly well; his self estimate of his powers mar he quite high enough; it may even be too high. Talk with him and you may discover to four surprise that he thinks highly of himself. But at the critical moment he loses his nerve. Tonbts arise in his mind. Is he, after all, as able to rise to the emergency as he has always thought himself". He hesitates; and he is lost. His "ill luck" lias again been too much for him.

Somewhat similar to failures of this sort are those that arise from lack of initiative. Here I think our training is somewhat at fault. I can almost pick out at sight the library assistants whose training has been in schools where obedience has been the chief thing inculcated, the following of rules and formulas, the reverence for standards and authority. They are of the greatest ralue in certain positions, but they can not advance far. They are afraid to go beyond the beaten path-to take chances, not, as in the case just considered, because they distrust themselves or their judgment, lut because they have been trained not to adventure. Now adrenturing is the only way in which mankind has ever got anywhere. There are conditions in which chance-taking is criminal, as it usually is when much is staked for little. The engincer who risks the lives of a train-load of passengers in order that he may avoid losing a minute on schedule time, is a criminal chance-taker. He may have done it once before with success, and the belief that he is "lucky" may induce him to do it again.

The trounle with the overecantions worker is that hecause he feels that this kind of adventuring is wroner it is also wrong for him to stake his personal comfort against a possible great adrance in the quality. of service that he is doing. Perlaps I have put it awkwardly. It is not so muclo persoonal comfort that is at stake, though that is an clement, as the feeting that doing thinge well "in the way that we have always done them" is hetter than disorranizing them for the purpose of shaffling them into a hetter comblimation.

I have on more than one occasion, in Lihrary School lectures, urged this point of view, and I have advised more stimulation to renturesmoness. less pointing out of old pathe and more oprortmities to hreak new ones. Xo one exer reacherl a new blace ly following an ond path. The path-breakers may be "lucky" or "unlucky". I agree that the "mulucky"the congenital blunderers-onght to he kept out of the adventuring class-but how shall we tell who they are except by traing? I have thought, possibly without justification-that 1 have deteeted a slight attitude of disapproval on the part of hibrary school authorities when such advice as this has been given. "Let the student first learn the standards, to do things by rule, to obey anthority-then her can branch ont into intiative." Lut can he? My fear, somewhat justified her experience, is that lor call not. The standards must be tanght. The roles must be known and followed, but if along with this there is 310 stimulation to initiative and the continnal instiment of a feeling that progress depends on the divine curiosity of the explorer-we shall be tratingr only routine workers and for our adrances we shatl have to depend on those whom we stigmatize as untramed. They will be the "lucky ones".

Here are cases where luck is a function of attitudes of mind and may be reversed if a change can be made in that attitude. There are other such. Take for instance the case of the grouchy man-the man who has a quarrel with the world. He is sure that he is unlucky-and sure enough, he is! He does not expect to be advanced, and no one would think of adrancing him. His attitude and its natural results react on each other until he becomes a confirmed misanthrope. Then there is the man without interest in what he is doing. Who would be so foolish as to intrust an important ask to a man who, it is quite evident, does not care whether it is done well or ill, or whether it is done at all? These persons betray their lack of interest in ways that are familiar to us all. They utterly lack initiative, but for other reasons than the persons whose cases have been discussed above. They have no objections to adventure, but a renture presupposes interest. No one ever set out to tind the North Pole who was utterly indifferent to its location or the character of its surroundings. All true success is built on a foundation of lively interest. Hence persons of this sort are peculiarly unlucky. They watch subordinates and newcomers pass them in the race, and they are perfectly certain that this is due to favoritism, or to luck. They themselves are unlucky, and of course they will always remain so, unless they can alter their neutral attitude.

In thinking over the lack of initiative of which I have complained above and the failure of our training to supply it, it occurs to me that we carry this lack over into our work. We are apt to complain of the difficulty of finding persons who are fitted for positions of command and responsibility. What do
we do to elicit the qualities that make one fit for such posts?

We have in our own library a system of efficiency reports, which are filled out by department-heads yearly, one for each assistant. These give needed information about the work of members of the staff, and they also sometimes reveal quite clearly the state of mind of those who make them out.

Two of the questions are, "In what did the assistant fall short?" And "What did you like most about the assistant?" It strikes me, on ruming over these reports, as I have just done, that the qualities most valued when present and most lamented when absent, are those of a grood subordinate-the assistant who goes quietly, efficiently and quickly about doing what she is told to do, is pleasant abont it and does not shirk. Here are some of the things that our department-heads like best:
"earnestness, industry and intelligence"
"alertness; readiness to take suggestion"
"excellent standards of work"
"close application to business"
"absolute dependability"
"persistence"
"excellent worker; steady; reliahle"
"enthusiasm and eagerness to learn"
"close attention to business"
"tenacity and faith in herself"
"minds her own business"
"fine spirit in work"
"ohliging, willing and ready service"
"industry and intelligence"
"gremeral information"
"calm, cheerful nature"
"honesty of purpose"
"patience under criticism"
"politeness and willingness to oblige"
"loyalty, faithfulness and goodness"
"accuracy and systematic methods"
"neat and ambitious"
All these things are fine, I agree, but there is not one of them that suggests the possibility of advancement to a position of command where administrative ability and initiative will count. I do not suggest that these qualities are absent, but I think the record shows that we are not on the lookout for them and possibly do not ralue them as we ought. Only once in a while do I find a suggestion that a tendency toward such qualities is of interest, as when, one assistant is commended for "independence and good judgment" and another for "resourcefulness".

And when we come to the "weak points" reported, the same facts stand out. Here are some of them:
"lack of accuracy and system"
"too sensitive"
"too reserved"
"often thoughtless"
"not sufficiently painstaking"
"too deliherate"
"tries to work too fast"
"lack of poise"
"rather slow"
"hesitates to ask for needed help"
"lack of system"
"impractical and idealistie"
"not very responsive"
"so eager that she is a bit aggressive at times"
Here, too, the deficiencies reported are predominantly those that would make a bad subordinate;
although here and there we may dotect one of the other kind; for instance,
"does not know how to find and develop the best in her assistants"
"not self-reliant"
"disinclimed to assume responsibility"
These are all fanlts of por execontives.
We shall never loe ahbe to pick sood oflicers if we do not know how to denet in our privates the puatities that would fit them to command and how to por courage the development of sumblatitios when there is anything on which to base it.

Lack may mot only be "in" hat "of" the libaary The whole institution maty loe in the lurky or mulucky class. I think yom hase known looth kinds. The formere seem to prosiser, to do good work and to win golden opinions ber the very fact of their existence. The latter have small appropriations, a poor stamding in the commmity, and are finally destroyed by fire. Now personal ill-luck is and remains personal, but the ill-lnck of an institntion may be of various kinds. It may reside in a person or persons, or in a system, or in a building-or in all three. If the Jonestown Public Library is molucky, the ill-luck may the that of its librarian, or of his staff, or he may he operating an unlucky system, or his builling may be mhtuck I am an especial believer in mulucky buildings. Some there are in which it appears to be as impossible to rom a successful library as it would be to grow regetables in an ash-bin. Sometimes one rall piok ont the tronble with half an eree, although the same degree of astutemess seems to hate been beyond the are chitect, or the beard, or the libatrian wha compere ated to produce it. But in many cases we know the trouble only by its fruits; its roots are hidder. and
the best we can do is to recognize that the library's ill-luck comes from an unlucky building, and leave it at that.

There are so many somes of this kind of general libury ill-luck, that it is a wouder we do not see more unlucky libraries. There are not so very many lucky ones either, except so far as this procceds from the possession of a staff whose members are individnally lucky.

The statistician knows that the way to eliminate chance is to multiply instances. The insurance actuary does not know when you will die, but he knows that of a million men of your age, very nearly so many will die within the next year. It is because he deals with a large number of cases that he can put lis system on a business footing. There may he only one white ball in a bushel of black ones; you might conceivably draw that white ball at the first trial, but if you did you would properly refer to it as "luck". If, however, you could multiply the number of trials, you would bring up the white ball sooner or later. There may be only one good way of accomplishing a result among thousands of bad ones. If you should hit on the right one at the first trial you would be "lucky", but, luck or no luck, you will get it if you keep on long enough. Patience is always a wimer in the long run.

This is the way in which much of our knowledge is collected. Edison found the right substance for his first carbon filament by sending for all sorts of materials from all over the world, carbonizing them, and trying them out. The right one proved to be a kind of bamboo. If Edison had hit on this at the first trial it would have been so "lucky" a chance as almost to be counted a miracle; as it was, he elim-
inated chance by moltiplication. Nothing amors an executive so much as to be told that the adoption of this or that course will result in a specified way, when no one has ever tried it. This was a common attitude in the time of Galilen, when the idea that anything could be found out by ohsorvation or eaperiment was reganded as a pmblic: scambal. That was the time when a man refused to look through the newly-invented teleseope for fear that he might see sombething contrany to the tearhings of dristome. These people are not all dead by any means. I have heard them assert that a proposed ehange would ruin the library and then object to trying it because they were afraid the result would be contrary to their own medictions. The medieval philosophere at least had Iristotle to fall hadek on; their modern sumcessors would appear to be pusing as $\begin{aligned} & \text { aristotles them- }\end{aligned}$ selves.

A honsemaid recently said to her mistress "Iree told everyhody to-day ye weren't at home; now don't sit in the window and make me a liar." No discovery no faksehool, you see. So if we librarians ran br prevented from trying experiments, the false predic. tions of some of our advisers will not be false in their own eves, simply becamse they will mot be exposed.

My advice to librarians, and to everpone else is to keep on trying experiments. If yon get al satis. factory result the first time, yon may stop, and as eribe it, if sou please, to your wood linck. If the res sult is masatisfactory, howerer, yom mad not stamel pat on your ill luck.
"If at first you don't sumeed Try, try again".
There is more philosophy in that than in all Aria totle. It is also a practical exposition of the doc-
trine of chances. Somewhere is the combination that yon want. Yon will find it, if you only keep on long enough.

Libraries that are afraid of being victimized by chance, or, as we may put it, becoming martyrs to bad luck, should ponder somewhat more closely the possibilities of relief from insurance. Of course here 1 am using the word "luck" in its simpler meaning of unforescen occurrence. Take the case of the liknery that suffers from the fact that an influential member of the committee that fixes the amount of its amnual appropriation has eaten something indigestible for breakfast. Such an unforeseeable occurrence, such a "piece of bad luck", might cost a library anywhere from two to twenty thousand dollars, according to the usual size of its appropriation.

Equally injurions might be the ilmess of the president of the Board, throwing upon an incompetent member the duty of presenting the library's claims and needs. It is surely unjust that a public-service institution should be at the mercy of such trivial chances. In some states, including ony own, the library is removed from such ill-luck as this by a statutory provision fixing its public income, subject to proper checks and taking away the ability of an individual's illness or indisposition to lower it. But where this ill-chance is still in its baleful working order, why should not the library be protected against it by insurance? Such protection would be analogous to the corporation insurance taken out by large industrial companies to offset the loss likely to result from the death of an officer on whose administrative ability much of the company's earning power depends, or to the payment of death duties by insurance, now being advocated by many companies, and adopted on a huge scale by Mr. J. P. Morgan. Insur-
ance is the great equalizer; it montiplies instances, enlarges the field of possibilities and abolishes ill-huck. We are availing ourselves of it in case of possible damage by fire or stom, or of loss through our liability as employers. We may in future use it to cont out chance and luck in other fields also and to make our resomeses so dependable that we may devote to the extension and botterment of service the ingennity now often slent solely in devising means "to get along".

I ant afraid that fon will comparm this address very unfarorably with the celehrated chapter on snakes in I celand, becaluse whereas the author of that was able to amounce the non-existence of his subject in six words, it has taken me al grood many thomsand. You will do me an injustice, however, if yon think that I have simply been demonstrating the ionexistence of luck. I beliere that when we say a man is lucky, we mean something definite, and that thing surely has an existence. It may not be the Goddess Fortunal, or her morlern successor, but it is vers real and it is worth investigating and taking into accomnt. If you are told that one of your assistants is "lucky", do not laugh it away. Find out the facts, and if they indicate that she is unusually successful in what she undertakes, be thankful that you have a lucky person on your staff. Cherish her and promote her. And if you can find such a person ontside of your library, with the other necessalry qualifications, prefer him, or her, in making an appointment, to one of the "unlucky" variety. It is of the lucky kind that the world's geninses are made-inventens like bell, Edison and Marconi, captains of industry like Carnegie, Rockefeller and Henry Ford, soldiers like Napoleon, Gramt, and Moltke, statesmen like Limeohn, Gladstone and Bismarck, poets like shakespeare, Dante
and Goethe. We have had too few of these in the library profession. They were all lucky and what we need, especially in the present emergency, is plenty of "Lurk in the Library".

## THE LIBRARY AS A MOSECM

boundary regions are always interesting. Close to the line separating two regions of fact of of thought cluster the examples that fascinate us. Kipling's stories of India are so interesting because they tell of the meeting points of two civilizations-the boundary along which they come into contact, interact and fuse. The same is true of all tales of the white man and the red Indian, of the stories of farly explorers, of the maratives of spanish conquistudores. in the sonth and French Jesuits in the north. The student of mathematical physic's will tell you that it is not in homogeneous regions, but along boundary lines that the application of his equations becomes difficult, and at the same time interesting. Our whole hmona life is conditioned by boundaries. It is possible only on a surface separating the earth's mass from its atmosphere. It is limited by harrow conditions of temperature, nomrishment, light, and so on. So we need not be astonished when we find that two related subjects of ans kind acquire new vitality and new interest when we study the reaion along the line where they touch. This is espectally true of the library and the musemm.

I do not intend to dwell on the case where the books in a library are themselves treated as musemm objects, although possihly this is the ome that may first occur to the mind in this emmection. Books that are curiosities on account of their rarity or for other reasons are limited usually to very large lihraries. The Lemox Lihrary in New York, now part of
the Public Library, was almost entirely a look-museum and was so intended by its founder. The private libraries of great collectors, such as J. Pierpont Morgan, or the Huntingtons, are often largely bookmuseums, and in general, a book that brings a high price, brings it for its value as a curiosity, not as a book. The freer a book is the more value it has as a book; the more restricted it is the greater its value as a curiosity. Of course, even a small library may have one or two hooks that are worth display as curiosities, becanse they are old, or rare, or have interesting local associations either through the author, or the owner, or in some other way. The Hawthorne and Longfellow room in the Bowdoin College Library is an example of this latter case. But a book, or anything else, owned and displayed as a mere curiosity, is of not much real value, no matter what price it may bring at auction. The things that make a good museum what it is are not curiosities at all, in the vulgar sense. They illustrate some science or art and make its study easier and more interesting; they throw light on geology or history or sculpture. Once in a while we see a museum collection of books made for this object, to illustrate the art of binding or the history of printing, or the depredations of book-eating insects. The value of specimens like these has nothing to do with their rarity. Sometimes the smallest library may have books or pamphlets that may be displayed with this object, especially where the subject is local. It may for instance gather a collection of early pamphlets from local printing offices, or of books once the property of some eminent citizen.

These things belong to a museum pure and simple, which is the reason why I am mentioning them at first, to get them out of the way before treating my
real sulnject，which is the debateable ground between library and musemm．There is mothing debateable abont a book－museum any more than about any other kind of a muschm－a collection of historical or geo－ logical specimens，for instance，that often finds place in a library buildine，not because it is a library，lut hecause it is a ronvenient plane．or becanse it has been thought best to build a library amd a musemm muler onte roof，as has been dome in loittshurgh．

There is howerer a real debateable ground be－ twenn library and monsemm，with somewhat hayy boundaries which I beliere that either is justified in overstepping whenover such an act supplies an omis－ sion and does not duplicate．In other words．there is a boundary reaion between libuery and miseum that may be occupied by either，hat should not be occupied by both．

I shall try brietly to definc this region and indi－ cate how the library may oecupy parts of it withont legitimate criticism when the necessity arises．

Descriptive and illustrative material is to be found in both library and musemm．Speaking gen－ erally，the former is of primary importance in the library and the latter in the minsemm．Many hooks consist of descriptive text alone，withont pictures or diagrams，and on the other hand a musenm might contain specimens without labels，althongh they would not be of much use．In general，text with il－ hastrations belongs in a library and speromens with labels in a musemm．＇Tle mere statement of the dis－ tinction as it has just been wiven，howover，shows that it may be very dificult to draw a line betwern the two kinds of collections．A muspum has heen de－ fined as＂a collection of grood babels aceompanied by illustrative sperimens．＂Here the value of the de－ scriptive text is emphasized．evon in the masemm（on）． lection．When desoriptive treatises are shelred in
comection with the specimens, as in some modern museums, we have an expansion of the label into the book; and the museum, in this one particular at least, crosses the dividing line between it and the library. No one would blame it for so doing.

Similarly the library may occasionally cross the line in the other direction without incurring blame. Let me repeat that both library and musemm may contain descriptive and explanatory text and illustrative material. In the museum the text is usually in the form of labels, attached to the specimens, and these are generally material objects. In the library the text is in book form and the "specimens," if we may so call them, are plates bound into the book.

The first step taken by the library toward the line that separates it from the museum is when the plates, instead of being bound into a book, are kept separately in a portfolio. The accompanying text, corresponding to the "labels" of musemm collections, may be on the same sheet as the plates (often on the reverse side) or on separate sheets, which may be bound into a book even when the plates are separate.

In the St. Louis Public Library about a thousand volumes, forming one third of the collection kept regularly in our art room, have separate plates. These are of course not usnally on display but are in the cases ready to be used in the room on demand. They thus correspond, not with museum material displayed in cases, but with specimens packed away in such manner that they may easily be secured for study by those who want them. One may imagine a whole museum equipped for students in this way, with nothing on display at all-no popular exhibition features. Probably no museum was ever so administered, as an entirety; and as you know the large museums are making more and more of features adding to the attractiveness of the collection as
a popular spectacle. The public visits the Musemm of Natural History in New York, mueh as it turns the pages of the Natiomal deographic Magazinejust to look at the pictures. This treatment of material is justified becanse it incroases jopmlan interest in the subject-matter and hrings people to the musenm who would not otherwise enter it. Also, it pres disposes public bodies to more gemerons support of the musem. This is true again of surll institutions as botanical and zoological gardens, which have always been show-places for the public as well as laboratories for the student. The library can not afford to neglect such an opportunity of attracting the public and of stimulating interest in its own suliject-matter-hooks. It can not contimonsly display any great part of its separate prints, as a musenm does with its specimens, but it call exhibit them from time to time, so that one or another of them is always displayed in this way. Simple screens can be cheaply made and the prints fastened thereto with thumbpins, taking care not to injure them by perforating with the pin, but letting the edge of the head lap over the edge of the print to hold it, and using sheets of transparent celluloid for protertion, where necessary. After begiming such displays in our own lihrary, we found them so popular with our readers and so helpful in our own work that we are now holding thirty or forty yearly, sometimes two ar three at onee in different parts of the librares, supplementing our own material with loans from inter. ested friends.

The value of exhibitions of plates is so highly estimated by some librarians that the are hroking ur valuable volumes so that the phates may be used separately. This is a second step toward the masemm use of the libary: I have hearl a well-known lihatrian assert that if permitted ly his Board he would
dismember every art book in his library, in this way. Most of us, especially if we are interested in the exhibition side of library work-which is distinctly a museum side-will be inclined to sympathize with him.

But although we hesitate, perhaps, to tear to pieces good books, even for such a good purpose as this, there is much material that can be so treated with a clear conscience. Many duplicates of art works can be thins used, and there is hardly an illustrated book which when the librarian is ready to throw it away does not contain plates or maps which can be saved and used. In St. Louis when we condemn books they are never destroyed and consigned to the old-paper dealer before passing through the hands and before the eyes of all those who might use still usable fragments of this kind. Taking the item of maps alone, some of the best special maps are attached to volumes of travel or history, as folders or in pockets. So long as the book is usable, the map, of course, must go with it, but if the map has been reinforced with linen when the book is purchased, as it ought to be, it will probably be in usable condition when the book is worm out, and may at once be transferred to the map collection. The same is true of other plates than pictures-fac-similes of handwriting, for instance. A very fair autograph collection may be made of such detached plates-not originals of course, but originals are valuable merely as curiosities, in the way that we have already noted. Facsimiles are as good for any other purpose.

Of course all such torn up or detached material is very convenient also for reference use-easily filed and quickly consulted. It may be kept in vertical file cases, in loose-leaf binders or in ordinary portfolios. One of the interesting things about it is the facility of assembling it in different ways. In
our own library we sometimes tear apart the leapes of an art book simply to group the plates in an order that will make them more valuable for reference purposes. This leads us to another nearly related, thongh I should call it a still finther, step toward the musemm region, which is taken when we deliberately create specimens by rlipping and monnting. Most libraries are now doing this freely, both for reference work and for circulation. In many cases there are no separate labels here exeept a bride descriptiwe title, the material being classified according to its subject or its intended use. The similarity to the school museum or circulating monseum-a very recent development of musemm work-is striking. In this field the linnary has been whead of the remubar museums. The material clipped and monnted is usmally book material-largely plates from books, magazines or papers. 'ilhere is muth other material that can be so mounted and used-the kind of thing that is familiar in memorabilia seraphooks-theatre and concert programs, annommements, invitations, tickets of admission, harges. menus, photographs, ad. vertising material, (ete. It is usually a mistake to) make permanent serap-hooks of such material. When they need to be assembled in book form the separate mounts caln be bronglit together in a loose. - leaf linder. I permanent serap-book ties the material together in a way that may prove cmbarassing. Suppose, for instance, that you are keepines printed material from three clubs in your town, as you onght. Clubs seldom do this for themselves. sereral st. Louis women's chabs have told us that they visit the library when they want to indulge in research inton their own past doings. It might be natural to keep a serap-book for cath elub and insert the material as it comes. But suppose vou desire (o) display all your material on war activities and that some of the
material in these scrap-books falls under this head. You will have to leave it out or tear ont your scrapbook leaves.

Mounting takes time, and it is not necessary to mount everything. Material used only occasionally may be left ummounted. For instance, much news-paper-clipped material may be kept loosely in heavy manila envelopes. Again, some material may be made more accessible if not momeded, especially if in card form and in standard sizes. Such is the postal card. The amount of valuable material obtainable in postal-card form will astonish those who have not looked into the matter. Besides the usual views of localitics, embracing buildings, monuments and scenery, good collections of sculpture, architecture, portraits and many other things may be made in pos-tal-card form. Postal cards are all of the same size and ver? compact, so that they may be filed in trays and treated very much like catalogue cards, guides being used with them as in an ordinary catalogue. The amount of usable material that can be stored to the square foot in this form is probably greater than any other.

In all material of this sort, the similarity of collection, treatment and use may be so close that the passage from the picture to the object seems almost negligible; yet many persons apparently consider that here we must draw the definite boundary line hetween the collections of the library and those of the museum. They would say for instance that it is perfectly legitimate for a library to acquire, preserve and use a plate bearing a printed fac-simile in natural colors, of a piece of textile goods, but not a card mount bearing an actual piece of the same goods, atthough the two were so similar in appearance that at a little distance it would be impossible to tell the colored print from the actual piece of textile. Li-
brarians will not be apt to attach moch importance to this distinction, and those whose collections include treatises on textiles with colored plates will not hesitate to supplement them with monnted sperimens of the actual textile with typewritten descriptious.

Generally mamfacturers are only too happy to furnish samples of their current ontput, and older specimens, sometimes of listorical interest, can be bought from dealers.

There are precodents for the treatment of this sort of thing as library material. Prohahly hongh's well-known work on American Woods will occur to everyone. No library, so far as I know, has aver thought of barring this from its sholves because it contams actual thin sections of the varions woods instead of pictures thereof.

The peculiar adaptability of this kind of material to library use is a physical one, and is shared by every flat specimen that may he mounted on sheets. Instances will occur to every one. An actual flower or leaf, for example, is generally cheaper than a col or reproduction of it, and takes up little more room when momeded. A good descriptive botany with inadequate pictures may well be supplemented by a herbarimm of this kind. Historical material is quite generally flat-often written or printed on card or paper-old programs, memes, railroad tickets, damee cards, timetables, cards of admission, souwnirs of all kinds. One of the most interesting exhibitions I ever saw was of foreign ralway material-timetables, tickets, dining-ear memus, ete. Many Chinese and Japanese sperimens were ineluded. i treatise on forms of malway tickets, with falc-simile illustraltions, would be eagerly somght by libraries: why shoukd not the objeets themselves be equally valnable" Lihmaians were glat th har Miss Kate sam-
horn's hook on old wall papers, with its realistic reproductions, but how many of them thought of the possibility of making their owu books of specimens, using the japers themselves, instead of photographic facsimiles thereof?

This point of view may be commended to the makers of decorated bulletins in libraries. Much lahorious hand-work is often done in the preparation of these, and the results are seldom worth the trouble. Even when a work of art has heen produced it may be questioned whether the time withdrawn from other library work has heen employed to the best purpose. By the use of what has been called above "museun material" time may be saved and better results reached. For instance, I once saw, in an exhibition of picture bulletins one bearing a list of books and articles on lace. It was made in white ink on black cardboard, and bore a most realistic representation of lace, done with the pen, probably at a vast expenditure of time. The most that could be said for this really clever hit of work was that it looked enongh like a real piece of lace, mounted on the cardboard, to dereive the elect at a slort distance. Why then did not the maker monnt a real bit of inexpensive lace on the board, at an expenditure of a few minutes "time? It should not require much thought to see that bulletins prepared in this way are usually better and more effective than elaborate decoration with pencil and brush.

Another point of resemblance between this kind of library material and that utilized hy musemm is the fact that its value is so often a gromp-valuepossessed by the combination of objects of a certain kind, rather than br any one in itself. For instance, a common earthenware jar designed by John Jones in the Trenton potteries may have little value, but if vou add to it a thousand other earthenware jars,
or at thonsand pienes of athy kind designed by John Jones，or a thonsand other specimens made in Tren－ ton，the collection acquires a value which far exceeds the average value of its elements multiplied hy thon－ sands．The former may be tive cents－the latere five thonsand dollars．In the same way all illostration by Mary Smith．clipped from at trakhy stom in al ten－ cent magazine，has little value－zero value．purthaps But a thousand such ilhustrations showing the pub． lished work of Mary Smith from the time she hergan until she acquired standing as all illustrator，is worth while．

It should not be necessary to tell librarians that the leest way to make such a collection as this is not to search for each element hy itself lut to gather miscellaneous related material in quantity and then （ryt it．If you have a pile of slips to alphatretize． you do not go throngh the whole mass to pick out the A＇s，and then again for the B＇s，and so on．Y＇on sort the whole mass at once，so that while you are segregating the $A$ ss yom are at the same time conlect－ ing the B＇s and all the rest of the alphahet．Like－ wise，if you want the illustration work of Jessie Wil－ cox Smith，for instance，you need not hunt separately for bits from her pen；you need only clip all the it－ lustrations from magazines and papers that would be otherwise disearded．Then you sort these ley the names of the illustrators，and you have at ouen col－ lections not only of Miss Smith＇s current work lout of that of dozens of other illustrators．This is apr plicable in a hundred other fields．

It should be moted that this erronp value is fotem－ tially present in many larse collections of material． whether classified or not into the partioular gromps in question．For instance，we lave a larer mollere tion of locality post－cards，filed by cities and towns． Here are groups ready for use．If anyone wanta
views of Cerlar Liapids, Iowa, or Stockton, Cal., to show to a class, or for use with a reflectograph, or to copy for newspaper work, they are already assemhed. But also if someone is going to lecture on court houses, it is the work of only a few moments to assemble from the file a temporary collection of lifty or sixty examples. The same is true of buildings of any other type, say college dormitories, railway stations, libraries or warehonses, of parks, mountain scenery and industrial processes and of a hundred other things. The value here is a true group value; it is created by assemblage and becomes dormant again when the items are distributed to their proper places in the file.

The same is true of lantern-slides to an even greater degree, for slides are practically never used except in gromps. As a collection of slides may be grouped in scores of ways, it is better to file them in some order that will admit of quick selection, than to form groups arbitrarily at the outset and keep these together. A slide in such a group is practically withdrawn from the possibility of assemblage in some other gronp. For instance, a view of Michael Angelos "Moses" might find a place in a group to illustrate a talk on Michael Angelo, or Renaissance Sculpture, or The Art Treasures of Rome, or Old Testament Worthies, or any one of a dozen others. If we place it arbitrarily in any one of these and keep the group together, we shall of course spare ourselves a little trouble if anyoue wants that particular assemblage of slides, but we shall not only make it more difficult to assemble the other groups, but practically put them out of the running. Several years ago we hatl a valuable gift of a collection of slides illustrating phases of city-planning, given by the Civic League of our city. They included many foreign views now difficult or impossible to obtain.

The domors had assembled them ingroups to go with lectbes prepared in advallere and we matntamed this arrangement for a time allhomel it was not in aceorl with our general plath．lint we som fonnd that persons who asked for slides on london or Sunich or Milan were missing some of onf hect mate－ rial，simply becamse we could mot always femember to look through the city－planing woups for some－ thing that might be there Comsegmemtly we beoke确 these groups and distributed their slides the the proper phates in om file，which is in trals arranged precisely as if the slides were catalogne cards，with proper guides and cross－reforences on catroboad slips．We have memoranda of the slides that helong in each lecture eromp and these can be guickly as－ sembled if wanted．Of comse we allow the publice to go directly to the trays if the desire and assemble for themselves ally gromp that they choose．

This is all horderland material between library and musemm．There is much of it almagons to the lantern slide that libraries have not taken up yet， lont that they might handle to good advantage．I do mot see why we should mot，for instance，circulate microscope slides or photographio negatives．Stereor seopic pictures are now commonly hamded hy libal ries owing to skilful and perfectly legitimate exploi－ tation．

There is perhaps some doubt whether we should include in this sort of material masiaal records， reither for the meehanical organ and piamo or for the phonograph．These should possibly be considered as hooks containing music written in a kind of mota－ tion that admits of somadreproduction．Tha fact that there is this dombt shomber prohaps suffice to throw these reerrds into the lumernand of wheh we are speaking．They are to some extent（：ap：able of the wronp armanement spoken of allowe ase where a
library patron asks to take ont half a dozen records from one operia or eight old French dances. They are also capable of a kind of correlation with other librare material that is quite unique. Thus a readar may take out at the same time Chopin's military polonaise in ordinary notation and in music-roll form. The pianola reproduction serves as a guide to his own rearling of the piece, or he may simply follow the musiral notation as he operates the me(hanical player. Similarly, he may take out the miniature orchestral score of a selection and the phonograph record of the same as played by an actual orchestra. Here he can not play the piece himself but he can follow the reproduction with score in hand, much to his own mosical pleasure and profit.

An exactly similar correspondence exists between an ordinary book and a phonograph record of it read aloud. Such records are not often available, but I see mo reason why they should not become so, at any rate in the case of poetical and oratorical selections. Onr means of popular instruction in spoken lanshage are deficient and these might prove useful. At present we teach children in the schools to read and write, but not to speak. If they do not learn good colloquial spoken English at home, they are apt to remain uneducated in this respect. This plan has worked well in the teaching of foreign kanguages and it is now possible to buy small phonographs with cylinder records in French, German or Italian corresponding to printed passages in the accompanying mannals. I certainly think it legitimate of libraries to purchase these, and they would be "borderland" material, I suppose, in the same sense as the musical records.

I may say before closing, in regard to this sort of museum material, that the largest 1 irculation of music rolls that I know of is that of the Cincinnati

Public Library, whith distributes them at the rate of 60,000 per year. We have 3681 molls and rimenlated 16,814 in the year 1917. Neither the Cinein. nati library nor our own pats ont money for this material. It is all donated.

The status of phonograph records of all kinds as museum material is hardly as high in this commery as abroad. In the Sorbome, in laris, records of French dialect speech have long been acquired and stored. Records of this kind and moving-picture tilms, made of permanent material and carefully prepared to show existing conditions would have very high future value. 1 do mot know of any systematic effort to collect them in the United states. Possibly it might loe dificult to find permanent films. A moving picture man told me that only perishable ones were being made, as it was not for the interests of the trade that they should last long. Thare is too much of this spirit in modern imlustry and trade, and it is responsible for poor materials of all sorts-paint, textiles, dyes and furniture. Permanent carbon photo-prints on paper can be made and donbtless the process can be applied to trans. parent films if desired.

This is really moseum material, hat if no masemm takes it up, I shomblike to see the I ublice Library begin the work. We alreally have the tilms of our great St. Louis P'ageant of 1915 , which may some as a begiming.

It has been said above that musemm material adiptable to lihrary use is so for physical reasme. We may go further and say that the whole diftorence between a library and a miseum is a physical differance rather than one of aither whoet or mathod. The differenee is one of material and of the manmer of its disphay, and these are conditioned hey physical facts. The difference between an objert and a pie-
ture of it is physical. It should not astonish us, then, that when this physical difference is abolished, as it is when the object itself is a picture, or is minimized, as when the object is flat like the picture and resembles it closely, like a textile specimen, the boundary between the museum and the library practically disappears.

## 

There is nothing more important than standardization, unless it is a knowledge of its proper limits. Probably no more important step has ever been taken than the introduction of standardization into the industries; the making of mails, serews, muts and bolts of standard sizes, the mamufacture of watches, firearms and machines of all sorts, with standard interchangeable parts. If yon take apart a thousand Ford antomobiles and mix up the parts a thousand automobiles may be at once assembled from those parts, without any effort at selecting the particular ones associated with each other at first. You know that this principle is now being applied to what are known as "fabricated" ships where certain types of freight-carriers are made standard and then twenty or thirty of a kind are built at once in the same yard, being assembled from steel parts cht ont and punched in what are called "falbricating ships".

Now I need not waste time in arguing here that this process can not be made to apply miversally or be used indetinitely. To stamdardi\%e a work of art would be to kill it. Stamdardization is valuable where interchangeability is neressing rather than adaptation to local conditions. Irortable honses, for instance, with interchangeable parts, hate heenstandardized to a certain extent, but only within the hounds of uniform climatic comditions. The standard houses for Mieligan and Alabama would have to he different. It is important, therefore as I have sadd, to know, when standadization is being carred out, the limits of its advisalhility and the eomditions
under which it becomes useless or injurious. This is of interest to us librarians because our methods and processes, our buildings, our book collections and the use of both have long been undergoing this very process. And it is surely desirable that almost all the routine processes of library work, and the others to some extent, should be standardized.

This standardization has been going on ever since librarians began to meet together and began to issue their own professional literature; in other words, ever since the formation of the A. I. A. in 1876 and the establishment of The Library Journal about the same time. The subsequent formation of State Lihrary Associations and local library clubs, as well as the establishment of other library periodicals, has greatly multiplied the opportunities for librarians to talk over their work with each other, to learn of other and better ways of doing things, to compare existing methods and to determine, if possible, which of them hest serves the purpose for which it was devised. These things having in some measure been decided, they were then crystallized and fixed by the rise and success of Libraly Schools, summer-schools and training classes, which selected the methods that had stood the test of time and had emerged from the crucible of discussion and formulated them into standards which were thenceforth taught to their studeuts. This, I think, is a fair statement of the way in which our present library standards came to be standards.

It is a good way to select the best and to ensure that the best shall not be departed from. If the best always remained best, we should have no quarrel with it. Unfortunately there is flux and change all about us. A method is best when it best corresponds to the conditions. We can ensure that the method shall not be changed, hat we have no control over a large
proportion of the conditions. They change, in spite of us; and then the methorls ought to change with them. In some instances we have erred, possibly, by making it a little hard to change them. We are now ready to consider some of the cases where standards ought not to obtain-where one library ought to try to he different from anmether instead of exactly like it.

It is evident from what was satid above about portable houses, that differenee of locality is apt to introduce important exceptions into any rule of this kind; and it is on these exceptions that we are to dwell particularly to-day. There are thousands of particulars in which it is desirable that a library in one town should be conducted exactly like one in another town. What are the particulars in wheh the library must or should be different?

First, let us consider the stock of books. If these have been selected properly, differences between the two towns will perhaps be first reflected in these, for a library's ability to serve its community depends primarily on certain correspondences between the books and the readers. These correspondences may he summarized by saying that the books in a libary must represent a combination of the readers wants and their needs. These might always coincide in an ideal commmenty, but in practice mi lihrarian thinks of paying attention to the one to the exelusion of the other. At the same time the demands of the readers shonld always be known and always considered even if they want what is mmeressary; and we must likewise try to ascertain what they need, even if they have no desire for it. The extremes in a commmity without library taste would he a lihary of trashy fiction and one of serions stamdard works at which no one ever looked. A book-sidertor who uses good judgment will of course steer between this Scylla
and this Charyhdis, and the result will be a collection that the community can use with both pleasure and profit. Moreover, as time goes on, the readers' taste and the quality of their library will both slowly but surely rise. No two towns are alike. Where the books have been thus selected, the collections will reflect the character of the communities, not only in literary taste but in many other things. The industries of the towns are likely to differ. In one, perhaps, there are potteries; in the other, shoe factories. The workers in the industries and even outsiders interested in them for local reasons, should have an opportunity to consult their literature. The natural resources of the regions doubtless differ-their crops, their mineral output, their attractiveness to the summer tourist. Transportation facilities vary. All these things have their reflection in books and the differences of the towns have their corresponding reflections in their libraries.

Many years ago, your lecturer called the attention of librarians to the fact that they have in their own statistical tables a means of ascertaining whether they are keeping up with the reading-tendencies of their communities in book-purchase. Nearly every library classifies both its stock and its circulation, and tabulates both for the year, giving also the percentage of each class to the whole. Now suppose, for instance, that his tables show nine per cent. of history on the slielves, we will say, whereas the circulation of the same class is eleven per cent. Evidently his readers are fonder of history than he is. They read it in greater degree than he buys it. Moral; buy more history. Of course this would be the moral only where the tendency shown was to be encouraged. For instance the average percentage of fiction on the shelves in a public librare is probably about thirty, whereas its circulation runs from sixty
to sixty-five. We do not say here "Buy more fiction", because fiction reading needs no encouragement, but rather judicious restraint, although I certainly am not one of those who condemn it. I wish, however, that we could divide our novels into three classes, good, indifferent and bad, and then test the public demand by the method ontlined abow, I am convinced that some surprises mingt he in store for us.

Among the subjects that differ totally in two localities, local history and biography are conspicuous. Both citizens and visitors are often interested in them. There are leatures of each that are of more than local interest, but the purely local side must generally be taken care of bey the libary or wet at all. Sometimes there is a local historical soriety whose work, of course, the library will not try to duplicate; but there is always roon for co-operation, stimulation and aid. A moribund historical body may often be galranized into life hy an interested librarian. The library may offer streh a boty the hospitality of its building and shelf-room for its collections with mutual benefit. liut in somes of towns there is only languid interest in local history or 10 cal worthies, and the library itself must do all that. is done. Material bearing on these local matters rarely consists of books. It will include local news. papers, clippings.a pamphlet of two. memus, leaf. lets, programs-all sorts of printed things issum by churches, selools, clubs ame societies, amd lost as soon as issued moless canght at once and preserved. Here is the librarys chance to presess a mollection that is the only one of its kind in the world: for out side the home town on one womld think of eretting it together. Supplementing these printed peodeds may be all sorts of mamseript material-lotters, diaries, reminiscences or marratives writton or dictated especially for the library by persons who have some-
thing locally interesting to tell. If there are maps showing the growth of the town or anything else of interest about it, the library is the place for it. The collection and arrangement need take none of the busy librarian's time, for there is always someone in the town whose interest and labor can be enlisted. If nothing else can be done, at least a file of the local newspaper can be kept and indexed on cards, especially for names of localities and persons. Work of this kind done currently and not allowed to accmmulate, does not take much time.

In these dars of universal snapshots, local photographs are easy to get. The librarian may take a few herself and the library may well defray the expense. A hundred years from now, twenty views of your main street, taken at five-year intervals from the same point and showing the progressive changes, would be worth their weight in gold. Groups taken "just for fun" or for family reasons, are often worth keeping because they show the fashions of the day. These are of no particular interest to us now, but any of us would be glad to have in our libraries a collection of groups showing prevalent modes of dress in our towns during each year in the last century. Old buildings are often torn down to make room for new. These should he photographed before they go.

All material of this kind is peculiar to the library where it is preserved and helps to make that library's collections a departure from standardization whose importance we need. perhaps, insist on no further.

It may not be possible to collect in the library all of the interesting local material in the town. Much of it may be in the hands of private owners who will not part with it. Some of it may be owned by cluhs, churches or public bodies. In this case there should be an index somewhere to indicate
where it is, and there is no more appropriate place for this index than the library. I hase elsewhere suggested that where this privately-owned material consists of books, cards for them may be inserted also in the librarys public catalogne lint, in addition, there is no limit to the extent to which the dihary maly go in indexing material, and this work may well entist the interest and efforts of wohnteers. There maty be an index to old furmiture, we of colonial homses, possibly illustrated alld amotated like the fine one prepared ly Mr. Ciodard for the Conmectiont state library, one of soldiers sent by the town to varions wars, one of notewortly storms or of very high or low temperatures, obe to local organizations, past and present. The spectial interests of the commmity will guide these efforts, and here too the library of one town will differ materially from that of another.

I'ossibly libary stamdardization hats affected buildings more than abthing else abont a library There was a time where its alsence was doing a great deal of ham, espectally in the rase of small or med imm-sized libraries put up under the l'arnegie gift. Every hoard and every local arehitect had a different idea, but all seemed to agree that the bilding. mo matter how smatl, wats to be a momment, with it rotunda and a dome; and a gron! deal of waste res sulted. There was a lond call for some kind of a standard plan. and small libarar huildings, whether for hranches or independent libraries, are now a dome deal alike, so mund sothat we caln uftern pick out a library buidding log its ontwad gnise and that we will sometimes saly of a postoflice or an at gallery, "That looks exaletly like a libraty". This case of identifuation is of remose good as far ats it goes: but it shombl not interfere with a certain dersee of adaptation to local comditons. This is whious in the
case of sites offering local peculiarities. For instance, the High Bridge Branch of the New York Public Library is built on a steep hillside. The ar(hitect has taken arlvantage of this fact to arrange all entrance on the ground level on each of the three Hoors. The lowest is a service entrance, the next above leads to the children's room and the uppermost to the adult department. Each door opens on a different street and the three facades are respectively thres, two and one story high. Evidently no standard plan would have been of use here. The building, inside and out, had to be planned for this site and this alone. Aud althongh not many sites require such special treatment as this there are many that do not lend themselves to the erection of a rigid standard building. In Wetroit the Carnegie committee, I am told, were inclined to insist on a basement assembly room in branches to be built on ground where any basement at all wonld involve wastefnl expense of comstruction. The proposed contents of a building should often affect its plan. Some architects have not yet leamed the difference between an independent library and a branch of the same size and probable circulation. An independent library may have to house treasures, and shonld be of fire-proof construction. A branch rarely houses anything that can not easily be replaced and it may he waste of money to make it fire-proof.

The architertural style of a library building is often properly made to conform with some style peculiar to the locality or regarded as suitable for it. The Riverside Public Library in California is properly in the Spanish colonial or Mission style; that of New Haven, Comn., is a modified New England Colonial, the Jackson Square branch in New York is Dutch, the Chestunt Hill branch in Philadelphia and the Publir Library in Harrisburg are of the ir-
regular stone masonry an familiar in many parts of Penmsyania. Stome of the brancles in Portland, Ore, used to be and porhaps still are of wood, built of the Domglas fire of the surromating recgion.

The power of the purse is :ln impertant thing in

 locallity. Not only are some commmatios better able to sulpert al litary than others, hom of two with "品期 ability one will exorl in interes and willingness to give. An attempt to regulate inemme ley rule is the requirement of the Carmesio Committere that a momicijality shall appormiate for the support of a lihnary in a farmegie Building, not las than tell fere cent. of its cost. I kumw that the comdition is primarily stated the other way aroumb. 'Ther town is supposed to deride what it call sive to supppert a libraty and then the ('arnegie Committee is willing to calpitalize this at ten pere cent. Bant the library once bmilt, its cost becomes the fixm itam and the appropriation the variable one. and in many rases it has variod so far downtard as to constitute a riolation of the towns libraty contanct of bate the Committer is making all eflow for detect and tahmate these violations alld to mise them as a hasis for witholding donlations in meighlempords where they have beren frempent. A man is kmond ly the
 some suspicion ome wha lises in at motithertood Where dishomest persoms rongresalte. Still, tewns are malike men. sime their locations are fairly permancont, and it siaterly mems right turn down


 mittee has alson mate what 1 regand as due mistake of finding fanlt with the liluary that sutfers from an
unduly reduced appropriation, instead of with the city or town government that is responsible for the reduction. To throw bhame on the head of an institution that has just been robbed of its birthright would seem to be adding insult to injury. But despite the failure of this particular effort at standardization, there secms to be a feeling that library incomes shombl be so far standardized as to be calculable from the particular set of cirmmstances under which the library is working. The State of New lork once attempted to regulate its library appropriation liy home-use alone-so many cents per volume circulated. This was a very erude attempt, but possibly we ought to be able to say just how many dollars ought to support a library in a building of specified size with so many books, and a circulation of so many per year. This matter was the subject of earnest dischssion for a year or more in the American Library Institute, but no definite conclusion was reached. it has always been my belief that some sort of formula could be deduced by mathematical methods from a large number of observed data, that is, the statistics of a series of normally-conducted libraries. Observe that this is not so much standardization as an attempt to systematize the recognition of differences.

With the average librarian the practical question is not so much what smm he ought to have to run his library, as how he call and shall run it with what he has. Limitation of income invariably limits service, and unfortunately the kind of service on which it bears most sharply is that which is the library's specialty-mamely the provision of books. The purchase of books should be the last thing in which the library onght to economize bat in practice it is generally the first. The building must be cared for-lighted and heated; the public must be served.

But it is casy to stop buying hooks, and it is in bookpurchase that the library with small income differs from its neighbor with plenty of money. There are some curious exceptions where the library can not Wholly control the expemiture of ite mener, whels is regulated by the dead hand of a testator. Thass the Formes Library of Northampon, Mass., now sensibly ennsolidated with the Public Liburary of that city, was ohliged for rears to expmol most of its ins come for the purchase of books, leaving practically nothing for kecping up its midding or paying its staff. It was thes rich where a lihary is msmally poor and rice versm.

The earliest efforts at stamdardization among librarians were directed toward ratalogning: and probably atalogners are onr greatest sticklers for a rigid adherene to rules. Those who read Mr. E. L. Pearsonis column in The lioston Tronnscript realize that there are some libramians who consider this face a legitimate target for pidionle. And it is clear, I think, that hoth the methods and iesults of ratalogning onght not to he immme from modification to adopt them to local peeuliarities. Some public libraries are used so much for scholarly or antiquar. ian researeln that their catalogeses ned to aproximate that of a miversity library ; others are of so popular a mature that the hardly need a catalogur at all. The meds of a certain communty may re quire the very full analysis of certain books, whereas elsewhere these could do very well with less amalysis, or possibly mone at all. The selection of subject headings may have to be made with due regard to the use that a catalogue is likely to reepive. Books on open shelves do not need precisely the same kind of catalogning as those to which acress is mot al. lowed. A library's public, too, sometimes gets into habits, atm if these ate mobjectionable, it may be
better to humor them than to try to change them. Some bodies of readers like as many printed lists as possible; others rarely use them. In some places there is great demand for a monthly bulletin; elsewhere it is little used. Any librarian who does not stand ready to adapt his catalogne in some respects to the character and needs of his readers rums the risk of limiting his field of service.

Methods of distribution may require selection or modification to suit local peculiarities. Take, for instance, the choice of a charging srstem. "Which is the best charging system?" is a question frequently asked of experienced librarians or library school instructors. This query is on a par with "What is the best material for clothes?", or "Is paregoric or ipecac the best medicine?" A librarian who finds in her new job a charging-system that she dislikes, which has been used without complaint for years, should investigate before changing. Acceptance of the system may be simply due to habit. Even then, as we have seen, there may be reason for retaining it. And there is a fair chance that it may have held its ground because it is in some way better adapted to the community. Of course the adaptation may be to something else-size, for example. A rapid rise in the circulation may take a library out of the smalllibrary class and necessitate changes not only in charging system but in many other things.

Some day an industrious student of library economy will tabulate these things that are independent of local conditions, or so nearly so that it is better to standardize them, and tell how the others should be raried with local topography, climate and population. There is no time for that in a single lecture; and if I can leave firmly fixed in your minds the idea that some things are better standardized, while others should be functions of variable local condi-
tions, I shall have acomplished all that I set out th do.

I have already noted some of the differences between a branch librars and a central library. Pos sibly these deserve further mentan as an instance of the adaptation of methouls of distribution to locality. I have fremmently had ocrasion to deal with complaints which on investigation prowed to be due to the fact that the eomplaining reader expereted to find at a hrathel libaty all the facilities of a central libuary. He had lived near the rentral libary in one city, and had moved to amother where it was
 thing that strikes him is that the reference conlection is inadequate. Ile does mot realize that the eentral reference conlection (all mot possibly be dupheaterd at branch libraries. Such complants, however, may often give the librarian a hint. He may have equipped all his branches with the same small, goond reference collection, forgetting that reference work varies with locality. sweral complaints of this somt. from the same branell may indicate the necessity of enlarging the reference collection there or perhaps of adopting some surh scheme as we are trying in St. Lonis of a central referemere collection of dmplicates for supplying temporary branch meeds.

It is not always realized that the charabere of the book-collection in a branch libarar is inthenced by the mere fact that it is a bramelo apart from considerations of size, circolation and character of readers. There are mans stambard books, in small demand, that no library shomld be withont. One copy will serve the needs of the whole tewn. If there is but one library there the book must form pare of that librarys collaction, whereas of there are a cem tral bimbling and banches, it shomblo be the ceme tral library- mot in the handoes. It is for this rea-
son that the A. L. A. catalogue should not be used for stocking a branch. I know of cases where num. bers of books lie idle on the shelves of every branch in a city system, because they are not branch books at all. One or two copies at Central would have been sufficient, and to place them in branches has been waste of money.

When the New York Public Library took in a considerable number of small independent libraries as branches I had the opportunity, a year or so after the event, of ascertaining from the librarians, what difference to them and to their readers the change of status had made. They were unanimons in saying that although they, as lihrarians, felt less independent, the service to readers was vastly improved, owing to the fact that the library now formed part of a large system. This is always the result of any kind of mion of effort, whether by consolidation or co-operation. The individual is somewhat hampered but the commmity is benefited. This, of course, is something of a departure from our subject.

Sometimes the chief difference between two localities is in the character and temper of the readers. The whole scheme of relations between library and public needs often to be altered in moving from one place to another. This is perhaps most noticeable in a city where there is a system of branch libraries. The assistant who has been transferred from a Jewish to a Scandinavian district and then to one occupied by well-to-do Americans will understand what I mean without further explanation.

But this difference in readers is of course much wider than mere racial difference. It may be a difference in social status. We Americans are too apt to pretend that this sort of thing does not affect a public educational institution, but it decidedly does.

Some librarians make the mistake of thinking that these differences are racial also. It is a matter of common knowledge among city librarians that in a "slum" library the problem of discipline is simplicity itself compared with a lihary where the readers are nearly all well-to-do. This is oftell asserted to depend merely on the racial difference hetween the newly arrived immigrant-Russian Jew, Italian or Pole-and the native American. lant we find that when the immigrant has learned the enstoms of the country and has made dhough money to raise him in the social scale and emable him to move from his slum surroundings, he quickly takes his place with the well-to-do liburary patrons. He is more exacting and his chidden are harder to mamage. The difference is really a social one. The immigrant is accustomed to being looked down on in his mative commtry, to living on little and having few principles. He is humble and thankinl for small farors. What he gets at the library fills him with amazement and gratitude. Mary Antin has told us all ahout it. lat the well-to-do ditizen, whether hy hirth of recent acquirement, realizes that the bibrary is heing supported by his taxes. He realiges it, in fact, so keenly, that he gives it somewhat mudue prominence in his mind and sometimes shows this in his treatment of the libratry statf. Kinowing that we libary belongs in part to him, he may offen forget that it belongs in equal degree to others. Ife is impatient or even resent ful of rules intombed th mantain equality of service His "hidiren moensedously alisort this same attitude. They resent emotrol and are hard to keep in orders. Murlo of the librarians time must be givent to smoothing down intiled feathers and maintaming discipline-time "hiels ought to the given to bettering the qualits of servere.

Evidently these two kinds of commmonies must be hamded ditferently: They wall for ditherent train-
ing on the part of the stalf-a different stock of books -almost for different buildings. Then there is the indifferent community, which may be anywhere in the social scale and which requires special handling. It is even difficult to tell at times whether or not a commmity is really indifferent. Their reaction to the library is often a phase of the local feeling that is the subject of this lecture. It is present in some communities and alssent in others, but its presence does not always mean real appreciation of library privileges, nor does its absence mean lack of such appreciation.

Not more than a few months apart, about ten years ago, two branch libraries were opened in New York. One was in Greenwich Village, a district of strong local peculiarities, which I fear it is about to lose because writers have taken to describing them in the magazines. The other was on 96 th street, which was a part of New York like any other. The "Village" took the greatest interest in the library from the moment when its site was selected. The building was watched from its foundation up. Bad little boys annoyed the workmen. Local politicians and merchants congratnlated the neighborhood and told us how fine they thonght it was all going to be. Everyhody wanted to take part in the opening exercises and nearly everybody did. There were fioods of oratory and crowds of visitors. But having obtained the library and done what it considered its whole duty in the premises, Greenwich Village, not being a community of readers, proceeded to leave us to our own devices and it was only after months of up-hill work that the Branch succeeded in getting anything like a respectahle circulation.

On the other hand the establishment, construction and opening of the 96th Street Branch were treated by the surromding residents with supreme
indifference. No one had atsed to have a branch located at this point, which had been selected solely for reasons of topograplyy and popmlation. As the building went up, no one asked whether it was a selool or a hatik. Nobody (ame to the opening exercises. And yet when the libatey began to circulate books the commmaty respomed to such an extent that in a short time the handu was giving them out at the rate of 40,000$)$ a montli. Here the interest and pride of a commmity in the possession of a lihrary halding and its disposition to make use of the library are "learly shown to be two difterent things. In this case the two commmities were parts of the same dity, hat sepraratr towns oftom show the same phemomenon. Some of the most indifferent library towns, for instance, are the ombe where sumplaman efforts were put forth to serome al Comberie milding.

A kind of standardization of which we man mot hates too little is that controlled liy the man who takes himself as the stambarlas own ideas, prejndices and halpits. This kimd of stambardizer is mot always atwere of what he is doing. He believes that his methods are the best. Thery maly be best for him and passihly for the partionlar andromment in which he has been working. I am mot sure that some of our most cherished lihrary hathits did mot originate in this wily-were not wiginally simply the persomal whims of some able and foreefol libatry administrator who was in a position, in the formation statere of libraty progress, to impress theme on the fathere of our work. Fortmately for us. the men of this kind. in the eaty history of the libsary movement. Were not only men of forer lat gemerally of commonsemse as well. Possibly their hahits allil ratemes were as grod as any others that we might have adopeded I am sume that they were better than some. But individnal points of virw may in some cases
prove disastrous. I remember an English novel in which a local librarian personally interested in the history of the French Revolution, uses all the available funds of his institution for years to bry books on the subject, building up a fine collection, but making his library useless for its ordinary purposes. His successor, a man with other interests, threw out the whole collection. I have often wondered which of these two librarians one ought to condemn most. Both are examples of the injury that may be done by that we may call anto-standardization.

I am preparing this whole lecture with a fear that some one of this kind may think he is adapting his library to his locality when he is only standardizing it by himself. Self-leception may go far in matters of this kind, and there is something to be said in favor of hard and fast standardization without departure of any kind, in that it prevents aberrations such as I have just hinted at. I trust that no self-standardizer is in my present audience.

Our conclusion from all this should be, I think, that a library should not only assimilate its methods to those of other libraries-which is standardization, but should react to the needs and conditions of its own surroundings, which is localization. If you would know the extent of this local reaction and the character of its results, ask the members of the library's commmity, especially if that community is small. And we must remember that no library community is large, so far as its direct popular use is concerned. Whether it is in a village or a city, whether it is a central library or a branch, it is effective as a community centre only within a small circle, of perhaps half a mile radius. The residents of this circle are in a position to give testimony regarding the library's local services. If it has succeeded in adapting itself to local needs its reputa-
tion will be that of a vallablble, helpful. Well-elisposed institution; if not, the neighbors will be lostile, or at least indifferent. Libmaries that are in constant trouble with their readers-the ohjoet of continnal complaint and controversy, gencrally have the feeling that the fanlt is with the pmblic. Sometimes it is ; for a maladjustment is seldom on one side alone. But more often it is chiefly due to the fact that the library has overlooked its purely locall functions. while possibly at the same time conforming most admirably to what are considered the best library standards. No library can afford to meglect its special duties to its locality and if these conflict with standardization, it should be the semeral stamdards and not the local adjustments, that shomld wo by the board.
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